Next-to-leading power corrections for Drell-Yan and prompt photon production

PARTICLEFACE meeting
Coimbra, 26-28 Feb.

Eric Laenen

Universiteit van Amsterdam
Nikhef
Universiteit Utrecht

Outline

- Next-to-leading power threshold logarithms
  - Regions, factorization at NNLO
- NLP logs at NLO, simple formula
  - extension to prompt photon
- LL resummation at NLP
  - using NLP webs

Threshold logarithms



Log of "energy excess above production threshold"

$$S \geq s \geq Q^2$$

$$L^2 = \ln\left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{s}\right) \equiv \ln^2(1-z)$$

NLP threshold behavior

- For Drell-Yan, DIS, Higgs, singular behavior in perturbation theory when z⟶ 1

$$\delta(1-z) \qquad \left[\frac{\ln^i(1-z)}{1-z}\right]_+ \qquad \ln^i(1-z)$$

  - plus distributions have been organized to all orders (="resummation"), also possible for ln(1-z)?
- "Zurich" method of threshold expansion allows computation (for NNNLO Higgs production)

$$(1-z)^p \ \ln^q(1-z)$$

Anasthasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Furlan, Gehrmann, Herzog, Mistlberger

    - done to p=37..

Larkoski, Neill, Stewart, Moult, Kolodrubetz, Rothen, Zhu, Tackmann, Vita, Feige ; Beneke, Campanario, Mannel, Peckja

- Much development in SCET
- Useful also for improving NNLO slicing (N-jettiness) methods
- Alternative terminology to "NLP"
  - Next-to-soft
  - Next-to-eikonal

PARTICLEFACE workshop on NLP, Nov. 2018

Next-to-eikonal Feynman rules

- Keep 1 term more in k expansion beyond eikonal approximation

$$\text{scalar}: \quad \frac{2p^\mu + k^\mu}{2p\cdot k + k^2} \longrightarrow \frac{2p^\mu}{2p\cdot k} + \frac{k^\mu}{2p\cdot k} - k^2\frac{2p^\mu}{(2p\cdot k)^2}$$

$$\text{fermion}: \quad \frac{\not{p} + \not{k}}{2p\cdot k + k^2}\gamma^\mu u(p) \longrightarrow \left[\frac{2p^\mu}{2p\cdot k} + \frac{\not{k}\gamma^\mu}{2p\cdot k} - k^2\frac{2p^\mu}{(2p\cdot k)^2}\right]u(p)$$

  - Becomes emitter-spin dependent, recoil now included
  - Is there predictive power for the next-to-eikonal terms?

Eikonal term

Classic NLP result: Low's theorem

- These rules are good for emissions from external lines. At NLP order, also 1 "internal" emission contributes



- Low's theorem (scalars, generalization to spinors by Burnett-Kroll, to massless particles by Del Duca): **LBKD theorem**
  - ✓ Work to order k, and use Ward identity

$$\Gamma^\mu = \left[\frac{(2p_1-k)^\mu}{-2p_1\cdot k} + \frac{(2p_2+k)^\mu}{2p_2\cdot k}\right]\Gamma + \left[\frac{p_1^\mu(k\cdot p_2 - k\cdot p_1)}{p_1\cdot k} + \frac{p_2^\mu(k\cdot p_1 - k\cdot p_2)}{p_2\cdot k}\right]\boxed{\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial p_1\cdot p_2}}$$

- Elastic amplitude still determines the emission to NLP accuracy,
  - note the derivative
  - detailed knowledge of "internal part" not needed

NLP logarithms for Drell-Yan

- Goal: combine (N)LP matrix elements with (N)LP phase space to **predict** $\ln^i(1\text{-}z)$ for NNLO Drell-Yan

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^{(0)}}\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dz} \sim \int d\Phi_{\rm LP}|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\rm LP} + \int d\Phi_{\rm LP}|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\rm NLP} + \int d\Phi_{\rm NLP}|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\rm LP} + \ldots$$

- We pursue two methods:
  - ✓ 1. Method of regions
  - ✓ 2. Factorization
- NLO is “easy”, real test at NNLO

NLP logs in Drell-Yan at NNLO

- Check NLP Feynman rules for NNLO Drell-Yan *double real* emission



  - Result at NLP level, agrees with equivalent exact result. C_F^2 terms e.g.

$$K^{(2)}_{\rm NE}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\right)^2 \Bigg[-\frac{32}{\epsilon^3}\mathcal{D}_0(z) + \frac{128}{\epsilon^2}\mathcal{D}_1(z) - \frac{128}{\epsilon^2}\log(1-z) - \frac{256}{\epsilon}\mathcal{D}_2(z) + \frac{256}{\epsilon}\log^2(1-z) - \frac{320}{\epsilon}\log(1-z) + \frac{1024}{3}\mathcal{D}_3(z) - \frac{1024}{3}\log^3(1-z) + 640\log^2(1-z)\Bigg] ,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \left[\frac{\log^i(1-z)}{1-z}\right]_+$$

- Next, 1 Real- 1 Virtual



Diagnosis: method of regions

Beneke, Smirnov

- How does it work?
  - Divide up k_1 (=loop-momentum) integral into hard, 2 collinear and a soft region, by appropiate scaling

$$\text{Hard}: \quad k_1 \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}}\,(1,1,1)\ ; \qquad \text{Soft}: \quad k_1 \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}}\,(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)\ ;$$
$$\text{Collinear}: \quad k_1 \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}}\,(1,\lambda,\lambda^2)\ ; \quad \text{Anticollinear}: \quad k_1 \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}}\,(\lambda^2,\lambda,1)\ .$$



  - expand integrand in λ, to leading and next-to-leading order
  - but then integrate over *all* k_1 anyway!
  - Treat emitted momentum as soft and incoming momenta as hard

$$k_2^\mu = (\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$$

Method of region result

Bonocore, EL, Magnea,Vernazza, White

- Results
  - Hard region (expansion in λ^2): *LP + some NLP*
  - Soft region (expansion in λ^2): *ZERO*
  - (anti-)collinear regions (expansion in λ): *NLP only*
- Result:
  - the full $K^{(1)}_{1r,1v}$ is reproduced, including constants
- For **predictive power**, need factorization

A factorization approach from Low's theorem

Bonocore, EL, Magnea, Melville,Vernazza, White

- Can we *predict* the ln(1-z) logarithms from lower orders?
  - Factorize the cross section,
    - ✓ H: the hard and the soft function
    - ✓ J: incoming-jet functions



- Next, add one extra soft emission. Let every blob radiate!



Del Duca

  - Compute each new "blob + radiation", and put it together. New: **radiative jet function**

$$J_\mu\left(p,n,k,\alpha_s(\mu^2),\epsilon\right)u(p) \;=\; \int d^d y\; \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(p-k)\cdot y}\;\langle 0\,|\;\Phi_n(y,\infty)\,\psi(y)\,j_\mu(0)\,|\,p\rangle$$

Factorization approach to NLP logarithms

- Upshot: a factorization formula for the emission amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu,a}(p_j,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mu,a}(p_j,k) + g\,\mathbf{T}_{i,a}\,G^{\nu}_{i,\mu}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{\nu}} + J_{\mu,a}\,(p_i,n_i,k)\right)\mathcal{A}(p_j) - \mathcal{A}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}}_{\mu,a}(p_j,k)$$

Soft function Orbital term Jet function Overlap

  - J_μ is needed at one-loop level

Predicted NLP threshold logs vs exact result

- Compute blobs, one-loop radiative jet function, contract with cc amplitude and integrate over phase space. Exact calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\rm rv}^{(2)}(z) \;=\; & \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 \Bigg\{ C_F^2 \Bigg[\frac{32\mathcal{D}_0(z)-32}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{-64\mathcal{D}_1(z)+48\mathcal{D}_0(z)+64L(z)-96}{\epsilon^2} \\
& + \frac{64\mathcal{D}_2(z)-96\mathcal{D}_1(z)+128\mathcal{D}_0(z)-64L^2(z)+208L(z)-196}{\epsilon} - \frac{128}{3}\mathcal{D}_3(z) \\
& + 96\mathcal{D}_2(z) - 256\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 256\mathcal{D}_0(z) + \frac{128}{3}L^3(z) - 232L^2(z) + 412L(z) - 408 \Bigg] \\
& + C_A C_F \Bigg[\frac{8\mathcal{D}_0(z)-8}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{-32\mathcal{D}_1(z)+32L(z)-16}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{64\mathcal{D}_2(z)-64L^2(z)+64L(z)+20}{\epsilon} \\
& - \frac{256}{3}\mathcal{D}_3(z) + \frac{256}{3}L^3(z) - 128L^2(z) - 60L(z) + 8 \Bigg] \Bigg\}, \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$L(z) = \ln(1-z)$$

- **Result**: *perfect agreement* for 4 powers of the next-to-eikonal/soft logarithms at NNLO

$$\ln^3(1-z),\;\; \ln^2(1-z),\;\; \ln^1(1-z),\;\; \ln^0(1-z),$$

Colour-singlet final states

- Generalize NLP factorization (the LBKD theorem) beyond Drell-Yan, to arbitrary colour-singlet final states
  - look at NLO only, i.e. predict

$$D_1 = \left[\frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}\right]_+ \qquad D_0 = \left[\frac{1}{1-z}\right]_+ \qquad L_1 = \ln(1-z) \qquad L_0 = \ln^0(1-z)$$

    - ✓ where “1-z” can take different forms for 2 -> 2,3 etc scattering
  - apply to Drell-Yan, (multi-)Higgs, (vector boson pairs)
  - for inclusive and fully differential cross sections

NLP terms in colorless final states @NLO

Bonocore, Del Duca, EL, Magnea,Vernazza, White
1706.04018

- Previous factorization at NLO

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{\mu,a}\left(\{p_i\},k\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{2}\left[g_s\,\mathbf{T}_{l,\,a}\,G^{\nu}_{l,\mu}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^{\nu}} + J^{(1)}_{\mu,\,a}\left(p_l,n_l,k\right)\right]\mathcal{A}^{(0)}\left(\{p_i\}\right)$$

  - ✓ G is a projector, **T** a color matrix

- initial quarks: $J^{a}_{\mu}(p,n,k) = g_s\mathbf{T}^{a}\left[\frac{(2p-k)_\mu}{2p\cdot k} + \frac{\mathrm{i}k^{\beta}}{p\cdot k}\,S_{\beta\mu}\right]$ $\quad S_{\beta\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left[\gamma_\beta,\gamma_\mu\right]$
- initial gluons: $J^{a}_{\mu,\,\rho\sigma}(p,n,k) = g_s\mathbf{T}^{a}\left[\frac{(2p-k)_\mu}{2p\cdot k}\,\eta_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{\mathrm{i}k^{\beta}}{p\cdot k}\,M_{\beta\mu,\,\rho\sigma}\right]$ $\quad M_{\beta\mu,\,\rho\sigma} = \mathrm{i}\Big(\eta_{\beta\rho}\eta_{\mu\sigma} - \eta_{\beta\sigma}\eta_{\mu\rho}\Big)$

- notice the spin-dependent Lorentz generator (“next-to-soft theorem”)
- notice derivative term (Low’s theorem)

Lorentz generator

- The derivative term can be written as the **orbital part** of Lorentz generator

$$G^{\nu}_{l,\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^{\nu}} = \frac{k^{\nu}}{p_l\cdot k}\left[p_{l,\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^{\mu}} - p_{l,\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^{\nu}}\right] = -\frac{\mathrm{i}k^{\nu}\,\mathrm{L}^{(l)}_{\nu\mu}}{p_i\cdot k}$$

- so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{\mu,a}\left(\{p_i\},k\right) &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} g_s\,\mathbf{T}_{l,a}\left[\frac{(2p_l-k)_{\mu}}{2p_l\cdot k} - \frac{\mathrm{i}k^{\nu}}{p_l\cdot k}\underbrace{\left(\mathrm{L}^{(l)}_{\nu\mu}+\Sigma^{(l)}_{\nu\mu}\right)}_{\mathrm{J}^{(l)}_{\nu\mu}}\right]\mathcal{A}^{(0)}\left(\{p_i\}\right)\\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} g_s\,\mathbf{T}_{l,a}\left[\frac{p_{l,\mu}}{p_l\cdot k} - \frac{\mathrm{i}k^{\nu}\,\mathrm{J}^{(l)}_{\nu\mu}}{p_l\cdot k}\right]\mathcal{A}^{(0)}\left(\{p_i\}\right)\end{aligned}$$

- leads to Scalar + Orbital + Spin part of the NLP amplitude

Colour singlet production in gg channel



- Square amplitude

$$|\mathcal{A}_{\rm NLP}|^2 = \sum_{\rm colours} \left(\mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1,\,\mu_1\nu_1}_{\rm scal.} + \mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1,\,\mu_1\nu_1}_{\rm spin} + \mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1,\,\mu_1\nu_1}_{\rm orb.}\right)^* \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(p_1,l_1)\mathcal{P}_{\nu_1\nu_2}(p_2,l_2)\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(k,l_3)$$
$$\times \left(\mathcal{A}^{\sigma_2,\,\mu_2\nu_2}_{\rm scal.} + \mathcal{A}^{\sigma_2,\,\mu_2\nu_2}_{\rm spin} + \mathcal{A}^{\sigma_2,\,\mu_2\nu_2}_{\rm orb.}\right), \qquad (3.7)$$

✓ where $$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha\beta}(p,l) \equiv \sum_\lambda \epsilon^{(\lambda)}_\alpha(p)\,\epsilon^{(\lambda)*}_\beta(p) = -\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{p_\alpha l_\beta + p_\beta l_\alpha}{p\cdot l}$$

- Can be done using $-\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ only (external ghosts are beyond NLP)
- Truncate to NLP, leads to

$$|\mathcal{A}_{\rm NLP}|^2 = \sum_{\rm colours} \left\{ |\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\,\mu\nu}_{\rm scal.}|^2 + 2{\rm Re}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\,\mu\nu}_{\rm spin} + \mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\,\mu\nu}_{\rm orb.}\right)^* \mathcal{A}_{{\rm scal.}\,\sigma,\,\mu\nu}\right]\right\}$$

- Easy part: scalar (eikonal) part

$$\sum_{\rm colours} |\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\,\mu\nu}_{\rm scal.}|^2 = 2g_s^2 N_c\left(N_c^2-1\right)\frac{p_1\cdot p_2}{p_1\cdot k\, p_2\cdot k}\,|\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}|^2$$

CS production in gg channel

- Spin * scalar vanishes (anti-symmetriy in μv)
- Orbital part leads to shifts in momentum dependence

$$\sum_{\text{colours}} 2\,\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\,\mu\nu}_{\text{orb.}}\mathcal{A}_{\text{scal.}\,\sigma,\,\mu\nu}\right] \;=\; \frac{2g_s^2 N_c\left(N_c^2-1\right)p_1\cdot p_2}{p_1\cdot k\,p_2\cdot k}\left[\delta p_1^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1^\alpha}+\delta p_2^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial p_2^\alpha}\right]|\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}|^2$$

✓ where

$$\delta p_1^\alpha \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_2\cdot k}{p_1\cdot p_2}\,p_1^\alpha-\frac{p_1\cdot k}{p_1\cdot p_2}\,p_2^\alpha+k^\alpha\right),\quad \delta p_2^\alpha \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_1\cdot k}{p_1\cdot p_2}\,p_2^\alpha-\frac{p_2\cdot k}{p_1\cdot p_2}\,p_1^\alpha+k^\alpha\right)$$

- Result is simple: dipole times shifted squared LO amplitude

$$\boxed{|\mathcal{A}_{\text{NLP}}|^2 \;=\; \frac{2g_s^2 N_c\left(N_c^2-1\right)p_1\cdot p_2}{p_1\cdot k\,p_2\cdot k}\,|\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}\left(p_1+\delta p_1,p_2+\delta p_2\right)|^2}$$

CS production in $q\bar{q}$ channel



- Scalar plus orbital part very similar to gg case

$$|A^{\sigma}_{\rm NLP}|^2_{\rm scal.+orb.} = \frac{g_s^2 C_F}{z} \frac{s}{p_1\cdot k\, p_2\cdot k} \, |A(p_1+\delta p_1, p_2+\delta p_2)|^2$$

  - except for the 1/z , which is due to the kinematic shift

$$s \;\to\; (p_1+p_2+\delta p_1+\delta p_2)^2 \;=\; s + 2\,(\delta p_1+\delta p_2)\cdot(p_1+p_2)$$

  - which is the same as

$$s \;\longrightarrow\; z s$$

- But the spin part now does not cancel:

$$\sum_{\rm colours} 2\,{\rm Re}\left[A^{\dagger}_{\rm scal.}\, A_{\rm spin}\right]_{\rm NLP} = -\, g_s^2 N_c\, C_F \, \frac{2p_1\cdot p_2}{p_1\cdot k\, p_2\cdot k} \underbrace{\frac{k\cdot(p_1+p_2)}{p_1\cdot p_2}}_{-(1-z)} \, |\mathcal{A}(p_1,p_2)|^2$$

  - precisely compensates 1/z ≅ 1 + (1-z)!!

Squared amplitudes and cross sections

- In summary
  - gluons $$|\mathcal{A}_{\rm NLP}|^2 = \frac{2g_s^2 N_c \left(N_c^2-1\right) p_1\cdot p_2}{p_1\cdot k\, p_2\cdot k} \left|\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}\left(p_1+\delta p_1, p_2+\delta p_2\right)\right|^2$$
  - quarks $$|A_{\rm NLP}|^2 = g_s^2\, C_F\, \frac{s}{p_1\cdot k\, p_2\cdot k} \left|A(p_1+\delta p_1, p_2+\delta p_2)\right|^2$$
- Up to colour factors the same:
  - eikonal (dipole) factor times shifted Born cross section
  - Born can be loop-induced, have complex parts etc.
- Combine carefully with phase space for general inclusive formula

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}^{(gg)}_{\rm NLP}}{dz} = C_A\, K_{\rm NLP}\left(z,\epsilon\right) \hat{\sigma}^{(gg)}_{\rm Born}\left(zs\right) \qquad K_{\rm NLP}\left(z,\epsilon\right) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{s}\right)^{\epsilon} z\left(1-z\right)^{-1-2\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(-2\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}$$

Single Higgs production





Infinite top mass limit not needed
extra operators = shift in kinematics

- Single Higgs production

$$\frac{d\sigma^h_{\rm NLP}}{dz} = \frac{\alpha_s^3 C_A}{288\pi^2 v^2} F(z\tau,\epsilon)\left(\frac{2-\mathcal{D}_0(z)}{\epsilon} + 2\mathcal{D}_1(z) - \mathcal{D}_0(z) - 4\log(1-z) + 2\right)$$

  - with F the well-known Born function. D's and L's agree with exact calculation, but also with full top mass dependence!

Dawson; Spira, Djouadi, Graudenz, Zerwas

Di-Higgs production





Borowka, Greiner, Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke

- Double Higgs production at NLO-NLP

$$z\,\frac{d\sigma^{hh}_{\rm NLP}}{dz} = \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi}\,C_A\left(\frac{\overline{\mu}^2}{s}\right)^{\epsilon}\left[\frac{12-6\mathcal{D}_0(z)}{\epsilon}+12\mathcal{D}_1(z)-24\log(1-z)\right]\sigma^{hh}_{\rm Born}(zs)$$

  - where

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}^{hh}_{\rm Born}}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{8\pi^3}\,\frac{1}{512\,v^4}\left[\left|C_\triangle F_\triangle + C_\square F_\square\right|^2 + \left|C_\square G_\square\right|^2\right]$$

    - ✓ with triangle and box graphs, again for full top mass dependence
  - Should be useful for numerical evaluations, and seeing new patterns
- Similar result for triple-Higgs production

De Florian, Mazzitelli

Final state partons:
Prompt photon production

Beenakker, van Beekveld, EL, White
to appear

With FS partons: prompt photon

- Two LO channels: $q\bar{q}$ and qg





- With extra radiation, different ways to define threshold. We shall use "w"→1

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= (p_1 - p_\gamma)^2 \equiv -svw \\
t_1 &= (p_2 - p_\gamma)^2 \equiv s(v-1) \\
s_4 &= s + t_1 + u_1 = sv(1-w)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Two issues to deal with
  - shifting kinematics in 2 → 2 kinematics
  - soft fermion emission

Gluon emission

- For $q\bar{q}$ channel









- Can in fact write down general formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\rm NLP} &= \mathcal{A}_{\rm scal} + \mathcal{A}_{\rm spin} + \mathcal{A}_{\rm orb} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \frac{g_s \mathbf{T}_j}{2p_j \cdot k} \left(\mathcal{O}^{\sigma}_{{\rm scal},j} + \mathcal{O}^{\sigma}_{{\rm spin},j} + \mathcal{O}^{\sigma}_{{\rm orb},j} \right) \otimes i\mathcal{M}_{\rm H}(p_1, \ldots, p_i, \ldots, p_{n+2}) \epsilon^*_{\sigma}(k),
\end{aligned}
$$

  - color charge and spin generator depends on emitting IS or FS particle
  - orbitral part on IS or FS particle

Squared amplitude at NLP

- Result: again dipoles plus momentum shift
- Important to implement $2 \longrightarrow 3$ momentum conservation in $2 \longrightarrow 2$ matrix element
  - used Catani-Seymour dipoles (FKS is also possible)

Gervais

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{NLP},q\bar{q}\to\gamma gg}|^2 \;=\; \frac{C_F}{C_A}\Bigg[& C_F \frac{2p_1\cdot p_2}{(p_1\cdot k)(p_2\cdot k)}\,|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to\gamma g}(p_1+\delta p_{1;2}, p_2+\delta p_{2;1})|^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{2}C_A \frac{2p_1\cdot p_R}{(p_1\cdot k)(p_R\cdot k)}\,|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to\gamma g}(p_1+\delta p_{1;R}, p_R-\delta p_{R;1})|^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{2}C_A \frac{2p_2\cdot p_R}{(p_2\cdot k)(p_R\cdot k)}\,|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to\gamma g}(p_2+\delta p_{2;R}, p_R-\delta p_{R;2})|^2 \\
& -\frac{1}{2}C_A \frac{2p_1\cdot p_2}{(p_1\cdot k)(p_2\cdot k)}\,|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to\gamma g}(p_1+\delta p_{1;2}, p_2+\delta p_{2;1})|^2 \Bigg].
\end{aligned}
$$

Note sign change for final state emitter

- Integrate over NLO phase, agrees with NLO calculation including ln(1-w) terms

Gordon, Vogelsang

Soft fermions

- At NLP (not LP) one can have soft fermion emission



  - Effective feynman rule for left diagram (note that "u(k)" is of order √k)

$$i\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{NLP},1,g} = \frac{g_s T^a_{c_m c_j}}{(p_1-k)^2 + i\varepsilon}\epsilon^\mu(p_1)\bar{u}(k)\gamma_\mu \not{p}_1 \mathcal{M}_{c_j}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n+2})$$

  - Right diagram

$$i\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{NLP},1,g} = \frac{g_s T^b_{c_m c_i}}{(p_1-k)^2 + i\varepsilon}\bar{u}(k)\gamma_\rho u(p_1)\mathcal{M}_{\rho,b}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n+2}).$$

- Squaring amplitude and integration over phase space gives agreement with exact NLO
  - Must keep careful track of singular regions

LL resummation of NLP logarithms

Bahjat-Abbas, Bonocore, EL, Magnea, Sinninghe Damsté, Vernazza, White

to appear

LL resummation of NLP logarithms

- We have organized NLP threshold logs at NLO and NNLO for Drell-Yan. Can one resum them?
- First resummation conjecture: just change kernel in regular resummation formula

Kraemer, EL, Spira; 1998
EL, Magnea, Stavenga

$$\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \longrightarrow \frac{2}{1-z} - 2$$

  - reproduced NNLO NLP logs of van Neerven et al
- Physical kernel approach for inclusive quantities
  - using single log behaviour of kernel

Soar, Moch, Vemaseren, Vogt;
Moch, Vogt; Mattizelli, de Florian

- Recent LL resummation using SCET

Beneke, Broggio, Garny, Jaskiewicz,
Szafron, LV, Wang, 2018

$$\Delta^{\rm LL}_{\rm NLP}(z,\mu) = \exp\left[4S^{\rm LL}(\mu_h,\mu) - 4S^{\rm LL}(\mu_s,\mu)\right] \times \frac{-8C_F}{\beta_0} \ln \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_s)}\, \theta(1-z)\,.$$

Eikonal exponentiation

- After eikonal approximation, we suddenly see interesting patterns.

One loop vertex correction, in eikonal approximation



$$\mathcal{A}_0 \int d^n k \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{p \cdot \bar{p}}{(p \cdot k)(\bar{p} \cdot k)}$$

Two loop vertex correction, in eikonal approximation



$$\mathcal{A}_0 \frac{1}{2} \left(\int d^n k \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{p \cdot \bar{p}}{(p \cdot k)(\bar{p} \cdot k)} \right)^2$$

Exponential series



Yennie, Frautschi, Suura '61

QCD exponentiation: webs



- Not immediately generalizable to QCD, seemingly
  - Vertices terms have color charges, which don't commute
  - Still, an exponentiation theorem holds

$$\sum_D \mathcal{F}_D C_D = \exp\left[\sum_i \bar{C}_i w_i\right]$$

Webs

- Exp $\Big[$ C_F  $+\left(-\frac{1}{2}C_A C_F\right)$  $+\left(-\frac{1}{2}C_A C_F\right)$  $+\ldots$ $\Big]$

Gatheral; Frenkel, Taylor; Sterman

EL, Stavenga, White

Eikonal approximation from QM path integrals

EL, Stavenga, White

Use textbook result

$$\text{Sum of all diagrams} = \exp\Big(\text{Connected diagrams}\Big)$$

Write scattering amplitude as first-quantized path integral

$$M(p_1, p_2, \{k\}) = \int \mathcal{D}A_s\, \mathcal{D}x(t)\, H[x]\, f_1[A_s, x(t)]\, f_2[A_s, x(t)]\, e^{iS[A_s]}$$

Eikonal vertices are sources for gauge bosons along line



Disconnected

Connected

Can be generalized to non-abelian case (using replica trick)

NLP amplitude exponentiation via path integral

EL, Magnea, Stavenga, White

- Fluctuations around classical path are NE corrections
  - All NLP corrections from external lines exponentiate
  - Keep track via scaling variable λ

$$p^\mu = \lambda n^\mu$$

$$f(\infty) = \int_{x(0)=0} \mathcal{D}x \, \exp\left[i \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\dot{x}^2 + (n+\dot{x})\cdot A(x_i + nt + x) + \frac{i}{2\lambda}\partial \cdot A(x_i + p_f t + x) \right) \right]$$



- Exponentiation then in terms of NLP webs

$$\sum C(D)\mathcal{F}(D) = \exp\left[\bar{C}(D)W_{\mathrm{E}}(D) + \bar{C}'(D)W_{\mathrm{NE}}(D)\right]$$

Bonocore, EL, Magnea, Melville, Vernazza, White

LL resummation for cross section at NLP

- Can show that phase space NLP effects behave as

$$\varepsilon\,(1-z)$$

  - i.e. softness suppression comes with singularity suppression
- Can show that there are no LL enhancements from purely collinear regions (single log)
- LL effects come then only from NLP soft function

Exponentiating NLP soft function

- Moments of cross section

$$\int_0^1 d\tau\, \tau^{N-1} \frac{d\sigma_{\rm DY}}{d\tau}\bigg|_{\rm LL,\,NLP} = \sigma_0(Q^2)\, q_N(Q^2)\bar{q}_N(Q^2)\, \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\rm NLP}(N, Q^2, \epsilon),$$

- with NLP soft function (f's are NLP Wilson lines)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{N_c}\sum_n {\rm Tr}\left[\langle 0|f_2^\dagger f_1|n\rangle\langle n|f_1^\dagger f_2|0\rangle\right]\delta\left(z - \frac{Q^2}{\hat{s}}\right).$$

- Exponentiation then gives

$$\int_0^1 d\tau\, \tau^{N-1} \frac{d\sigma_{\rm DY}}{d\tau}\bigg|_{\rm LL,\,NLP} = \sigma_0(Q^2)\, q_{\rm LL,\,NLP}(N, Q^2)\, \bar{q}_{\rm LL,\,NLP}(N, Q^2)$$
$$\times \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi}\left(2\log^2(N) + \frac{4\log(N)}{N}\right)\right].$$

  - agrees with old conjecture

Summary

- NLP factorization (LBDK theorem) leads to strong prediction for NLP threshold logs
  - Drell-Yan at NNLO
- Explicit formulae at NLO for any colour singlet final state, and now also coloured final state
- LL resummation at NLP for Drell-Yan done
  - NLL seems much harder..