

#### Scattering in the High Energy Limit and SVMPLs

Bram Verbeek UCLouvain 31/10/2018

work in collaboration with V. Del Duca, S. Druc, J. Drummond, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, R. Marzucca & G. Papathanasiou

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへで

1/44



#### Understand the mathematical structure of scattering amplitudes.

(Planar)  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills theory is a perfect laboratory.

# $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang-Mills



Maximally supersymmetric  $SU(N_c)$  Yang-Mills in 4D.

Many special properties:

- Conformal symmetry (massless,  $\beta(g) = 0$  to all orders).
- ► Maximal transcendentality → functions appearing in an l loop amplitude are always of 'transcendentality'/weight 2l:

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\tt @ 1-Loop:} & {\tt Li}_2(x), \log^2(x), \zeta_2 \\ {\tt @ }\ell\text{-Loop:} & {\tt Generalised Polylogarithms:} \\ G(\underbrace{a_1,...,a_{2\ell}}_{{\tt weight } 2\ell};z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t-a_1} G(a_2,...,a_{2\ell};t). \end{array}$$

#### Planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills



In the limit  $N_c \to \infty$  with  $g^2 N_c \sim \mathcal{O}(1),$  a hidden symmetry appears



Define new coordinates  $x_i$  s.t.

 $x_i - x_{i-1} = p_i.$ 

A seperate conformal group acts on x-space.  $\rightarrow$  Dual Conformal Symmetry

[Drummond, Henn, Korchemsky, Sokatchev]

<ロ> 4回> 4回> 4目> 4目> 目 のへで 4/44



# Dual Conformal Symmetry fixes 4 & 5 point amplitudes completely. [Anastasiou, Bern, Dixon, Kosower; Bern, Dixon, Smirnov]

For 6 and 7 points, impressive high-loop results. [Caron-Huot, Del Duca, Dixon, Duhr, Drummond, Golden, Goncharov, Harrington, Henn, Kosower, McLeod, Papathanasiou, Pennington, Smirnov, Spradlin, Vergu, Volovich, Von Hippel, ...]:

#### 8 points and beyond. $\rightarrow$ Special kinematic limit.

#### The High-Energy Limit

# The High-Energy (Regge) Limit



Example:  $2 \rightarrow 2$  gluon scattering



in the kinematic (Regge) limit

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 \gg -t = \frac{s}{2} (1 - \cos \theta),$$

 $\rightarrow$  very forward scattering.

## The Regge Limit



[Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev, Lipatov]: high-energy limit of QCD  $\rightarrow$  resum large logarithms.

At  $\ell$  loops we have

...

- $\sim \alpha_S^{\ell} \log^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{s}{-t}\right)$  is leading logarithmic order  $\sum$  leading logarithmic terms = Leading Logarithmic Approximation (LLA)
- ►  $\sim \alpha_S^{\ell} \log^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{s}{-t}\right)$  is next-to-leading logarithmic order  $\sum$  next-to-leading logarithmic terms = Next-to-Leading Logarithmic Approximation (NLLA)

#### The Multi-Regge Limit

Move on to n-gluon scattering.





Strong ordering in angle wrt beam axis ( $p_1^+ = p_2^- = \mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 = 0$ )

$$p_3^+ \gg p_4^+ \gg \dots p_{N-1}^+ \gg p_N^+$$

No hierarchy in transverse plane

$$|\mathbf{p}_3| \simeq \ldots \simeq |\mathbf{p}_N|$$
.

#### The Multi-Regge Limit



All nontrivial kinematics are in the transverse plane.



Dual conformal invariance restricts our variables to

$$z_i = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})}{(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}.$$

For N-point scattering: N-5 dual conformal cross ratios.

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > う < で 10/44

#### Geometrical Structure

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > ○ < ♡ < 11/44

#### The Moduli Space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$



Kinematics are determined by n = N - 2 points in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ .  $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$ = space of configurations for n points on the Riemann sphere.  $\rightarrow$  the phase space for MRK.



 $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}_{0,N-2} = N - 5$   $\downarrow$   $N - 5 \text{ dual conformal cross ratios } \{z_i\}.$ 

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 の 4 で 12/44

#### Iterated Integrals on $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$





Degenerate configurations occur when points coincide.

 $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_j$ 

Which corresponds to soft limits.

The singularity structure of functions on  $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$  should respect this.

・ロ ・ ・ 一 戸 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ う へ ()
13/44

#### Iterated Integrals on $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$



14/44

All iterated integrals on  $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$  can be written in terms of generalised polylogarithms  $_{[\operatorname{Brown}]}$ 

$$G(a_1, ..., a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, ..., a_n; t).$$

Example:

$$G(a; z) = \log\left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)$$
$$G(0, 1; z) = \mathsf{Li}_2(z).$$

Amplitudes in MRK are made up of polylogarithms.

#### Single-Valued Polylogarithms

Branch cuts occur when

$$(x_i - x_j)^2 = 0.$$

Thus logarithms that appear in the first factor must obey [Gaiotto, Maldacena, Sever, Viera]

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \sim \log(x_i - x_j)^2 \otimes \dots$$

For MRK we are restricted to the transverse plane, thus

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}^{MRK}) \sim \log \underbrace{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^2}_{\geq 0} \otimes \dots$$

and amplitudes in the Multi-Regge limit are single-valued.

[Dixon, Duhr, Pennington]



#### Single-Valued Polylogarithms

One can combine polylogarithms and their complex conjugates such that all branch cuts cancel.

Associate to each G(a,b,...;z) a single-valued function  $\mathcal{G}(a,b,...;z)$  such that

$$\partial_z \mathcal{G}(a, b, ...; z) = \frac{1}{z - a} \mathcal{G}(b, ...; z).$$

For example:

$$\mathcal{G}(a;z) = G(a;z) + G(\bar{a};\bar{z}) = \log\left|1 + \frac{z}{a}\right|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(a,b;z) &= G(a,z)G\left(\bar{b},\overline{z}\right) + G(b,a)G\left(\bar{a},\overline{z}\right) + G\left(\bar{b},\bar{a}\right)G\left(\bar{a},\overline{z}\right) \\ &- G(a,b)G\left(\bar{b},\overline{z}\right) - G\left(\bar{a},\bar{b}\right)G\left(\bar{b},\overline{z}\right) \\ &+ G\left(\bar{b},\bar{a},\overline{z}\right) + G(a,b,z). \end{aligned}$$



<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Scattering Amplitudes in MRK at LLA

#### The MRK Ratio

C

We are interested in the perturbative expansion of

$$\mathcal{R}_{h_{4}\dots h_{N-1}} \sim \frac{\mathcal{A}_{N}(-,+,h_{4},\dots,h_{N-1},+,-)}{\mathcal{A}_{N}^{\mathsf{BDS}}(-,+,h_{4},\dots,h_{N-1},+,-)}\Big|_{\mathsf{MRK}}$$

at leading logarithmic accuracy. At LLA up to  $\ell$  loops we write for six, seven and a generic number of particles respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{h_4h_5}^{(\ell)} &= 2\pi i a^{\ell} \left( \frac{\log^{\ell-1} \tau_1}{(\ell-1)!} \right) g_{h_4,h_5}^{(\ell-1)}(z_1) \\ \mathcal{R}_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(\ell)} &= 2\pi i a^{\ell} \sum_{i_1+i_2=\ell-1} \left( \frac{\log^{i_1} \tau_1}{i_1!} \frac{\log^{i_2} \tau_2}{i_2!} \right) g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i_1,i_2)}(z_1,z_2) \\ \mathcal{R}_{h_4\dots h_{N-1}}^{(\ell)} &= 2\pi i a^{\ell} \sum_{\sum i_k=\ell-1} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{N-5} \frac{\log^{i_k} \tau_k}{i_k!} \right) g_{h_4\dots h_{N-1}}^{(i_1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(\{z_i\}). \end{aligned}$$

where a is the coupling constant and  $\log(\tau_i)$  are the large logs.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

18/44

#### Factorisation

#### In MRK the amplitude factorises.

[Bartels, Lipatov, Sabio-Vera; Bartels, Kormilitzin, Lipatov, Prygarin]



For example, at 7 points LLA

$$\mathcal{R}_{h_4h_5h_6} \sim a\mathcal{F}\left[\chi_0^{h_4}\tau_1^{aE_{\nu 1,n1}}C_0^{h_5}\tau_2^{aE_{\nu 2,n2}}\chi_0^{-h_6}\right]$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} |z|^{2i\nu} f(\nu, n)$$

denotes the Fourier-Mellin transform.



<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Factorisation



The building blocks are given by

$$\chi_0^{\pm}(\nu, n) = \frac{1}{i\nu \pm \frac{n}{2}},$$

$$E_{\nu n} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{|n|}{\nu^2 + \frac{n^2}{2}} + \psi \left(1 + i\nu + \frac{|n|}{2}\right) + \psi \left(1 - i\nu + \frac{|n|}{2}\right) - 2\psi(1),$$

$$C_0^+(\nu_1, n_1, \nu_2, n_2) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\nu_1 - \frac{n_1}{2})\Gamma(i\nu_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + i\nu_1 - \frac{n_1}{2})\Gamma(-i\nu_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})} \times \frac{\Gamma(i\nu_1 - i\nu_2 - \frac{n_1}{2} + \frac{n_2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - i\nu_1 + i\nu_2 - \frac{n_1}{2} + \frac{n_2}{2})}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三 ◆○へ⊙

20/44

#### Convolution Structure





$$\mathcal{F}\left[\chi_0^{h_4}\tau_1^{aE_{\nu 1,n1}}C_0^{h_5}\tau_2^{aE_{\nu 2,n2}}\chi_0^{-h_6}\right] = \sum_{ij} \frac{a^{i+j}}{i!j!}\log^i\tau_1\log^j\tau_2 g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i,j)}$$

$$g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i,j)} = \mathcal{F}\left[\chi_0^{h_4} E_{\nu_1,n_1}^i C_0^{h_5} E_{\nu_2,n_2}^j \chi_0^{-h_6}\right]$$

・ロ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 王 ・ 王 ・ シ マ へ へ 21/44

#### Fourier-Mellin Convolutions



The Fourier-Mellin transform maps products into convolutions

$$\mathcal{F}[f \cdot g] = \mathcal{F}[f] * \mathcal{F}[g] = \int \frac{d^2\omega}{|\omega|^2} \mathcal{F}[f](\omega) \mathcal{F}[g]\left(\frac{z}{\omega}\right).$$

This means that we can compute

$$g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i,j)} = \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi_0^{h_4} E_{\nu_1,n_1}^i C_0^{h_5} E_{\nu_2,n_2}^j \chi_0^{-h_6} \right]$$

by performing convolution integrals over a finite set of building blocks

 $\{\mathcal{F}[\chi], \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{C}], \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{E}]\}.$ 

<□ ト < □ ト < □ ト < Ξ ト < Ξ ト Ξ の Q (P) 22/44</p>

#### Stokes' Theorem



We are dealing with single-valued functions with isolated singularities on the Riemann sphere.

One can use Stokes' theorem to compute convolutions [Schnetz]

$$\int \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} f(z) = \mathrm{Res}_{z=\infty} F(z) - \sum_i \mathrm{Res}_{z=a_i} F(z)$$

where

$$\bar{\partial}_z F = f.$$

Convolutions reduce to residue computations.

#### **Convolution Structure**



$$\begin{split} g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i,j)} &= \mathcal{F}\left[\chi_0^{h_4} E_{\nu_1,n_1}^i C_0^{h_5} E_{\nu_2,n_2}^j \chi_0^{-h_6}\right] \\ &= \mathcal{F}\left[E_{\nu_1,n_1}\right] * \mathcal{F}\left[\chi_0^{h_4} E_{\nu_1,n_1}^{i-1} C_0^{h_5} E_{\nu_2,n_2}^j \chi_0^{-h_6}\right] \\ &= \mathcal{F}\left[E_{\nu_1,n_1}\right] * g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i-1,j)} \end{split}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F}\left[ \underline{E}_{\nu_i,n_i} \right] = -\frac{1}{2}(z_i + \bar{z}_i)/|1-z_i|^2$  and so

$$g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i,j)}(z_1, z_2) = \int \frac{d^2\omega}{|\omega|^2} \frac{-(\omega + \bar{\omega})}{2|1 - \omega|^2} \underbrace{g_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(i-1,j)}\left(\frac{z_1}{\omega}, z_2\right)}_{\text{SV-POLYLOGS}}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Obtain higher-order coefficients by convoluting with  $\mathcal{F}[E_{\nu,n}]$  from low-loop result.

[Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr , Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### **Convolution Structure**



$$\begin{split} g_{-++}^{(i,j)} &= \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi_0^- E_{\nu_1,n_1}^i C_0^+ E_{\nu_2,n_2}^j \chi_0^- \right] \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi_0^- / \chi_0^+ \right] * \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi_0^+ E_{\nu_1,n_1}^i C_0^+ E_{\nu_2,n_2}^j \chi_0^- \right] \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi_0^- / \chi_0^+ \right] * g_{+++}^{(i,j)} \end{split}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F}\left[\chi_{0}^{-}/\chi_{0}^{+}\right]=-z_{i}/(1-z_{i})^{2}$  and so

$$g_{-++}^{(i,j)} = \int \frac{d^2\omega}{|\omega|^2} \frac{-\omega}{(1-\omega)^2} \underbrace{g_{+++}^{(i,j)}\left(\frac{z_1}{\omega}, z_2\right)}_{\text{SV-POLYLOGS}}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Obtain any helicity configuration by performing a convolution on the MHV coefficients.

[Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr , Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

#### Factorisation of Perturbative Coefficients

C

When expressed in terms of  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}$  we see that

$$g_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}h_6}^{(0,1)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2) = g_{\mathbf{h}h_6}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_2).$$



Known at 2 loops [Bartels, Kormilitzin, Lipatov, Prygarin]

In general: propagators with no  ${\cal E}$  where the neighbouring helicities are equal can be dropped.

[Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr , Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



27/44

This allows us to determine all *n*-point LLA MHV scattering amplitudes up to a certain loop order.

$$g_{+\dots+}^{(0,\dots,0,i_{a_1},0,\dots,0,i_{a_2},0,\dots,0,i_{a_k},0,\dots,0)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_{N-5})$$
$$=g_{+\dots+}^{(i_{a_1},i_{a_2},\dots,i_{a_k})}(\mathbf{x}_{a_1},\dots,\mathbf{x}_{a_k})$$

[Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr , Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

#### Factorisation of Perturbative Coefficients



28/44

For example

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{+\dots+} = \sum_{i} \log \tau_i \, g^{(1)}_{++}(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

[Bartels, Kormilitzin, Lipatov, Prygarin]

$$\mathcal{R}^{(3)}_{+\dots+} = \sum_{i} \log^2 \tau_i \, g^{(2)}_{++}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \log \tau_i \log \tau_j \, g^{(1,1)}_{+++}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

The MHV LLA amplitude at  $\ell$  loops is completely fixed by contributions up to  $\ell+4$  particles.

[Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr , Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

#### Factorisation of Perturbative Coefficients



Beyond MHV, we encounter unfactorizable contributions

$$\mathcal{R}_{-+\dots}^{(2)} = \log \tau_1 g_{-+}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \sum_{j=2}^{N-5} \log \tau_j g_{-++}^{(0,1)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_j),$$
  
$$\mathcal{R}_{+-+\dots}^{(2)} = \log \tau_1 g_{+-+}^{(1,0)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) + \log \tau_2 g_{+-+}^{(0,1)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$$
  
$$+ \sum_{j=3}^{N-5} \log \tau_j g_{+-++}^{(0,0,1)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ・ ・ 注 ・ 注 ・ う へ (\* 29/44

#### Scattering Amplitudes in MRK at NLLA

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



At NLLA we get contributions from NLO building blocks



For example, at 7 points:

$$f_{h_4h_5h_6} = a\mathcal{F}\left[\chi^{h_4}\tau_1^{-\omega(\nu_1,n_1)}C^{h_5}\tau_2^{-\omega(\nu_2,n_2)}\chi^{-h_6}\right]$$

where

$$\chi^{h_i} = \chi_0^{h_i} (1 + a\kappa_1^{h_i} + \dots)$$
  

$$C^{h_i} = C_0^{h_i} (1 + ac_1^{h_i} + \dots)$$
  

$$\omega(\nu_i, n_i) = -a(E_{\nu_i, n_i} + aE_{\nu_i, n_i}^{(1)} + \dots)$$



$$\chi^{h_i} = \chi_0^{h_i} (1 + a\kappa_1^{h_i} + \dots)$$
  

$$C^{h_i} = C_0^{h_i} (1 + ac_1^{h_i} + \dots)$$
  

$$\omega(\nu_i, n_i) = -a(E_{\nu_i, n_i} + aE_{\nu_i, n_i}^{(1)} + \dots)$$

The building blocks  $\chi^{h_i}$  and  $\omega(\nu_i,n_i)$  are known to all orders. [Basso, Caron-Huot, Sever]

The NLO contribution of the CEB  $c_1^{h_i}$  was extracted from the 2-loop NLLA symbol in MRK [Bargheer, Papathanasiou, Schomerus; Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr ,Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



$$\kappa_1^+(\nu, n) = \frac{1}{4} \left( E^2 + \frac{3}{4}N^2 - NV + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right)$$
$$E_{\nu,n}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4} \left( D^2 E - 2VDE + 4\zeta_2 E + 12\zeta_3 \right)$$

$$V(\nu,n) \equiv \frac{i\nu}{\nu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}} \,, \qquad N(\nu,n) = \frac{n}{\nu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}} \,, \qquad D_\nu = -i\partial/\partial\nu \,,$$



$$c_{1}^{+}(\nu_{1}, n_{1}, \nu_{2}, n_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ DE_{1} - DE_{2} + E_{1}E_{2} + \frac{1}{4}(N_{1} + N_{2})^{2} + V_{1}V_{2} + (V_{1} - V_{2})(M - E_{1} - E_{2}) + 2\zeta_{2} + i\pi(V_{2} - V_{1} - E_{1} - E_{2}) \right] \\ - \frac{1}{4}(E_{1}^{2} + E_{2}^{2} + N_{1}V_{1} - N_{2}V_{2}) \\ - \frac{3}{16}(N_{1}^{2} + N_{2}^{2}) - \zeta_{2}$$

$$\begin{split} V(\nu,n) &\equiv \frac{i\nu}{\nu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}} \,, \qquad N(\nu,n) = \frac{n}{\nu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}} \,, \qquad D_\nu = -i\partial/\partial\nu \,, \\ M(\nu_1,n_1,\nu_2,n_2) &= \psi(i(\nu_1 - \nu_2) - \frac{n_1 - n_2}{2}) \\ &+ \psi(1 - i(\nu_1 - \nu_2) - \frac{n_1 - n_2}{2}) - 2\psi(1) \,. \end{split}$$

#### Convolutions at NLLA



$$\chi^{h_i} = \chi_0^{h_i} (1 + a\kappa_1^{h_i} + \dots)$$
  

$$C^{h_i} = C_0^{h_i} (1 + ac_1^{h_i} + \dots)$$
  

$$\omega(\nu_i, n_i) = -a(E_{\nu_i, n_i} + aE_{\nu_i, n_i}^{(1)} + \dots)$$

At NLLA every term can at most have one NLO contribution. If we write

$$\varpi_7 = \chi_0^+ C_0^+ \chi_0^-$$

we get for example at two loops

$$\begin{split} f_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(2),\mathsf{NLLA}} &= \left. a\mathcal{F} \left[ \chi^{h_4} \tau_1^{-\omega(\nu_1,n_1)} C^{h_5} \tau_2^{-\omega(\nu_2,n_2)} \chi^{-h_6} \right] \right|_{\text{2-loop NLLA}} \\ &= a^2 \left( \mathcal{F} \left[ \kappa_1^{h_4} \varpi_7 \right] + \mathcal{F} \left[ c_1^{h_5} \varpi_7 \right] + \mathcal{F} \left[ \kappa_1^{-h_6} \varpi_7 \right] \right) \\ &= a^2 \mathcal{F} \left[ (\kappa_1^{h_4} + c_1^{h_5} + \kappa_1^{-h_6}) \varpi_7 \right]. \end{split}$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 35/44

.

#### Convolutions at NLLA



#### The three-loop contribution would give us

$$f_{h_4h_5h_6}^{(3),\mathsf{NLLA}} = a^3 \sum_i \left( \mathcal{F} \left[ E_{\nu_i,n_i} (\kappa_1^{h_4} + c_1^{h_5} + \kappa_1^{-h_6}) \varpi_7 \right] + \mathcal{F} \left[ E_{\nu_i,n_i}^{(1)} \varpi_7 \right] \right)$$

Which we can write as

$$a^{3}\sum_{i}\left(\mathcal{F}\left[\underline{E}_{\nu_{i},n_{i}}\right]*\mathcal{F}\left[(\kappa_{1}^{h_{4}}+c_{1}^{h_{5}}+\kappa_{1}^{-h_{6}})\varpi_{7}\right]+\mathcal{F}\left[\underline{E}_{\nu_{i},n_{i}}^{(1)}\varpi_{7}\right]\right).$$

So if we know

$$\left\{\mathcal{F}\left[\kappa_{1}^{h_{i}}\varpi_{7}\right], \mathcal{F}\left[c_{1}^{h_{i}}\varpi_{7}\right], \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{E_{\nu_{i},n_{i}}^{(1)}}{\omega_{7}}\right]\right\}$$

we can build up NLLA amplitudes at arbitrary loop orders through convolutions with  $\mathcal{F}[E_{\nu_i,n_i}]$ .

#### Convolutions at NLLA



The NLLA MHV 2-loop amplitude is known for any number of particles, which gives us  $\mathcal{F}\left[(\kappa_1^{h_4} + c_1^{h_5} + \kappa_1^{-h_6})\varpi_7\right]$ . [Bargheer, Papathanasiou, Schomerus; Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr, Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

The term  $\mathcal{F}\left[E_{\nu_i,n_i}^{(1)}\varpi_7\right]$  can be extracted from the 3-loop 6 point NLLA MHV amplitude [Dixon, Duhr, Pennington] through NLLA factorisation of perturbative coefficients. [Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, Duhr, Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, BV]

#### NLLA Helicity Flips



To move beyond MHV

$$f_{-++} = \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi^{-} \tau_{1}^{-\omega(\nu_{1},n_{1})} C^{+} \tau_{2}^{-\omega(\nu_{2},n_{2})} \chi^{-} \right]$$
$$= \mathcal{F} \left[ \frac{\chi^{-}}{\chi^{+}} \right] * \mathcal{F} \left[ \chi^{+} \tau_{1}^{-\omega(\nu_{1},n_{1})} C^{+} \tau_{2}^{-\omega(\nu_{2},n_{2})} \chi^{-} \right]$$
$$= \mathcal{F} \left[ \frac{\chi^{-}}{\chi^{+}} \right] * f_{+++}.$$

We may expand

$$\mathcal{F}\left[rac{\chi^{-}}{\chi^{+}}
ight] = \mathcal{F}\left[rac{\chi^{-}_{0}}{\chi^{+}_{0}}
ight] + a\mathcal{H}_{1} + \dots$$

and note that

$$f_{-++}^{(\ell),\mathsf{NLLA}} = \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\chi_0^-}{\chi_0^+}\right] * f_{+++}^{(\ell),\mathsf{NLLA}} + a\,\mathcal{H}_1 * f_{+++}^{(\ell-1),\mathsf{LLA}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで



39/44

Example: The NLLA 7-particle 2-loop NMHV (-++)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}_{-++}^{(0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) = \mathfrak{ga}_{-++}^{(2;0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{\rho_{1}(\rho_{2}-1)}{(\rho_{1}-1)\rho_{2}}\mathfrak{gb}_{1,-++}^{(2;0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) \\ + \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{1}-1}\mathfrak{gb}_{2,-++}^{(2;0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

#### An NLLA Perturbative Coefficient



$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{ga}_{-++}^{(2;0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) = & \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2},0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2},\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{2}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{2}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{2}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2,0}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2,1}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \end{split}$$

< 고 > < 코 > < 코 > < 코 > < 코 > < 20/44</li>

#### An NLLA Perturbative Coefficient



$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{b}_{1,-++}^{(2;0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) &= -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{3}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2},1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2},1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2,0}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2,1}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \end{split}$$

#### An NLLA Perturbative Coefficient



$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{gb}_{2,-++}^{(2;0,0)}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right) &= \frac{3}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{3}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{3}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2},0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2},\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1,0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{1,1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{2}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \mathcal{G}_{3} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{0,\rho_{2}}\left(\rho_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,0}\left(\rho_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\mathcal{G}_{1,1}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_{\rho_{2},0}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \end{split}$$

<ロ><()</p>
<()</p>
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()

#### Conclusion



- Higher loop and leg amplitudes at LLA in MRK in Planar N = 4 SYM can be computed easily using convolutions of simple building blocks.
- Beyond LLA the formalism still holds. It has been applied as follows:
  - All MHV 5-loop amplitudes at LLA and 8-point LLA amplitudes for any helicity configuration up to 4 loops [1606.08807].
  - ▶ 7-point NLLA MHV up to 4 and NMHV up to 3 loops [1801.10605].
  - 8-point NLLA up to 3-loops for any helicity configuration [Marzucca, BV, (In Progress)].
- The understanding of the function space of MRK leads to great computational simplifications.

# Thank you

<ロ><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><0<</td>44/44