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The ITER magnets system

ITER Poloidal Field (PF) Coils
- 1 Supplied by Russia
- 5 supplied by F4E

ITER Central Solenoid
Supplied by US

ITER Toroidal Field (TF) Coils
- 10 supplied by F4E
- 9 Supplied by Japan
- 18 Installed-1 spare
Technical Specification

ANNEX B

to

Procurement Arrangement
1.1.P1A.EU.01

between

the ITER International Fusion Energy Organization for the Joint Implementation of the ITER Project

and

The European Joint Undertaking for ITER and the Development of Fusion Energy

Signed on June 2008 for TF and June 2009 for PF
Here ...

1st EU TF coil

....to here ...
AND to here...

PF6 coil
Journey starting point…

• Technical specification (from ITER): the bible!
• A budget … limited!
• An (ITER) assembly schedule ….

Our goal was to manufacture the coils:

1) Within budget
2) With a reliable schedule, respecting ITER need dates
3) With a quality consistently matching technical requirements
Final result depends on number of factors ….

It is not only about technical solutions, but other aspects determine final result:

1. The **procurement strategy**, influencing final costs & possibility to manufacture the coils within budget.

2. The **manufacturing strategy** determining robustness of schedule and quality of the final product.

3. **Legal arrangements** for the industrial contracts determining scope, responsibilities and interfaces among parties (>20 contracts & > 40 suppliers).

- Without a good solution for each of these dimensions, even with good technical solutions, it is not be possible to succeed.
And now let’s talk about …

EU TF coils
The TF coils: main parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of TF coils</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic energy in TF coils (GJ)</td>
<td>~ 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum field in TF coils (T)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centering force per TF coil (MN)</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical force half TF coil (MN)</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF discharge time constant (s)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight of TF coils system</td>
<td>~6540t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF cases</td>
<td>~190t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF WP</td>
<td>~110t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-compression system, keys and bolts</td>
<td>~60 t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight (no precom.): 300t/coil

Peak field 11.8T
Constant Current 68KA
The ITER TF coils

The winding pack

The coil case

Supplied by Japan DA

The ITER TF coil

BU BP WP AP AU
The TF coil configuration

The winding pack

Cable-in-Conduit Using NbSn strands

DP insulation

Holes for VPI

Cover Plate

Radial Plate

Conductor
The EU TF procurement strategy

Starting point:

- **High technical uncertainty**: feasibility of TF coil never demonstrated at full size scale (only ¼ scale model coil)
- **High financial costs**: several hundreds of M€ involved
- **Very Broad set of skills involved**: from welding and precise machining of very large components, to magnet winding/insulation and cryogenic skills

- Difficult to find a company with such large broad set of skills & willing to take over such high financial risks
- Even if existed, high technical risks would have translated in very high prices, exceeding the budget!
Key points of defined strategy:

• **Reduce technological uncertainty and risks before launching the series production call-for-tenders to reduce bidders’ price**

• **Placed initial smaller contracts to demonstrate feasibility and reduce technical uncertainty:**
  - 2 contracts to build radial plates prototypes
  - 1 engineering study for final TF coil construction phase
  - few R&D contracts to develop & qualify TF final welding and its UT technology.
The procurement strategy

Key points of defined strategy:

- Split full procurement in smaller packages to increase competition at the call-for-tenders

- For the series production split the procurement in 3 smaller contracts with:
  - Narrower and homogenous set of skills
  - Smaller financial liability
  - Clear and minimum interfaces
The production strategy …

- TF and PF coils production involves manufacturing of more than 100 superconducting double pancakes ….
- Reproducibility and reliability of the manufacturing processes are essential for final quality and schedule robustness.

- Initial decision to invest heavily on automated processes and numerically controlled equipment!
- For TF coils production 90% of the processes are automated.
TF coils procurement split into 3 contracts

Completion of 10 TF coils

Manufacture of 10 Winding Packs

Coil Case from JAEA

Conductor from EU, RF, CN & US

Manufacture of 70 Radial Plates

Winding Pack’s main components

Radial Plate
• **Manufacture of the 70 RPs**, awarded to a consortium composed by CNIM (France) and SIMIC (Italy) in 2012.

• **Manufacture of 10 WPs**, awarded to a consortium composed by ASG (Italy), Iberdrola (Spain) and Elytt (Spain) in 2010.

• **Completion of 10 TF coils**, awarded to SIMIC (Italy) with support of BNG (Germany) in 2014.
Main Steps and Status of the TF coils production
The manufacture of the 70 radial plates
The radial plate
The 70 Radial plates: the main manufacturing steps

6 segments of ITER grade 316LN are forged for each RP (Courtesy by Thyssen)

Forged segments are pre-machined (5mm over metal) at CNIM

Forged segments are butt-welded (NG GTAW or LV EB) into larger sectors at SIMIC

Final machining with portal machine on nominal trajectory at 20+/−1C (at CNIM)

Sectors are welding by NG GTAW or LV EB (in photo GTAW welding-SIMIC)
All 70 RP have been successfully completed and delivered!

Celebration for the completion of last RP with representatives of companies involved.
The manufacture of the 10 TF winding packs
Production of the 10 WP: Steps and status of the DP production

1. RP manufacture
2. Winding
3. DP Heat treatment (650°C)
4. Transfer conductor into radial plate
5. Conductor insulation
6. CP laser welding
7. Insulation & Impregnation

All 70 DPs completed!
Steps of the WP construction at ASG (with Elytt and Iberdrola contribution)

- Impregnation
- Application of semiconducting painting, piping and instrumentation
- Stacking of the DPs
- Application of ground insulation

All 10 units completed

7 units completed

Impregnation
This has been the result of the work of more than 25 organizations... 

Celebration for the completion of the 1st WP with the representatives of the main organizations which contributed to its construction
Steps of the TF completion at SIMIC (with BNG contribution)

4 WPs cold tested

Thermal Cycle to LN Temperature + HV insulation test and leak check

1\textsuperscript{st} TF welding completed & 2\textsuperscript{nd} in progress

Closure weld with GTAW

Insertion of WP inside CC

2 TF insertions completed

Insertion completed

A. Bonito Oliva, MT-26 Plenary, 25 September 2019
Next Steps of the TF completion at SIMIC (with BNG contribution)

In progress on 1st TF coil

- Filling of gap between WP and CC with epoxy resin
- Resin “reinforced” with dolomite (calcium magnesium carbonate, 41% by volume)
- During the gap filling the TF coil is tilted with a 5° angle

Starting soon on 1st TF coil: to be completed by year end

- Final machining of interfaces (10mm over-metal)
- Use Large Portal Machine capable to handle whole TF coil
- Final DI con laser scanner
Main results obtained so far on EU TF Coils
Achieved production rates on RPs and DPs

- In average 1 RP every 14 calendar days and 1 DP every 17 calendar days!
- Result obtained thanks to:
  - Strong automation of the processes (only 10% manual)
  - Optimization of number of tools working in parallel on different units
Achieved accuracy on conductor and radial plate trajectories
The biggest challenge: to transfer the conductor into radial plate groove.

Winding of double pancake according to nominal trajectory.

Heat Treatment causes a length change $\Delta L$.

The conductor is inserted inside the radial plate grooves along its trajectory 700m long.

Machining of the radial plate grooves according to a nominal trajectory.
The trajectory of the conductor (and of the radial plate groove) MUST STAY within +/-100ppm (80ppm) of the nominal trajectory along the 700m long groove!
Results on conductor and radial plate groove trajectories on 70 DPs and RPs

- All WELL within required accuracies!
- Strong learning curve on RPs
- On DPs, deviation dominated by scattering among conductors on heat treatment length-change.

![Graph showing deviation from nominal trajectory](image)
Achieved accuracy on current centerline position
• The CCL is the geometrical barycenter of the conductors inside a WP
• **The accuracy of its position with respect to plasma drives magnetic field homogeneity in the plasma**
• Accuracy of its position depends on:
  • Accuracy of conductor trajectory
  • Flatness and dimensions of the DPs
  • Accuracy in DP stacking
  • Accuracy of position of WP inside the coil case
  • Deformation of the TF coil during closure welding.
• The TF straight leg is the coil area which contributes most to the magnetic field homogeneity
• Final accuracy on target position is 0.3 mm : an excellent result
TF coil deformation during final welding
Deformation on first EU TF coil after closure welding

- TF important interfaces manufactured with 10mm over-metal
- Welding distortions below 10mm can be corrected by machining
- All distortions (but one) below 10mm: fully recoverable
- Only distortion on TF wings is few mm above 10mm (same on JADA coils): easily recoverable by utilizing simple shims
- After final machining, the 1st EU TF coil will be fully suitable to be installed in the Tokamak!
And now let’s move on to the …

EU PF coils
The PF coils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outer diameter (m)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Weight (t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PF3</strong></td>
<td><strong>24.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>384</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PF6</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>399</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PF procurement strategy

Driving factors:

- PF3 & PF4 too large to be transported: to be built in Cadarache
- PF5 & PF6 to be delivered simultaneously: 2 production lines
- Not enough space in Cadarache to install 2 production lines

Key decisions

- Production line built in Cadarache
- Manufacture of PF6, with smallest diameter, outsourced
- PF2-PF5 built in Cadarache

Because of a number of concurrent factors, it has not been possible to apply same procurement strategy used for TF (vertical splitting of procurement).
The PF factory in Cadarache

- Winding
- DP impregnation 1
- DP stacking
- PF coil cold test
- Electrical jointing
- DP impregnation 2
- PF coil impregnation
- PF coil final assembly
PF2-PF5 Procurement split in 6 smaller contracts:

1) Engineering Integrator and Manufacturer for final phase, awarded to ASG (Italy)
2) Manufacturer for the Double Pancakes, awarded to CNIM (France)
3) Winding tooling, awarded to Sea Alp consortium (Italy)
4) Impregnation tooling and other tooling, awarded to the consortium Alstom-Elytt-Seiv
5) Cold test facility, awarded to Cryotec Impainti (Italy)
6) Management of the building and facilities, awarded to Dalkia-Veolia (France)

All contracts signed between 2013 and 2016.

PF6 Procurement assigned on 2013 through international agreement to:

Chinese Academy of Science (CAS) Institute ASIPP, located in Hefei (China)
**PF manufacturing process**

- Double pancake winding and insulation
- Double pancake Impregnation
- Double pancakes stacking and WP insulation
- PF thermal cycle to LN T + HV insulation test and leak checks
- Hydraulic circuit and clamps assembly
- Winding Pack Impregnation
PF manufacturing status

PF6 status
- Completed within the next weeks
- Shipping to ITER foreseen soon!

PF5 status
- Stacking of DPs completed.
- Ground insulation on going.

PF2 status
- Winding of 4 DPs completed.
- Impregnation of 2 DPs completed.

PF3-4 status
- Starting of PF4 (middle 2020).
- It will require a reconfiguration of the tooling to adapt larger coil radius.
And finally …

some lessons learned
Lessons learned

Vertical vs horizontal splitting of procurement

For TF coils vertical splitting: each contract covers a different production phase

- Each supplier solely responsible for scope of its own contract
- 2 clear interfaces, no co-activity in contracts
- Each interface involving only 2 suppliers

To avoid gaps of responsibilities, acceptance by F4E of a component only after acceptance by the receiving supplier

This scheme has been quite effective over the years!
**For PF coils** horizontal splitting: all contracts cover each production phase

For **PF coils** horizontal splitting: all contracts cover each production phase

- On each task involved at least 4 suppliers.
- Multiple and complex interfaces for F4E to manage

This scheme has been very complex and difficult to manage
Conclusions

The first PF and TF coils will arrive in ITER in the next few months ....

They have been 11 years of very hard work:

- Continuous interaction with:
  - more than 40 suppliers
  - ITER Organization
  - 6 different Domestic Agencies
  - more than 800 people from industries and laboratories involved in the production
- Fixing mistakes and tackling unexpected issues as they arise: cannot keep hundreds of workers on hold waiting for your decision ... 

These years have been everything but boring!
... but, hard work and development of good technical solutions are not sufficient...

An essential key to our success has been the strategic thinking spent upfront to analyze and to define the proper implementation strategy …

“No problem can withstand the assault of sustained thinking.”

Voltaire
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fri-Mo-Or26-02</td>
<td>B. Bellesia et al.</td>
<td>Progress on European ITER Toroidal Field Coil Procurement: Cold Test and Insertion Work Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fri-Mo-Or26-03</td>
<td>M. Jimenez et al.</td>
<td>Current Centre Line integration in the manufacturing process of the ITER Toroidal Field Coils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon-Af-Po1.17-03</td>
<td>E. Pompa et al.</td>
<td>Comparison of FEM Predicted and Measured values of the TF coil closure welding distortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon-Af-Po1.17-02</td>
<td>C. Boffo et al.</td>
<td>Cold Testing of ITER Toroidal Filed Winding Packs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you