


# Effects of flux jumps on emittance blow-up



Unstable behaviour shown by all type II superconductors when subjected to a magnetic field.


We have only **very preliminary measurements\*** that show:

- Flux jumps happen only during the early ramp (up to around 50% energy).
  - Simulate injection and middle of the ramp (3725 GeV with 1m optics).
- Measurements show about 30ppm of rated intensity.
  - This 30 ppm intensity will be assumed in this study.



The **very preliminary measurements\*** also show:

- Time scale of a flux jump seems to be “few tens of ms”.
  - Between 10 and 60ms will be taken in to account.
- It is unclear if the effect will show up at circuit or magnet level.
  - Simulate both cases: jumps in the circuit and jumps in individual magnets.




- The flux jump will appear like a fast error in the triplet field.
- Kicks will be applied to the beam via feeddown due to the crossing angles.
- The effect of the kick on the emittance if  $\epsilon_f = \epsilon_0 + \Delta\epsilon$ :

$$\epsilon_f = \epsilon_0 + \beta_Q (\Delta kl \cdot x_{co})^2$$

- This is the effect taken into account in the study.

● Before kick ● After kick



# Flux jumps kick: Effect of quadrupolar component

- In quadrupoles the flux jump will appear as a fast change in the strength of the quadrupole  $\Delta kl$ .

If  $\epsilon_0$  is the initial emittance and we start with a distribution  $\Psi_0(J)$ :

$$\Psi_0(J) = \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \exp\left(-\frac{J}{\epsilon_0}\right) \quad \text{Transforming } J \text{ for a quadrupolar kicks: } J \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\beta} \left[ x^2 + (p + \beta_Q \Delta kl x)^2 \right] \approx J[1 - \beta_Q \Delta kl \sin(2\phi)]$$

The distribution after the kick:


$$\Psi_1(J, \phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \exp\left(-\frac{J[1 - \beta_Q \Delta kl \sin(2\phi)]}{\epsilon_0}\right)$$

Averaging the action:

$$\langle J \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} J \Psi_1(J, \phi) dJ d\phi = \frac{\epsilon_0}{(1 - (\beta_Q \Delta kl)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \approx \epsilon_0 + \frac{\Delta\epsilon}{4} + O(\Delta k^4)$$

Looks small compared with dipolar kick  $\rightarrow$  **ignored in this study.**

● Before kick ● After kick



- Assumed a jump of  $30 \cdot 10^{-6}$  in the field of the IR magnets relative to nominal.
- Used MAD-X to compute a Twiss of the IR with the flux jumps applied computed emittance as:

$$\gamma(s)y^2 + 2\alpha yy' + \beta(s)y'^2 = \epsilon$$


$$\epsilon_N = \epsilon \gamma_{rel}$$

- Then used the nominal emittance of HL-LHC  $\epsilon_{HLLHC} = 2.5 \mu m$  to compute the kick in  $\sigma$ :


$$k_\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \epsilon_N}{\epsilon_{HLLHC}}}$$

- Simulations made magnet-by-magnet and circuit-by-circuit.
- Studied only IP1 (identical to IP5 with horizontal crossing)

## Circuits




## Individual magnets




- For 0.45TeV and injection optics.
- 30 ppm intensity.

Circuits



Individual magnets




- For 3.2TeV and 1m optics.
- 30 ppm intensity.




# Flux jumps simulations

- Assuming all quadrupoles are equally likely to have a flux jump.
- If the  $30 \cdot 10^{-6}$  kick develops over  $N$  turns assuming a linear increase starting at  $t$ :



- Plotting only 1 every 10 turns.
- The kick develops during “few tens of ms”, here from 10 to 60:



- Assuming all 12 quadrupoles are equally likely to have a flux jump.
- The total number of individual (with duration in the worst case of the 10 to 60ms range)  $30 \cdot 10^{-6}$  kicks to get a 1% emittance growth is:

	Horizontal	Vertical
Magnets Inj.	60992	6384
Circuits Inj.	31542	2976
Magnets 3.7 TeV	8336	871
Circuits 3.7 TeV	4142	395

- This presentation is based on **very preliminary measurements** (this same morning more accurate measurements are being performed)
- Assuming jumps of 30 ppm that affect the whole triplet in the middle of the ramp of around ~110 turns length (10ms) -> 395 individual flux jumps will cause a 1% emittance growth.
- At injection flux jumps cause smaller emittance growth.

