

# New developments for worldline and worldsheet representations of lattice field theories

⇒ Construction of self-dual  $U(1)$  lattice gauge theory with a topological term

Christof Gattringer, Maria Anosova, Tin Sulejmanpasic

C. Gattringer, D. Göschl, T. Sulejmanpasic, Nucl. Phys. B935 (2018) [arXiv:1807.07793]

T. Sulejmanpasic, C. Gattringer, Nucl. Phys. B943 (2019) [arXiv:1901.02637]

M. Anosova, C. Gattringer, T. Sulejmanpasic, work in preparation

## Introductory comments

- Many lattice fields theories can be exactly rewritten using worldlines and worldsheets as the new degrees of freedom.
- The WL/WS description highlights geometrical aspects of a theory and often leads to a solution of complex action problems.
- Successful examples of scalar- and gauge-Higgs models at finite chemical potential.
- More recently: Dualization of 2-d systems with **topological term**.

See the talk of Daniel Göschl, Friday 16:30

In this talk we analyze **U(1) lattice gauge theory** with a **topological term** in 4-d and show that for a suitable discretization the theory becomes **self-dual**.

## Setting for U(1) lattice gauge theory with topological terms

---

Villain formulation:

$$Z = \int D[A] \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{x,\mu<\nu} F_{x,\mu\nu} F_{x,\mu\nu}}$$

$$(dA)_{x,\mu\nu} = A_{x+\hat{\mu},\nu} - A_{x,\nu} - A_{x+\hat{\nu},\mu} + A_{x,\mu}$$

$$F_{x,\mu\nu} = (dA)_{x,\mu\nu} + 2\pi n_{x,\mu\nu}$$

$$\sum_{\{n\}} = \prod_{x,\mu<\nu} \sum_{n_{x,\mu\nu}}$$

- Link variables  $U_{x,\mu} = e^{iA_{x,\mu}}$  are invariant under  $A_{x,\mu} \rightarrow A_{x,\mu} + 2\pi k_{x,\mu}$
- Exterior derivatives transform as  $(dA)_{x,\mu\nu} \rightarrow (dA)_{x,\mu\nu} + 2\pi (dk)_{x,\mu\nu}$
- Summation over the Villain variables  $n_{x,\mu\nu}$  eats up the shifts  $(dk)_{x,\mu\nu}$
- Exterior derivative is nilpotent  $d^2 = 0$  and we can impose the closedness constraint

$$(dn)_{x,\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \quad \forall \text{ cubes } (x, \mu\nu\rho)$$

## Generalization and topological terms

### Generalized Villain formulation with constraints

$$Z = \int D[A] \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{x, \mu < \nu} F_{x, \mu\nu} F_{x, \mu\nu}} \prod_x \delta\left((dn)_{x, \mu\nu\rho}\right) , \quad F_{x, \mu\nu} = (dA)_{x, \mu\nu} + 2\pi n_{x, \mu\nu}$$

Constraining the Villain variables allows one to introduce a new type of topological term

$$Z = \int D[A] \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-S_\beta[F] - i\theta Q[F]} \prod_x \delta\left((dn)_{x, \mu\nu\rho}\right)$$

with

$$Q[F] = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \sum_x F_{x, \mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{x-\hat{\rho}-\hat{\sigma}-k\hat{s}, \rho\sigma} , \quad \hat{s} = \hat{1} + \hat{2} + \hat{3} + \hat{4} , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Because of the constraints one can show that: (see next talk by Maria Anosova)

- $Q[F]$  is an integer independent of the parameter  $k$
- $Q[F]$  is determined by the harmonics in the Hodge decomposition of  $n_{x, \mu\nu}$

## Quadratic form and further generalization to self duality

We write action and topological term as quadratic form:

$$Z = \int D[A] \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\substack{x,\mu < \nu \\ y,\rho < \sigma}} F_{x,\mu\nu} K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma} F_{y,\rho\sigma}} \prod_x \delta\left((dn)_{x,\mu\nu\rho}\right) , \quad F_{x,\mu\nu} = (dA + 2\pi n)_{x,\mu\nu}$$

The kernel  $K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma}$  generalizes the action to allow for self duality:

$$K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \gamma^{2k} \left[ \delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\sigma} \delta_{x-k\hat{s},y}^{(4)} + i \gamma \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \delta_{x-\hat{\rho}-\hat{\sigma}-k\hat{s},y}^{(4)} \right]$$
$$c_k = (-1)^k (2k-1)!! / (2k)!!$$
$$\theta = \beta 4\pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \gamma^{2k} = \beta 4\pi^2 \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}$$

Expansion coefficients  $c_k \gamma^{2k}$  decay exponentially for  $\beta > |\theta| / 2\pi^2$  and the action is local.

$$K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \gamma^{2k} \left[ \delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\sigma} \delta_{x-k\hat{s},y}^{(4)} - i \gamma \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \delta_{x-\hat{\rho}-\hat{\sigma}-k\hat{s},y}^{(4)} \right]$$

## Rewrite the constraints with cube-based variables

Use integral representation of the Kronecker deltas in the constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{x \\ \mu < \nu < \rho}} \delta((dn)_{x,\mu\nu\rho}) &= \prod_{\substack{x \\ \mu < \nu < \rho}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\alpha_{x,\mu\nu\rho}}{2\pi} e^{i\alpha_{x,\mu\nu\rho}(dn)_{x,\mu\nu\rho}} \\ &= \int D[\alpha] e^{\frac{i}{2\pi} \sum \alpha_{x,\mu\nu\rho} (dF)_{x,\mu\nu\rho}} = \int D[\alpha] e^{-\frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{x,\mu < \nu} (\partial\alpha)_{x,\mu\nu} F_{x,\mu\nu}} \end{aligned}$$

Partition sum is converted into a Gaussian integral ...

$$Z = \int D[\alpha] \int D[A] \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\substack{x,\mu < \nu \\ y,\rho < \sigma}} (dA+2\pi n)_{x,\mu\nu} K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma} (dA+2\pi n)_{y,\rho\sigma} - \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{x,\mu < \nu} (\partial\alpha)_{x,\mu\nu} (dA+2\pi n)_{x,\mu\nu}}$$

... which can be solved with a generalized Poisson resummation formula  $\Rightarrow$

$$Z = C \int D[\alpha] \sum_{\{p\}} e^{-\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2} \sum_{\substack{x,\mu < \nu \\ y,\rho < \sigma}} (\partial\alpha+2\pi p)_{x,\mu\nu} K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma}^{-1} (\partial\alpha+2\pi p)_{y,\rho\sigma}} \int D[A] e^{-i \sum_{x,\mu < \nu} (dA)_{x,\mu\nu} p_{x,\mu\nu}}$$

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \beta} \quad , \quad p_{x,\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

## Gauge field integration generates worldsheet constraints

Gauge field integration generates constraints for the plaquette occupation numbers  $p_{x,\mu\nu}$

$$\int D[A] e^{-i \sum_{x,\mu < \nu} (dA)_{x,\mu\nu} p_{x,\mu\nu}} = \prod_{x,\mu} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dA_{x,\mu}}{2\pi} e^{i A_{x,\mu} (\partial p)_{x,\mu}} = \prod_{x,\mu} \delta((\partial p)_{x,\mu})$$

The generalized zero divergence condition  $(\partial p)_{x,\mu} = 0$  forces the total flux  $(\partial p)_{x,\mu}$  from all plaquette occupation numbers at a link  $(x, \mu)$  to vanish  $\Rightarrow p_{x,\mu\nu}$  form closed worldsheets.

Partition sum in worldsheet representation

$$Z = C \int D[\alpha] \sum_{\{p\}} e^{-\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2} \sum_{\substack{x,\mu < \nu \\ y,\rho < \sigma}} (\partial\alpha + 2\pi p)_{x,\mu\nu} K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma}^{-1} (\partial\alpha + 2\pi p)_{y,\rho\sigma}} \prod_{x,\mu} \delta((\partial p)_{x,\mu})$$

$K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma}^{-1} \sim K_{x,\mu\nu|y,\rho\sigma}$  and  $p_{x,\mu\nu} \sim n_{x,\mu\nu} \Rightarrow$  structural similarity to original formulation.

But  $d \leftrightarrow \partial$  !!

## Switch to the dual lattice

Introduce variables on the dual lattice:  $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{x},\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{x},\mu} \in [-\pi, \pi]$

$$p_{x,\mu\nu} = \sum_{\rho < \sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{p}_{\tilde{x}-\hat{\rho}-\hat{\sigma},\rho\sigma} \quad , \quad \alpha_{x,\mu\nu\rho} = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{x}-\hat{\sigma},\sigma}$$

Conversion of discretized differential operators into their duals

$$(\partial p)_{x,\mu} = \sum_{\nu < \rho < \sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (d\tilde{p})_{\tilde{x}-\hat{\nu}-\hat{\rho}-\hat{\sigma},\nu\rho\sigma} \quad , \quad (\partial\alpha + 2\pi p)_{x,\mu\nu} = \sum_{\rho < \sigma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (d\tilde{\alpha} + 2\pi\tilde{p})_{\tilde{x}-\hat{\rho}-\hat{\sigma},\rho\sigma}$$

- Zero divergence condition  $\partial p = 0$  is converted to closedness condition  $d\tilde{p} = 0$
- Boundary operator  $\partial\alpha$  is converted to exterior derivative  $d\tilde{\alpha}$

$\Rightarrow$  Self duality established !!

## Final result

### Original representation

$$Z = \int D[A] \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-S_{\beta}[F] - i\theta Q[F]} \prod_x \delta\left((dn)_{x,\mu\nu\rho}\right), \quad F_{x,\mu\nu} = (dA)_{x,\mu\nu} + 2\pi n_{x,\mu\nu}$$

### Dual form

$$Z = C \int D[\tilde{\alpha}] \sum_{\{\tilde{p}\}} e^{-S_{\tilde{\beta}}[\tilde{F}] - i\tilde{\theta} Q[\tilde{F}]} \prod_x \delta\left((d\tilde{p})_{x,\mu\nu\rho}\right), \quad \tilde{F}_{x,\mu\nu} = (d\tilde{\alpha})_{x,\mu\nu} + 2\pi \tilde{p}_{x,\mu\nu}$$

### Dual couplings

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\beta}, \quad \tilde{\theta} = -\frac{\theta}{4\pi^2\beta^2}, \quad C = \frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^{3V}}$$

### Consistency relations

$$\tilde{\tilde{\beta}} = \beta, \quad \tilde{\tilde{\theta}} = \theta, \quad C\tilde{C} = 1$$

## Summary and outlook

- We constructed self-dual  $U(1)$  lattice gauge theory with a topological term in 4-d
- Several crucial steps:
  - Use Villain discretization with closedness constraint for Villain variables
  - Closedness constraint allows for new form of topological term (talk by M. Anosova)
  - Write constraints as auxiliary integrals  $\Rightarrow$  Gaussian integral
  - Solve Gaussian integral with generalized Poisson summation
  - Switch to dual lattice for converting lattice differential operators
- Ongoing work:
  - Explore implications of the theta term and self-duality: Critical behavior, Witten effect on the lattice ....
  - Partial solution of complex action problem? Generalization to non-Abelian theories?
  - Couple matter fields (talk by D. Göschl, Friday 16:30)