# Higgs boson pair production in nonlinear EFT with full mt-dependence at NLO QCD

#### Gudrun Heinrich

Max Planck Institute for Physics, Munich

in collaboration with G. Buchalla, A. Celis, M. Capozi, L. Scyboz, 1806.05162

built on results from Borowka, Greiner, GH, Jones, Kerner, Schlenk, Schubert, Zirke 1604.06447, 1608.04798

# LHC HXSWG HH subgroup meeting

#### October 9, 2018







Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

### non-linear EFT framework

Electro-weak chiral Lagrangian (EWChL) [Buchalla et al. '13]

Lagrangian relevant for  $gg \rightarrow HH$  (at chiral dimension 4)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -m_t \left( c_t \frac{h}{v} + c_{tt} \frac{h^2}{v^2} \right) \bar{t} t - c_{hhh} \frac{m_h^2}{2v} h^3 + \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( c_{ggh} \frac{h}{v} + c_{gghh} \frac{h^2}{v^2} \right) G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu}$$

note: • Higgs boson is EW singlet; Goldstone fields  $U = \exp(2i\varphi^a T^a/v)$  $V(h) = v^4 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_{V,n} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^n$  SM:  $f_{V,2} = f_{V,3} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v^2}, \quad f_{V,4} = \frac{m_h^2}{8v^2}$ 

• 3 scales: EW scale v, scale f of Higgs sector dynamics, cut-off scale  $\Lambda = 4\pi f \Rightarrow$ 

- expansion parameters  $\xi = v^2/f^2$  and  $f^2/\Lambda^2 = 1/(16\pi^2)$  (loop factor)

- SMEFT assumes  $\,\xi \ll 1$ , expansion in powers of  $\xi$ 

#### non-linear EFT framework

EWChL: "loop expansion"

based on chiral dimension  $d_{\chi} = 2L + 2$  with  $d_{\chi}(A_{\mu}, \varphi, h) = 0, \quad d_{\chi}(\partial, \bar{\psi}\psi, g, y) = 1$ 



#### **Relation to SMEFT**

(restricted to Higgs sector + QCD)

#### SMEFT:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{dim6}} = \frac{\bar{c}_{H}}{2v^{2}} \partial_{\mu} (\phi^{\dagger}\phi) \partial^{\mu} (\phi^{\dagger}\phi) + \frac{\bar{c}_{u}}{v^{2}} y_{t} (\phi^{\dagger}\phi \,\bar{q}_{L}\tilde{\phi}t_{R} + \text{h.c.}) - \frac{\bar{c}_{6}}{2v^{2}} \frac{m_{h}^{2}}{v^{2}} (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{3} + \frac{\bar{c}_{ug}}{v^{2}} g_{s} (\bar{q}_{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\phi}t_{R} + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{4\bar{c}_{g}}{v^{2}} g_{s}^{2} \phi^{\dagger}\phi \,G_{\mu\nu}^{a}G^{a\mu\nu}$$

# EWChL: $\Delta \mathcal{L}_{d\chi \leq 4} = -m_t \left( \frac{c_t h}{v} + \frac{c_{tt} h^2}{v^2} \right) \bar{t} t - \frac{c_{hhh}}{2v} \frac{m_h^2}{2v} h^3 + \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left( \frac{c_{ggh} h}{v} + \frac{c_{gghh} h^2}{v^2} \right) G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu}$

relations:  $c_t = 1 - \frac{\bar{c}_H}{2} - \bar{c}_u$ ,  $c_{tt} = -\frac{\bar{c}_H + 3\bar{c}_u}{2}$ ,  $c_{hhh} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\bar{c}_H + \bar{c}_6$ ,

$$c_{ggh} = 2c_{gghh} = 128\pi^2 \bar{c}_g$$

#### in both cases 5 independent couplings

## examples of diagrams



## examples of diagrams

NLO diagrams: real radiation corrections

5-point 1-loop diagrams

tree diagrams  $\propto c_{ggh}$ ,  $c_{gghh}$ 



## **Chromomagnetic operator**



(C),(d): not of order  $g_s^4$ , suppressed by  $1/16\pi^2$  (operator must come from contracted loop, see hep-ph/9405214)

(e): L=2 interfered with real emission  $\Rightarrow$  higher order

#### Parametrisation of the NLO cross section



 $A_i$  coefficients allow to reconstruct the total cross section for arbitrary values of the couplings

- also available in differential form for  $m_{hh}$  distribution
- for 14 TeV on <a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.05162v1">https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.05162v1</a> as .csv tables
- for 13 and 27 TeV available on request



- sizeable distortions at NLO for larger values of  $|c_{hhh}|$
- allow to identify degeneracies with SM



#### K-factors as functions of the BSM couplings



NNLO rescaled HEFT De Florian, Fabre, Mazzitelli '17  $c_3 = 1 + 10 \xi$ ,  $c_t = 1 + 0.35 \xi$ ,

SM values:  $\xi = 0$   $c_{tt} = 1.5 \xi$ ,  $c_g = 0.15 \xi$ ,  $c_{qq} = 0.15 \xi$ . NLO with full mt dependence Buchalla, Capozi, Celis, GH, Scyboz '18

top mass effects very important!

## **benchmark points**

benchmarks characterising "clusters" of BSM	Benchmark	$c_{hhh}$	$c_t$	$c_{tt}$	$c_{ggh}$	$c_{gghh}$
scenarios according to distribution shapes	1	7.5	1.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0
	2	1.0	1.0	0.5	$-\frac{1.6}{3}$	-0.2
Carvalho, Dall'Osso, Dorigo, Goertz, Gottardo, Tosi '15;	3	1.0	1.0	-1.5	0.0	$\frac{0.8}{3}$
Carvalho, Goertz, Mimasu, Gouzevitch, Aggarwal '17	4	-3.5	1.5	-3.0	0.0	0.0
	5	1.0	1.0	0.0	$\frac{1.6}{3}$	$\frac{1.0}{3}$
	6	2.4	1.0	0.0	$\frac{0.4}{3}$	$\frac{0.2}{3}$
choosing 2 examples	7	5.0	1.0	0.0	$\frac{0.4}{3}$	$\frac{0.2}{3}$
	8a	1.0	1.0	0.5	$\frac{0.8}{3}$	0.0
	9	1.0	1.0	1.0	-0.4	-0.2
	10	10.0	1.5	-1.0	0.0	0.0
	11	2.4	1.0	0.0	$\frac{2.0}{3}$	$\frac{1.0}{3}$
	12	15.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
results are for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV	SM	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Benchmark	$\sigma_{NLO}$ [fb]	K-factor	scale uncert. $[\%]$	stat. uncert. [%]	$\frac{\sigma_{NLO}}{\sigma_{NLO,SM}}$
$B_6$	24.69	1.89	$^{+2}_{-11}$	2.1	0.7495
$B_{8a}$	41.70	2.34	$^{+6}_{-9}$	0.63	1.266
SM	32.95	1.66	$^{+14}_{-13}$	0.1	1

#### mhh distribution for benchmark point 6



very different shape, highly non-homogeneous K-factor

#### mhh distribution for benchmark point 8a

 $c_{hhh} = 1, c_t = 1, c_{tt} = 0.5, c_{ggh} = 4/15, c_{gghh} = 0.5$ 



• also shows a dip, even though  $c_{hhh} = 1$ 

• approximations (purple, green) quite different from full

## **3D mhh distributions**



#### thanks: Matteo Capozi

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Summary & Outlook

- 5 anomalous couplings within non-linear EFT framework in Higgs sector,  $c_{hhh}, c_t, c_{tt}, c_{ggh}, c_{gghh}$  at order  $d_{\chi} = 6, \alpha_s^3$
- NLO corrections can be sizeable and distort the shape of the  $m_{hh}$  distribution
- total cross sections degenerate with SM usually are very different at distribution level
- benchmark analysis of limited constraining power in coupling parameter space
- varying only  $c_{hhh}, c_{tt}$  might be more useful
  - → implementation in POWHEG coming soon (will be same setup as SM POWHEG/ggHH)
- coefficients  $A_i$  for  $m_{hh}$  distribution already publicly available

# Summary & Outlook

- 5 anomalous couplings within non-linear EFT framework in Higgs sector,  $c_{hhh}, c_t, c_{tt}, c_{ggh}, c_{gghh}$  at order  $d_{\chi} = 6, \alpha_s^3$
- NLO corrections can be sizeable and distort the shape of the  $m_{hh}$  distribution
- total cross sections degenerate with SM usually are very different at distribution level
- benchmark analysis of limited constraining power in coupling parameter space
- varying only  $c_{hhh}, c_{tt}$  might be more useful
  - → implementation in POWHEG coming soon (will be same setup as SM POWHEG/ggHH)
- coefficients  $A_i$  for  $m_{hh}$  distribution already publicly available Thanks for your attention

## **Backup slides**