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## MD3263 in MD\#4 <br> - MD Merit: explore the wires effect in a configuration closer to operation (using only the right wires).



## Hardware overview of wire prototypes



- Wires re-cabled during TS2.
- Even multipoles (quadrupoles, octupoles,...) "doubled".
- Only right wires powered with trains.
- All collimation gaps at nominal position.
- 5-th axis of the right wire in IR5 to be moved by 2.9 mm .



## 4 Phases proposed for the MD

- Before the MD
- During the MD at 450 GeV
- During the MD at 6.5 TeV
- Actual measurements at 6.5 TeV


## Phase 0

- Polarity test of the wire series connection in the tunnel. Done.
- Test the wires HW interlock. Done.
- Perform the thermal test on the wires. Done.
- Modify the feedforward taking into account the new wire connections (doubling the Q4/Q5 trim and zeroing the dipolar correction). Done.
- Check the PC time constants when a fault occurs. Done.
- Do loss maps at top energy with the 5 -th axis of TCTPH.4R5.B2 + 2.9 mm . Done.
- Check with train 75 bunches the motion of the 5 -th axis of TCTPH.4R5.B2. Verify the alignment. Done.
- Check the wires polarity with beam at 450 GeV . To be done $\rightarrow$ Phase 1
- Check the feed-forward orchestration at 450 GeV . To be done $\rightarrow$ Phase 1


## Phase 1

- Inject the beams according to the PHASE 1 filling scheme
- 1 LHCINDIV per beam
- Cycle each single wire WITHOUT the quadrupolar feedback and measure the tune shift. The cycling will be done by steps up to 350 A and down -350 A. [60 min]
- Cycle each single wire WITH the quadrupolar feedback and measure the tune shift. The cycling will be done by steps up to 10 A and down -10 A. [30 min]


## Phase 2

- Inject the beams according to the PHASE 2 filling scheme.
- B1 filling scheme (strong beam):
$3 \times 48$ bunches (BCMS).
$1 \times 12$ bunches.
1 NOMINAL (2 HO in IP1 and IP5, but no LRBB),
1 NOMINAL (no HO, no LRBB).
- B2 filling scheme (weak beam):

Bunch \#10 (super-PACMAN): 1 NOMINAL (2 HO in IP1 and IP5, but no LRBB).
Bunch \#390 (regular): 1 NOMINAL (2 HOs in IP1 and IP5, and ~60 LRBB in IP1 and IP5).
It collides with the third train on B1.

- Measure the H/V emittances at injection energy with the wire scanners. [30 min]
- Trim the B1/2 octupoles to reach FT with 550 A. Ramp. Measure regularly the H/V B2 emittances with the wire scanners during the ramp. [20 min]
- After the squeeze, set the $5^{\text {th }}$-axis alignment of
- TCTPH.4R5.B2
- using the settings found in FILL7206 (+2.9 mm). [20 min]
- Bring the beams into collisions according to the nominal sequence. Lumi-optimization will be done each ~20 min. Put the CO feedback need to be ON. [10 min]
- Reduce the crossing angle to 150 mrad. Check the quadrupole feedforwards for each of the 4 wires by ramping the current up to 350 A and back to 0 in steps (starting from the right wires). Monitor the B2 tunes [1 h 30 min ].
- Move back the $5^{\text {th }}$-axes, dump the beam and rampdown [ 30 min ].


## Phase 3

1. Inject the beams according to the PHASE 3 filling scheme [1 h]. "Symmetric" fill:

- $3 \times 48$ bunches (BCMS).
- 1x12 bunches.
- 1 LHCINDIV colliding HO
- 1 LHCINDIV not colliding HO

2. Ramp the beams according to the nominal sequence until the squeeze. [ 30 min ]
3. After the squeeze set the $5^{\text {th }}$-axis alignment of

- TCTPH.4R5.B2 using the settings found in FILL7206 (+2.9 mm). [20 min]

4. Bring the beams into collisions according to the nominal sequence (ATS 30 cm ). Lumioptimization will be done each $\sim 20 \mathrm{~min}$. Put the CO feedback need to be ON. [10 min]
5. Reduce the crossing angle to $\mathbf{1 5 0} \mu \mathbf{r a d}$.
6. Start the wire compensation on IR1 (only right wires) and IR5 (only right wires) setting the current $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}=0$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{R} 1}=350 \mathrm{~A}$ in IR1, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{L} 5}=0 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{R 5}=350 \mathrm{~A}$ in IR5. Make 3 ON/OFF cycles to observe the effect of the compensation. The current trims should be performed from the application setup by the OP team [G.-H. Hemelsoet and M. Solfaroli] [1 h].
7. Reduce the crossing angle down to $140 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ with compensation ON. Repeat the previous step [1 h].
8. Reduce the crossing angle down to $130 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ with compensation ON. Repeat the previous step [1 h].
9. It time allows, move B 2 to as sub-optimal working point by moving the tune away from the diagonal and repeat the previous step [1 h].
10. If time allows, make a current scan for the wires in IR1 and IR5 [1 h].
11. As an end-of-MD commutation ON/OFF of the active filter of the MB.
12. Move back the $5^{\text {th }}$-axes and dump the beam. [ 20 min ]

| Number of MD's | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time required per [ ID] | 11+2 |
| Beams required [1, 2, 182] | 1\&2 |
| Beam energy [GeV] | 0.450 and 6.5 TeV |
| Optics (injection, squeezed, special) | Injection (PHASE 1) |
|  | ATS $30 \mathrm{~cm}, 150 \mathrm{mrad}$ (PHASE 2) |
|  | ATS 30 cm from 150 to 130 mrad (PHASE 3). |
| Bunch intensity \#\#pl | 1.15 e 11 ppb (see below for details) |
| Number of bunches | PHASE 1: |
|  | B1/B2: LHCINDIV |
|  | ======== |
|  | PHASE 2: |
|  | B1 = 3 trains of 48 bunches +12 bunches train +2 NOMINALs |
|  | $\mathrm{B} 2=2$ NOMINALs ( 1.15 e 11 ppb ) ( $<3 \mathrm{e} 11 \mathrm{p}$ ) |
|  | ===== |
|  | PHASE 3: <br> B1/2= 3 trains of 48 bunches +12 bunches train +2 NOMINALs |
| Transv. emittance [m rad] | We assume 2.2 um rad at collision. |
| Bunch length [ns @ 4s] | 1 ns |
| opitics change lyes/nol | No. |
| Orbit change [yes/no | No. |
| Collimation change [yes/no] | Yes. Moving the $5^{\text {th }}$ axis of the TCTPH.4R5.B2 by 2.9 mm . |
| RF system change [yes/no] | No. |
| -reedback changes lyesimol | No. |
| Tune changes | Tune scan of $\pm 5 \mathrm{e}-3$ will be performed in PHASE 3. |
| What else will be changed? | BBCW wire $\pm 10$ A interlock (BBLR_R[L]1[5]_PC_OFF) to be masked. <br> Modify the settings of the "PC interlock" to trim the Q4/5 in IR1/5. <br> As an end-of-MD commutation ON/OFF of the active filter of the MB. |
| Are parallel studies possible? | No |

## What if the wire PCs trips?

When we trim a wire the Q4/5 close to the wire are trimmed to compensate as close as possible the quadrupolar perturbation of the wire.

IF the PC of the wire trips the beam is NOT automatically dumped: the Q4/5 trim will cause tune shift and betabeating.

From the collimation point of view, the failure scenarios are ok for $\beta^{*}=30 \mathrm{~cm}$.

We will compare in the following the beta beating and the tune shift.

Q5R1


|  | $\Delta \mathbf{Q H}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{Q V}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip on both wires | -0.001788 | 0.002006 |
| Trip on R1 wire | -0.009535 | 0.006858 |
| Trip on R5 wire | 0.008327 | -0.005695 |

## What if the wire PCs trip?

If both converters trip (R1+R5).

Trip of both wires


## What if the wire PCs trip?

## If both R1 converter trips.

Trip of R1 wire


## What if the wire PCs trip?

## If both R5 converter trips.

Trip of R5 wire


Thank you.

## An example of thermal test




## Time constant of the wire trip



## Q-feedforward



Optics w/ BBLR vs optics w/o BBLR



