

HSF WORKSHOP 2019, JLAB

ALBERT PUIG NAVARRO, ON BEHALF OF MANY PEOPLE\*

\*SPECIAL THANKS TO CHRIS BURR AND DARIO BERZANO, FROM WHOM I STOLE SLIDES, GRAPHICS AND IDEAS

# STARTERKIT



FONDS NATIONAL SUISSE  
SCHWEIZERISCHER NATIONALFONDS  
FONDO NAZIONALE SVIZZERO  
SWISS NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION



Universität  
Zürich<sup>UZH</sup>

# SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Starterkit is an extremely successful software teaching initiative started at LHCb in 2015 following the Software Carpentry techniques

- It currently includes LHCb, ALICE and SHiP (new since last HSF workshop!)
- It covers both documentation and hands-on teaching through yearly workshops

Since its creation, the documentation generated by this initiative has become the de-facto standard documentation for many aspects of the software

While very successful, it is demanding in terms of time and sustainability

- How can recognition be given to the people organising it? Can we keep this up? How can it be stored?

# WHAT IS THE STARTERKIT?

The Starterkit initiative is software training for the next generation of physicists

- Mainly run by the “young” people in a voluntary basis, often former attendees
- Large departure from the “traditional” documentation through Twiki’s and tutorial lessons

It covers two sides of software training:

- Documentation: step-by-step tutorials, without magic and starting from the lowest level
  - In the case of experiment-specific software, focus on teaching the basic building blocks so more complex tasks can be easily understood
- Hands-on teaching through workshops (at least once per year)
  - Advanced workshops also carried out once a year

# DIFFERENT SOFTWARE, COMMON TEACHING

## LHCb

- Most analysts configure software with Python
- Individual analysis repositories, analysis done locally with C++ macros or Python

## ALICE

- Base analysis task is a C++ class based on ROOT
- Software stack needs to be built on user laptop

## SHiP

- Everything is still under development, so while most user-facing code is Python, most users have to dive into the C++ internals.
- Use of ALICE tooling to install and manage the software framework and its dependencies.

# TEACHING MATERIAL

Material is publicly available on the web (CC BY 4.0 + MIT for code snippets)

- General (<https://hsf-training.github.io/analysis-essentials/>), LHCb (<lhcb.github.io/starterkit-lessons>) and ALICE (<alice-doc.github.io/alice-analysis-tutorial>)

Source is on Github and tested/deployed using Travis CI

- Anyone can contribute via Pull Requests: lower entry barrier for students to contribute (a session on fixing minor issues is carried out in the last day of the workshop)
- Code snippets extracted from the doc and tested daily to ensure they always work

Material is now the official source of documentation for LHCb and ALICE

- Usage extends well beyond the workshops, it rarely becomes outdated

# STARTERKIT WORKSHOP

Hosted at CERN, fee of 25 CHF to cover coffee breaks and social event

- Follow the Software Carpentry teaching methodology
- Remote participation was not included due to the hands-on nature of the teaching methods and to ensure high attendance and engagement

Remote participation introduced at ALICE's request but with remote mics muted

- ALICE is more geographically diverse, with less travel opportunities, so remote attendance was very high, although with low engagement
- Mattermost channel: questions filtered/reported to teachers

SHiP joined for the first time in 2018, for a total of 45 (LHCb) + 25 (ALICE) + 12 (SHiP) participants

- Make use of the remote participation capabilities
- Invite outside experts, *i.e.*, presentation from the ROOT team

# WORKSHOP SCHEDULE

2 days of general computing,  
shared lessons

- Teachers and students are shared

3 days of experiment-specific  
lessons

- Some overlaps between ALICE and SHiP

Social event on day 4 🍕🍺

- Key for networking!

**Days 1, 2**  
General  
computing



**Days 3, 4, 5**  
Experiment  
specific



# FEEDBACK

At the end of each session, feedback from students is gathered with Google

Teaching methodology well-appreciated

- Students really like the one-to-one help, also with solving other specific issues they have
- Some rare cases almost require personal assistance

Generally well paced, and level is considered good

- Some improvements for the general classes, but much better than in 2017
- Even advanced students learn new tricks
- Students would like longer classes

Enjoyed networking between experiments



# LONG TERM CHALLENGES

Preparing workshops is time consuming

- Find suitable teachers and helpers: able, capable and available
- Review and refresh the teaching material

Lack of recognition

- Teaching is often regarded as a side task, so students/postdocs can't spend a lot of time on it (or even encouraged to not pursue these endeavours)
- There's no career rewards (only personal ones!)

Documentation needs to be maintained

- Time consuming, requires some level of expertise to keep up with latest software developments (input from experts is crucial)

# A FEW THOUGHTS ON SUSTAINABILITY

Engagement of new students is crucial: former attendees are at the core of future event organisation (“next year” is always mentioned)

- Work required in motivation and encouragement to help building teaching confidence
- “Learning how to teach” workshops/courses necessary

Documentation is a long term resource which belongs to the community (not the authors)

- Crucial to ensure continuity when teachers leave
- Share common documentation across experiments to reduce overhead

Increase reach: decentralised Starterkits?

HOW DO WE BUILD A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE  
FOR SOFTWARE TEACHING AND LITERACY?

THANK YOU