


# DNSSEC Protocol Parser: *A case study*



Fatema Bannat Wala  
Security Engineer  
University of Delaware  
*Fatema.bannatwala@gmail.com*

# About Me

- A big fan of Zeek IDS
- Have been working with Zeek for past 3.5 years
- Joined UD's IT Security Operations (IT-SecOps Team) in 2015
- Passionate about Cyber-Security
- Also a part-time Ph.D. student

# Agenda

- Motivation - How it all started??
- Why DNSSEC Protocol parser?
- Getting started ...
- Deep dive into code – The top-down approach!
- Summary

# UD's Traffic Profile

- 10 Gbps network links
- Average ~5Gbps network traffic
- Peak ~8Gbps
- 4 Zeek sensors, each getting 25% of total traffic
- Each sensor getting ~300,000pps
- Overall triggered Weird notices logged in weird.log were ~21M/day

# Motivation – How it All Started?

- Weird logs analysis – Almost ~200 unique weird notices in weird.log
- Investigating the condition triggering the weird notices logging
- But where to find them?
  - In core layer - source code of BRO IDS (in .cc files)
  - In script land - in base/ policy/ folders (in various .bro scripts )

# Motivation – How it All Started?

- The noisiest one was “DNS\_RR\_unknown\_type”

```
$ zcat weird.*.log.gz | awk -F'\t' '{print $7}' | sort | uniq -c | sort -rn
```

```
2,603,914 DNS_RR_unknown_type
```

```
2,160,812 possible_split_routing
```

```
2,092,811 inappropriate_FIN
```

- Ok, so what DNS RR types are unknown to Zeek?

```
$ zcat weird.*.log.gz | grep “DNS_RR_unknown” | awk -F'\t' '{print $7,$8}' | sort | uniq -c | sort -rn
```

```
1,049,729 DNS_RR_unknown_type 46
```

```
234,393 DNS_RR_unknown_type 50
```

```
99,914 DNS_RR_unknown_type 43
```

```
44,346 DNS_RR_unknown_type 47
```

```
26,592 DNS_RR_unknown_type 48
```

# Analysis - Why DNSSEC Protocol parser?

After looking up those RR numbers, looks like they belong to DNSSEC protocol types:

| RR Type ID | RR Type Name | Description  |
|------------|--------------|--|
| 46         | RRSIG        | Resource Record Signature  |
| 50         | NSEC3        | Next Secure Record v3  |
| 43         | DS           | Delegation Signer  |
| 47         | NSEC         | Next Secure Record   |
| 48         | DNSKEY       | Public Key record of the key pair used for Signing RRSIG Records |

# Getting started –Step by Step!

Find the condition that triggers the weird notice – ‘DNS\_RR\_unknown\_type’

➤ Script Land (/usr/local/bro/2.5.1/share) –

```
[user@sensor ~]$ grep -rnw '/usr/local/bro/default/share' -e 'DNS_RR_unknown_type'  
/usr/local/bro/default/share/bro/base/frameworks/notice/weird.bro:122:  
["DNS_RR_unknown_type"] = ACTION_LOG,
```

.... controls logging of different weirds..

Triggering condition not there.. hmm

```
....  
## A table specifying default/recommended  
actions per weird type.  
  
const actions: table[string] of Action = {  
....  
["DNS_RR_bad_length"] = ACTION_LOG,  
["DNS_RR_length_mismatch"] = ACTION_LOG,  
["DNS_RR_unknown_type"] = ACTION_LOG,  
....  
} &default=ACTION_LOG &redef;  
  
....  
....
```



# Getting started –Step by Step!

Find the condition that triggers that weird notice – ‘DNS\_RR\_unknown\_type’

➤ Core Layer (Source Code)–

```
[user@sensor bro-2.5.1]$ grep -rnw 'src/' -e 'DNS_RR_unknown_type'  
src/analyzer/protocol/dns/DNS.cc:326: analyzer->Weird("DNS_RR_unknown_type",  
fmt("%d", msg->atype));
```

Looks like DNS.cc defines the condition that triggers Weird notices for “DNS\_RR\_unknown\_type” weird notice.

# Getting started – So Far

What we know so far:

- ▶ ‘DNS\_RR\_unknown\_type’ is the noisiest weird we are trying to investigate.
- ▶ It isn’t defined in Script land
- ▶ It is defined in the source code, specifically in file DNS.cc

Some Tips for deep dive into the code..

- ▶ A good text/code editor - Sublime is a great text editor tool to effectively navigate between the code files.
  - ▶ Use the tool you are comfortable with!
- ▶ Need the source code of Zeek, so if not build from source, get the source code from the website/Github.

# Deep Dive into the Code

Let's take a look at DNS.cc - the condition that triggers 'DNS\_RR\_unknown\_type'

```
306
307     case TYPE_EDNS:
308         status = ParseRR_EDNS(msg, data, len, rdlength, msg_start);
309         break;
310
311     case TYPE_TSIG:
312         status = ParseRR_TSIG(msg, data, len, rdlength, msg_start);
313         break;
314
315     default:
316
317         if ( dns_unknown_reply && ! msg->skip_event )
318         {
319             val_list* vl = new val_list;
320             vl->append(analyzer->BuildConnVal());
321             vl->append(msg->BuildHdrVal());
322             vl->append(msg->BuildAnswerVal());
323             analyzer->ConnectionEvent(dns_unknown_reply, vl);
324         }
325
326         analyzer->Weird("DNS_RR_unknown_type", fmt("%d", msg->atype));
327         data += rdlength;
328         len -= rdlength;
329         status = 1;
330         break;
331 }
```

# Deep Dive into the Code

Let's take a look at DNS.h

```
35 typedef enum {
36     TYPE_A = 1,          ///< host address
37     TYPE_NS = 2,        ///< authoritative name server
38     TYPE_CNAME = 5,     ///< canonical name
39     TYPE_SOA = 6,       ///< start of authority
40     TYPE_WKS = 11,      ///< well known service
41     TYPE_PTR = 12,      ///< domain name pointer
42     TYPE_HINFO = 13,    ///< host information
43     TYPE_MX = 15,       ///< mail routing information
44     TYPE_TXT = 16,      ///< text strings
45     TYPE_SIG = 24,      ///< digital signature (RFC 2535)
46     TYPE_KEY = 25,      ///< public key (RFC 2535)
47     TYPE_PX = 26,       ///< pointer to X.400/RFC822 mapping info (RFC 1664)
48     TYPE_AAAA = 28,     ///< IPv6 address (RFC 1886)
49     TYPE_NBS = 32,      ///< Netbios name (RFC 1002)
50     TYPE_SRV = 33,      ///< service location (RFC 2052)
51     TYPE_NAPTR = 35,    ///< naming authority pointer (RFC 2168)
52     TYPE_KX = 36,       ///< Key Exchange (RFC 2230)
53     TYPE_CERT = 37,     ///< Certificate (RFC 2538)
54     TYPE_A6 = 38,       ///< IPv6 address with indirection (RFC 2874)
55     TYPE_DNAME = 39,    ///< Non-terminal DNS name redirection (RFC 2672)
56     TYPE_EDNS = 41,     ///< OPT pseudo-RR (RFC 2671)
57     TYPE_TKEY = 249,    ///< Transaction Key (RFC 2930)
58     TYPE_TSIG = 250,    ///< Transaction Signature (RFC 2845)
59     TYPE_CAA = 257,     ///< Certification Authority Authorization (RFC 6844)
60
61     // The following are only valid in queries.
62     TYPE_AXFR = 252,
63     TYPE_ALL = 255,
64     TYPE_WINS = 65281,   ///< Microsoft's WINS RR
65     TYPE_WINSR = 65282, ///< Microsoft's WINS-R RR
66 } RR_Type;
```

# Top Down Approach

So there are MANY RR Types parsers already written in DNS.cc

➤ Analysis:

➤ Can extend the code to include the parsing of unknown RR types corresponding to DNSSEC

➤ Find if there is a parsed RR type closest to any of the DNSSEC types?

➤ After quick look at the *DNS.h RR\_Type enum* & corresponding *DNS.cc*:

```
58  TYPE_TSIG = 250,    ///< Transaction Signature (RFC 2845)
59  TYPE_CAA = 257,    ///< Certification Authority Authorization (RFC 6844)
60
61  // The following are only valid in queries.
62  TYPE_AXFR = 252,
63  TYPE_ALL = 255,
64  TYPE_WINS = 65281, ///< Microsoft's WINS RR
65  TYPE_WINSR = 65282, ///< Microsoft's WINS-R RR
66 } RR_Type;
```

```
811  case TYPE_TSIG:
812  status = ParseRR_TSIG(msg, data, len, rdlength, msg_start);
813  break;
814
815  default:
```

# Top Down Approach

I chose to follow TYPE\_TSIG....

➤ Analysis:

➤ Let's start from DNS.cc – main code file

```
11 case TYPE_TSIG:
12     status = ParseRR_TSIG(msg, data, len, rdlength, msg_start);
13     break;
14
```

Function call that  
parses TSIG RR

```
727 int DNS_Interpreter::ParseRR_TSIG(DNS_MsgInfo* msg,
728     const u_char*& data, int& len, int rdlength,
729     const u_char* msg_start)
730 {
761     val_list* vl = new val_list;
762
763     vl->append(analyzer->BuildConnVal());
764     vl->append(msg->BuildHdrVal());
765     vl->append(msg->BuildTSIG_Val());
766
767     analyzer->ConnectionEvent(dns_TSIG_addl, vl);
768
769     return 1;
770 }
```

Function that  
parses TSIG RR

New Record Type  
'dns\_tsig\_additional' for TSIG RR

New Conn Event  
'dns\_TSIG\_addl' for TSIG RR

```
1084 Val* DNS_MsgInfo::BuildTSIG_Val()
1085 {
1086     RecordVal* r = new RecordVal(dns_tsig_additional);
1087     double rtime = tsig->time_s + tsig->time_ms / 1000.0;
1088 }
```

# Top Down Approach - Analysis So Far:

From DNS.cc -

- We chose already parsed DNS RR Type – “TYPE\_TSIG”
- We found the function that parses the TSIG RR – “ParseRR\_TSIG”
- A new connection event used for TSIG RR – “dns\_TSIG\_addl”
- A New Record Type used for TSIG RR – “dns\_tsig\_additional”

Unknown -

- What other code files would need to be modified?
  - Where is the new Event declared and handled?
  - Where is the new Record Type declared/defined and what/how many values it has?

# Top Down Approach - Finding the Unknown:

Lets do a quick search on "dns\_tsig" in the src code:

```
Searching 6282 files for "dns_tsig"
```

```
/Users/fatemabw/bro-2.5.1/scripts/base/init-bare.bro:
```

```
....  
3100 ## An additional DNS TSIG record.  
3101 ##  
3102: ## .. bro:see:: dns_TSIG_addl  
3103: type dns_tsig_additional: record {  
3104   query: string; ##< Query.  
3105   qtype: count; ##< Query type.  
....
```

```
/Users/fatemabw/bro-2.5.1/scripts/base/protocols/dns/main.bro:
```

```
478 #  
479: #event dns_TSIG_addl(c: connection, msg: dns_msg, ans: dns_tsig_additional)  
480 # {
```

```
/Users/fatemabw/bro-2.5.1/src/analyzer/protocol/dns/events.bif:
```

```
...  
492 ## dns_rejected dns_request non_dns_request dns_max_queries dns_session_timeout  
493 ## dns_skip_addl dns_skip_all_addl dns_skip_all_auth dns_skip_auth  
494: event dns_TSIG_addl%(c: connection, msg: dns_msg, ans: dns_tsig_additional%);
```

```
/Users/fatemabw/bro-2.5.1/src/NetVar.cc:
```

```
120: RecordType* dns_tsig_additional;  
...  
439: dns_tsig_additional =  
440:   internal_type("dns_tsig_additional")->AsRecordType();
```

```
/Users/fatemabw/bro-2.5.1/src/NetVar.h:
```

```
123: extern RecordType* dns_tsig_additional;
```



# Top Down Approach - Summary

We chose "TYPE\_TSIG" as a reference to code DNSSEC RR TYPES

Dry Analysis of the code showed following files would need extensions:

- [src/analyzer/protocol/dns/DNS.h](#) -> New Type/function declarations
- [src/analyzer/protocol/dns/DNS.cc](#) -> New Parser code
- [src/NetVar.h](#) & [src/NetVar.cc](#) -> New Record Type declaration/definition
- [scripts/base/init-bare.bro](#) -> New Record Type definition
- [src/analyzer/protocol/dns/events.bif](#) -> New event declaration
- [scripts/base/protocols/dns/main.bro](#) -> Handles the event

# Top Down Approach - Summary

Coding new RR types parsing ( RRSIG, NSEC, NSEC3, DNSKEY, DS) support:

- Capture the sample traffic using tcpdump
- Analyze the packets captured in Wireshark
- Read and understand the RFCs for the Protocols & standard packet structure
- Code re-use -> no need to reinvent the wheel
  - All the basic functions to extract the bytes from the packet are already available in code
- Start from something simple-
  - code -> test -> troubleshoot -> repeat

# Finally.... Few Take Aways

If you see something, do something!

Contribute back to the Zeek open source project –

- It's fun to learn more about everyone's favorite and the most widely used NSM in the industry 😊
- You don't have to be Pro in coding – smart techniques of code reference and code re-use will get you a long way!
- & more importantly you will develop a new skill set to show off!
  - (You might become one of few who speak hex!)

# Acknowledgements 😊

- *Thanks to the Awesome Zeek Team for the support, and providing answers/solutions to all the Zeek related questions. [@zeek.org mailing list]*
- *Thanks for the opportunity to be a part of Zeek Workshop Europe 2019 !!*



Questions???

