Singlet-doublet fermion and triple scalar Dark Matter with radiative neutrino masses

J. Fiaschi, M. Klasen, S. May

JHEP 1905 (2019) 015 arXiv: 1812.11133 [hep-ph]

WESTFÄLISCHE **WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER**

Planck 2019 22nd International Conference From the Planck scale to the Electroweak scale 3-7 June 2019, Granada, Spain

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019

Topics of this talk

- **Minimal DM models with radiative neutrino masses**
- \cdot The model T1-3-B (α = 0) and its features
- **Results from the parameter scan**
- **Conclusions**

Evidences for Dark Matter

Evidences for neutrino masses

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019 3

Minimal models

Can we address both issues with a single BSM theory? (A real pleasure for theorists)

Minimal DM models with radiative neutrino masses

Introduce the least possible amount of BSM fields

 $\epsilon \leq 4$ new scalar / fermion multiplets

SU(3) color singlets *SU(2)* singlets, doublets, triplets

Additional stabilising discrete $\mathsf{Z}_{_{2}}$ symmetry

Models belonging to this family of models have been classified according to the topology of the diagram generating the neutrino masses:

D. Restrepo, O. Zapata, C. E. Yaguna: **JHEP 1311 (2013) 011**

Examples: "Scotogenic model" : E. Ma: **Phys.Rev. D73 (2006) 077301** T1-3-A ($α = 0$) T1-2-A ($α = 0$) S. Esch, M. Klasen, D. R. Lamprea, C. E. Yaguna: **Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.2, 88** S. Esch, M. Klasen, C. E. Yaguna: **JHEP 1810 (2018) 055** *Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019 4*

How to build a consistent model?

First requirement is a suitable DM candidate:

Stable

- Electrically neutral
- Colour singlet
- Anomaly free theory

Experimental constraints

How to build a consistent model?

First requirement is a suitable DM candidate:

Stable

Lightest odd particle under $Z_{_2}$ symmetry (no new charged stable particles)

- Electrically neutral
- Colour singlet
- Anomaly free theory

Experimental constraints

How to build a consistent model?

First requirement is a suitable DM candidate:

Experimental constraints

How to build a consistent model?

First requirement is a suitable DM candidate:

How to build a consistent model?

First requirement is a suitable DM candidate:

$$
\Psi = \Psi^0, \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^0 \\ \psi^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi' = \begin{pmatrix} \psi'^+ \\ \psi'^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_i^0 & \phi_i^+ \\ \phi_i^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_i^0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Lagrangian:

$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} - \frac{1}{2} (M_{\phi}^2)^{ij} (\phi_i \phi_j) - \frac{1}{2} M_{\Psi} \Psi \Psi + \text{H.c.} - M_{\psi \psi'} \psi \psi' + \text{H.c.}$ $-(\lambda_1)^{ij}(H^{\dagger}H)(\phi_i\phi_j) - (\lambda_2)^{ij}H^{\dagger}\phi_i\phi_jH - (\lambda_3)^{ijkm}(\phi_i\phi_j\phi_k\phi_m)$ $-\lambda_4 (H^{\dagger} \psi')\Psi + \text{H.c.} - \lambda_5 (H\psi)\Psi + \text{H.c.} - (\lambda_6)^{ij} L_i \phi_i \psi' + \text{H.c.}$

Parameters of the model:

- ➢ Masses of BSM fields
- ➢ 6 new interaction terms (*i,j,k,m* indices run over the scalar generations):
	- \cdot λ_{1} and λ_{2} can be combined together using some identities.

They mix the new scalar triplets with the SM Higgs doublet.

 \cdot $\lambda_{_3}$ generates a mixing between the new scalar triplet generations.

No impact on DM phenomenology (will be neglected in the following).

- λ $_{\mathtt{A}}$ and λ ₅ have the function of Yukawa terms linking the fermion singlet and doublets new fields to the SM Higgs.
- \cdot $\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle{6}}$ connects the SM lepton doublets to the new fields. Responsible for radiatively generated neutrino masses and for LFV processes.

Interesting feature:

The model allows for the correct Higgs boson mass, couplings of natural size, masses in the TeV range and gauge coupling unification at a scale of $O(10^{13} \text{ GeV})$

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019 7

Mass eigenstates after EWSB and mixing:

- ➢ Fermions:
	- Charged fermions do not mix (only one field for each charge value);
	- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Neutral fermions mix into the mass eigenstates $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{\chi}}^0$ i :

$$
\chi^{0} = U_{\chi} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{0} \\ \psi^{0} \\ \psi'^{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad U_{\chi}^{*} M_{f,0} U_{\chi}^{\dagger} = \text{diag}(m_{\chi_{1}^{0}}, m_{\chi_{2}^{0}}, m_{\chi_{3}^{0}}) \qquad M_{f,0} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\Psi} & \frac{\lambda_{5}v}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\lambda_{4}v}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\lambda_{5}v}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & M_{\psi\psi'} \\ \frac{\lambda_{4}v}{\sqrt{2}} & M_{\psi\psi'} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

➢ Scalars:

Charged and neutral scalars mix with the same orthogonal matrix:

$$
\eta^{0,\pm} = O_{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{0,\pm} \\ \phi_2^{0,\pm} \end{pmatrix} \qquad O_{\eta} M_{\phi^{0,\pm}}^2 O_{\eta}^T = \text{diag}(m_{\eta_1^{0,\pm}}^2, m_{\eta_2^{0,\pm}}^2)
$$

- Charged and neutral mass eigenstate are degenerate at tree-level.
- A small splitting arises at one-loop making the neutral components lighter than the charged ones.

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019 8

Dim. 5 Weinberg operator: $(\bar{L}^c i \sigma_2 H)(H^T i \sigma_2 L) \longrightarrow "HHLL"$

- \rightarrow non-renormalizable \rightarrow effective operator
- ➢ generates Majorana neutrino masses after EWSB
- \rightarrow breaks lepton number symmetry \rightarrow LFV constraints

Dim. 5 Weinberg operator: $(\bar{L}^c i \sigma_2 H)(H^T i \sigma_2 L) \longrightarrow$ " $HHLL$ "

- \rightarrow non-renormalizable \rightarrow effective operator
- ➢ generates Majorana neutrino masses after EWSB
- \rightarrow breaks lepton number symmetry \rightarrow LFV constraints
- **In the T1-3-B (** $\alpha = 0$ **) model:**

Neutrino mass matrix:

$$
(M_{\nu})_{ij} = \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{\rm s}} \lambda_{6}^{im} \lambda_{6}^{jn} (O_{\eta})_{lm} (O_{\eta})_{ln} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\rm f}} (U_{\chi})_{k3}^{*2} \frac{m_{\chi_{k}^{0}}^{3}}{m_{\eta_{l}^{0}}^{2} - m_{\chi_{k}^{0}}^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\chi_{k}^{0}}^{2}}{m_{\eta_{l}^{0}}^{2}}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{\rm s}} A_{l} \lambda_{6}^{im} \lambda_{6}^{jn} (O_{\eta})_{lm} (O_{\eta})_{ln}
$$
 2 generations of scalars to produce 2 non-zero neutrino masses.

Neutrino mass matrix:

$$
(M_{\nu})_{ij} = \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{\rm s}} \lambda_{6}^{im} \lambda_{6}^{jn} (O_{\eta})_{lm} (O_{\eta})_{ln} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\rm f}} (U_{\chi})_{k3}^{* \, 2} \frac{m_{\chi_{k}^{0}}^{3}}{m_{\eta_{l}^{0}}^{2} - m_{\chi_{k}^{0}}^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\chi_{k}^{0}}^{2}}{m_{\eta_{l}^{0}}^{2}}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{\rm s}} A_{l} \lambda_{6}^{im} \lambda_{6}^{jn} (O_{\eta})_{lm} (O_{\eta})_{ln} \quad \text{2 generations of scalars to produce} \text{2 non-zero neutrino masses.}
$$

Diagonalization through PMNS matrix:

 $U^{\dagger}_{\nu}M_{\nu}[U_{\nu}]=\text{diag}(m_{\nu_1},m_{\nu_2},m_{\nu_3})$

Neutrino masses will **automatically** satisfy experimental constraints by fixing the appropriate **λ⁶** coupling (residual rotation angle θ free parameter)

Casas-Ibarra method:

Normally: ν masses from parameters

Invert relation:

λ6 parameter from **PMNS matrix** and

ν masses.

(we will assume one massless neutrino)

J. A. Casas, A. Ibarra: **Nucl. Phys. B618 (2001) 171**

Model's parameters scan

Chain of tools for the analysis:

- ➢ Independent code has been used to produce the **SARAH** model files from the model Lagrangian
- ➢ **SARAH** code used to produce **SPheno** source code and **micrOMEGAs** model files.
- ➢ **SPheno** to produce spectrum files in SLHA format from input parameters + output some relevant observables: LFV observables, neutrino masses.
- ➢ Spectrum file to **micrOMEGAs** to produce some relevant observables: DM relic density, spin independent cross sections.
- ➢ Random scan over model parameters (masses and couplings).

Fermion Dark Matter

Fermion Dark Matter

Keep the points satisfying all constraints.

Near degeneracy between DM lightest fermion and lightest scalar up to masses of 1.1 TeV (relevant coannihilation contribution)

Line of models with constant fermion mass at 1.1 TeV and scalar masses up to the decoupling region.

Scalar Dark Matter

Scalar Dark Matter

Keep the points satisfying all constraints.

Near degeneracy between DM lightest scalar and lightest fermion up to masses of 2 TeV. Despite the degeneracy, coannihilation is rare due to small $\lambda_{_{6}}$ coupling.

Line of models with constant scalar mass at 2 TeV and fermion masses up to the decoupling region.

Conclusions

- Presented BSM model explaining both Dark Matter and small neutrino masses with a minimal number of new fields; furthermore it features gauge coupling unification. From the classification related to the topology generating the neutrino masses and hypercharges assignment, it takes the name of **T1-3-B (α = 0)**.
- Model studied using a chain of suitable public codes **SARAH**, **SPheno**, **micrOMEGAs**.
- Scan over the parameter space of the model, implementing Casas-Ibarra parametrization to satisfy experimental constraints in the neutrino sector (masses and mixing angles).
- Separate studies on the case of <u>fermionic</u> and scalar Dark Matter, analysing constraints from:
	- ➢ Collider (model independent constraints from LEP).
	- ➢ Direct detection Spin-Independent cross sections.
	- ➢ Lepton Flavour Violation measurements.
- Fermionic DM covers the mass region between 100 GeV and 1 TeV. Scalar DM covers the mass region between 1 TeV and 4 TeV.
- In both cases we have observed that a small splitting between fermionic and scalar multiplets is important to obtain the correct relic density, through the mechanism of coannihilation.
- Interesting complementarity between the expectations for XENONnT and for LFV experiments.

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019 17

Thank you!

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019

Casas-Ibarra parametrization

Factorize explicit dependence on the couplings from neutrino mass matrix:

$$
M_{\nu} = \lambda_6 M \lambda_6^{\mathsf{T}} = \lambda_6 U_M^{\dagger} D_M U_M \lambda_6^{\mathsf{T}}
$$

Neutrino mass matrix can be diagonalized using PMNS matrix:

$$
U_{\nu}^{\dagger}M_{\nu}U_{\nu} = \text{diag}(m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3})
$$

Combining this two expression we can write:

$$
D_{\nu} = U_{\nu}^{\dagger} \lambda_6 U_{M}^{\dagger} D_M U_M \lambda_6^{\mathsf{T}} U_{\nu}
$$

equivalently:

$$
diag(0,1,1) = D_{\nu}^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{\nu}^{\dagger} \lambda_6 U_{M}^{\dagger} D_{M} U_{M} \lambda_6^{\mathsf{T}} U_{\nu} D_{\nu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Left hand side can be written as $diag(0,1,1) = R^tR$ with R a rotation matrix:

$$
R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \qquad R = D_M^{\frac{1}{2}} U_M \lambda_6^{\mathsf{T}} U_{\nu} D_{\nu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Inverting this relation we obtain an expression for the coupling in terms of the other quantities:

$$
\lambda_6 = U_{\nu}^* D_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\mathsf{T}} D_M^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_M^*
$$

Juri Fiaschi Planck 2019 - Granada 06/06/2019

Fermionic Dark Matter

