## Latest results on jet measurements with the ATLAS detector

Laura Havener, Columbia University WWND 2019, Beaver Creek, Colorado Monday, January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2019





### Jets in HI collisions?





- Jets in pp collisions
  Jets in Pb+Pb collisions
- Jet quenching: phenomena where partons are expected to lose energy in interactions with the hot dense medium produced in HI collisions
  - jets are sensitive to the microscopic structure of the medium and are a useful probe of the medium

- Measuring jet quenching includes:
  - Inclusive energy loss through the suppression of hard scattering rates of single jets



- Measuring jet quenching includes:
  - Inclusive energy loss through the suppression of hard scattering rates of single jets
  - Differential energy loss through jet correlations to study the path length dependence of energy loss

		5
	10	

- Measuring jet quenching includes:
  - Inclusive energy loss through the suppression of hard scattering rates of single jets
  - Differential energy loss through jet correlations to study the path length dependence of energy loss



Jet structure modification by measuring charged particles in jets and jet mass since jets have a complicated internal structure that is modified in the medium

### Jets in HI collisions

- New results from ATLAS at 5.02 TeV are improvements over previous measurements:
  - More precise measurements with better control over the background subtraction and systematics
  - Unfolding for detector effects allow direct comparisons to theoretical models of jet quenching
  - Better statistics allow for differential studies of jet kinematics that look at flavor and path dependence of energy loss, what happens at high p<sub>T</sub>, etc.
  - boson+jet systems probe the flavor dependence and absolute energy loss
  - Xe+Xe collisions look at density and path dependence of energy loss

- Measuring jet quenching includes:
  - Inclusive energy loss through the suppression of hard scattering rates of single jets
  - Differential energy loss through jet correlations to study the path length dependence of energy loss

Jet structure modification by measuring charged particles in jets jets have a complicated internal structure that is modified in the medium

### Jet suppression

- Jet quenching in Pb+Pb are implies suppression of jet yields at a fixed p<sub>T</sub> compared to pp collisions.
  - Compare number of jets in Pb+Pb to pp using the RAA



## Jet suppression

- Jet quenching in Pb+Pb are implies suppression of jet yields at a fixed p<sub>T</sub> compared to pp collisions.
  - Compare number of jets in Pb+Pb to pp using the R<sub>AA</sub>



Jet yield in heavy ion collisions Jet crosssection in *pp* collisions

 Jets measured in six bins of rapidity (out to 2.8) and up to ~ 1 TeV in jet p<sub>T</sub>.

Jet spectra in Pb+Pb and pp are unfolded using p<sub>T</sub>[GeV]
 1D Bayesian unfolding.



 $R_{AA}$  is < 1 for  $\alpha^{\gtrless}$ anti- $k_r R = 0.4$  jets,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV ATLAS all centralities + **+ +** 0.5 |y| < 2.810% 30% 2015 data: Pb+Pb 0.49 nb<sup>-1</sup>, pp 25 pb<sup>-1</sup> 50%  $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$  and luminosity uncer. 60 - 70% 100 300 40 60 200 500 900  $p_{_{T}}$  [GeV]

•  $R_{AA}$  is < 1 for  $\alpha^{\gtrless}$  all centralities

*R*<sub>AA</sub> is lower in central (~0.5) than peripheral (~0.9)



- *R*<sub>AA</sub> is < 1 for <sup>₹</sup> all centralities
- *R*<sub>AA</sub> is lower in central (~0.5) than peripheral (~0.9)

*R*<sub>AA</sub> shows suppression up to a TeV!



• R<sub>AA</sub> show slight p<sub>T</sub> dependence where it increases and then begins to flatten at high p<sub>T</sub>

- *R*<sub>AA</sub> is < 1 for all centralities
- *R*<sub>AA</sub> is lower in central (~0.5) than peripheral (~0.9)
- *R*<sub>AA</sub> shows suppression up to a TeV!



- $R_{AA}$  is independent of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  (over a narrow range) when comparing 2.76 and 5.02 TeV results
- Significant reduction in systematic uncertainties

### RAA: pt dependence

•  $R_{AA}$  is < 1 for  $\alpha^{\triangleleft}$  all centralities

*R*<sub>AA</sub> is lower in central (~0.5) than peripheral (~0.9)

*R*<sub>AA</sub> shows suppression up to a TeV!



Comparison to theory: LBT describes it well at higher
 p<sub>T</sub> and SCETg describes it better at lower p<sub>T</sub>

arXiv:1805.05635

### RAA: rapidity dependence

Spectra is steeper with increasing rapidity at fixed  $p_T$  for the same amount of energy loss and since  $R_{AA} \sim red/blue$ .

**Iower RAA** 



mid-rapidity foward





## Competing effects: which one wins or do they cancel?

### R<sub>AA</sub>: rapidity dependence

Spectra is steeper with increasing rapidity at fixed pt for the same amount of energy loss and since R<sub>AA</sub> ~ red/blue.







mid-rapidity Iower RAA

foward-rapidity

Quark and gluon fraction changes with rapidity and  $p_{T}$  with more quarks at forward rapidity which should be quenched less.

higher RAA

# R<sub>AA</sub>: rapidity dependence

- Ratio of the R<sub>AA</sub> vs. y to the R<sub>AA</sub> for lyl < 0.3 in different p<sub>T</sub> ranges
  - Large cancelation of systematics in ratio



# R<sub>AA</sub>: rapidity dependence

- Ratio of the R<sub>AA</sub> vs. y to the R<sub>AA</sub> for lyl < 0.3 in different p<sub>T</sub> ranges
  - Large cancelation of systematics in ratio
- *R*<sub>AA</sub> is flat with rapidity at low *p*<sub>T</sub>



# R<sub>AA</sub>: rapidity dependence

- Ratio of the R<sub>AA</sub> vs. y to the R<sub>AA</sub> for lyl < 0.3 in different p<sub>T</sub> ranges
  - Large cancelation of systematics in ratio
- *R*<sub>AA</sub> is flat with rapidity at low *p*<sub>T</sub>
- R<sub>AA</sub> decreases with rapidity at higher p<sub>T</sub>



- Measuring jet quenching includes:
  - Inclusive energy loss through the suppression of hard scattering rates of single jets
  - Differential energy loss through jet correlations to study the path length dependence of energy loss

	0000
0000	

Jet structure modification by measuring charged particles in jets jets have a complicated internal structure that is modified in the medium

## **γ-jet asymmetry**

- γ+jet used to look at energy loss of the recoiling jet since photons aren't expected to interact strongly with the medium
  - The initial production distributions are different



## **γ-jet asymmetry**

- γ+jet used to look at energy loss of the recoiling jet since photons aren't expected to interact strongly with the medium
  - The initial production distributions are different
  - More likely to originate from quark jets than inclusive/ dijets so it's a probe of the flavor dependence

## γ-jet asymmetry

- γ+jet used to look at energy loss of the recoiling jet since photons aren't expected to interact strongly with the medium
  - The initial production distributions are different
  - More likely to originate from quark jets than inclusive/ dijets so it's a probe of the flavor dependence



- Measured  $x_{J\gamma}$  for  $p_{T\gamma} > 60$  GeV,  $p_{T,jet} > 30$  GeV,  $\Delta \phi > 7\pi/8$
- Unfolded using 2D Bayesian unfolding in p<sub>T,jet</sub> and p<sub>T,γ</sub>

### y-jet asymmetry: centrality

central Pb+Pb peaks x<sub>Jy</sub> ~ 0.5 compared to pp at x<sub>Jy</sub> ~ 1



### y-jet asymmetry: centrality

- central Pb+Pb peaks  $x_{J\gamma} \sim 0.5$  compared to pp at  $x_{J\gamma} \sim 1$
- Pb+Pb becomes similar to pp in peripheral collisions



### y-jet asymmetry: models

 Direct comparison of unfolded result to theory in pp and central Pb+Pb



 SCET<sub>g</sub> describes the central Pb+Pb well but misses the peak at 1 in pp

- Measuring jet quenching includes:
  - Inclusive energy loss through the suppression of hard scattering rates of single jets
  - Differential energy loss through jet correlations to study the path length dependence of energy loss



Jet structure modification by measuring charged particles in jets and jet mass since jets have a complicated internal structure that is modified in the medium

Momentum broadening: soft gluon emission that widens the jets and causes e-loss outside jet cone



Momentum broadening: soft gluon emission that widens the jets and causes e-loss outside jet cone



Momentum broadening: soft gluon emission that widens the jets and causes e-loss outside jet cone

Decoherence: medium resolves subjets and modifies them as separate jets



Momentum broadening: soft gluon emission that widens the jets and causes e-loss outside jet cone

Decoherence: medium resolves subjets and modifies them as separate jets

Medium responds to jet and recoils, causing a wake that pushes soft particles back inside the jet





 Jet mass is reconstructed from summing the energy and p<sub>T</sub> of <sup>π</sup> calorimeter towers inside of jets

$$n = \sqrt{(\sum_{i \in J} E_i)^2 - (\sum_{i \in J} \overrightarrow{p_i})^2)}$$

 Ratio m/p<sub>T</sub> (like the opening angle θ) which is easier to unfold and has a weak dependence on p<sub>T</sub>

 Jet mass is reconstructed from summing the energy and p<sub>T</sub> of <sup>m</sup> calorimeter towers inside of jets

$$p = \sqrt{(\sum_{i \in J} E_i)^2 - (\sum_{i \in J} \overrightarrow{p_i})^2)}$$

 Ratio m/p<sub>T</sub> (like the opening angle θ) which is easier to unfold and has a weak dependence on p<sub>T</sub>



 Jet mass is reconstructed from summing the energy and p<sub>T</sub> of <sup>m</sup> calorimeter towers inside of jets

$$= \sqrt{(\sum_{i \in J} E_i)^2 - (\sum_{i \in J} \overrightarrow{p_i})^2)}$$

• Ratio m/ $p_T$  (like the opening angle  $\theta$ ) which is easier to unfold and has a weak dependence on  $p_T$ 



 Jet mass is reconstructed from summing the energy and p<sub>T</sub> of <sup>m</sup> calorimeter towers inside of jets

$$= \sqrt{(\sum_{i\in J} E_i)^2 - (\sum_{i\in J} \overrightarrow{p_i})^2)}$$

• Ratio m/ $p_T$  (like the opening angle  $\theta$ ) which is easier to unfold and has a weak dependence on  $p_T$ 

wide jets:

and m/p<sub>T</sub>

higher mass



- In medium:
  - Jet widens -> larger mass
  - Jet widens too much and energy moves outside of jet cone-> smaller mass
#### Jet mass



 ATLAS m/p<sub>T</sub> in Pb+Pb and pp









## Jet fragmentation functions

- Measures how charged particles are distributed within a jet by looking at number of charged particles in jets (N<sub>ch</sub>)
  - z measures the fraction of the track momentum in the jet momentum low z, low high z, high track pT track pT
- R=0.4 jets with charged tracks > 1 GeV



#### Jet fragmentation functions • FF are fully 2D unfolded in jet $p_T$ and z (or $p_T$ <sup>trk</sup>)



16/26



#### Internal structure $R_{D(z)} = \frac{L}{2}$



 Jets are more modified in central collisions





Ζ

#### Jets are more modified in central collisions

**Consistent between** 2.76 and 5.02 TeV

**Enhancement at low** z and suppression at intermediate z

Energy transferred to soft particles in and around the jet



Ζ

#### Jets are more modified in central collisions

**Consistent between** 2.76 and 5.02 TeV

**Enhancement at low** z and suppression at intermediate z

Energy transferred to soft particles in

**Enhancement at high z** and around the jet

More quark jets at high z that could be modified differently than gluon jets

#### Internal structure: pt dep. arXiv:1805.05424



- No jet p<sub>T</sub> dependence at high z
- Less enhancement for higher p<sub>T</sub> at low z
   Described by model

#### Internal structure: p<sub>T</sub> dep. arXiv:1805.05424 2.5 2.5ly <sup>jet</sup> l < 2.1 anti- $k_t R$ =0.4 jets ATLAS ATLAS ly <sup>jet</sup> l < 2.1 anti- $k_t R$ =0.4 jets $R_{D(z)}$ $B_{D(p_{\tau})}$ $126 < p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}} < 158 \text{ GeV}$ SCET g=2.1 data $200 < p_{_{ au}}^{^{ m jet}} < 251 \; { m GeV}$ $126 < p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}} < 158 \,\text{GeV}$ 316 < $p_{\tau}^{\rm jet}$ < 398 GeV $200 < p_{-}^{\text{jet}} < 251 \text{ GeV}$ Hybrid Model, $R_{res} = 3$ $316 < p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}} < 398 \,\text{GeV}$ $126 < p_{\pm}^{\text{jet}} < 158 \text{ GeV}$ $200 < p_{-}^{\text{jet}} < 251 \text{ GeV}$ 1.5 $316 < p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}} < 398 \text{ GeV}$ Pb+Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ , 0.49 nb<sup>-1</sup>, 0-10% Pb+Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ , 0.49 nb<sup>-1</sup>, 0-10% pp, $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ , 25 pb<sup>-1</sup> 0.5 pp, $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ , 25 $pb^{-1}$ 0.5 $10^{-2}$ $p_{T}^{10^2}$ [GeV] $10^{-1}$ 10

- No jet p<sub>T</sub> dependence at high z
- Less enhancement for higher p<sub>T</sub> at low z
   Described by model
- Jet  $p_T$  dependence shows more low  $p_T$  tracks at high  $p_T$ 
  - response of medium to jets?
- Model describes jet p<sub>T</sub> dependence at high track p<sub>T</sub>

# Internal structure: rapidity dep.



- Ratio of R<sub>D(z)</sub> at fixed lyl intervals to lyl < 0.3</li>
  - No significant rapidity dependence
  - Consistent with models

# Internal structure: rapidity dep.



- Ratio of R<sub>D(z)</sub> at fixed lyl intervals to lyl < 0.3</li>
  - No significant rapidity dependence
  - Consistent with models
  - Slight hint of an enhancement at high z

## Jet fragmentation functions

- Measures how charged particles are distributed within a jet by looking at number of charged particles in jets (N<sub>ch</sub>)
  - z measures the fraction
     of the track momentum
     in the jet momentum

low<u>z, low</u> track *p*⊤

 $\frac{10w}{p_{T}} \qquad \frac{high z, high}{track p_{T}}$ 

 R=0.4 jets with charged tracks > 1 GeV

$$D(p_{\mathrm{T}}, r) = rac{1}{N_{\mathrm{jet}}} rac{1}{2\pi r} rac{\mathrm{d}^2 n_{\mathrm{ch}}(r)}{\mathrm{d} r \mathrm{d} p_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

r measures the shape of the jet



# Radial profile

 FFs as a function of radius to measure the jet rs rge in ant<math>rfloor for restrictions for restrictions for restrictions for restrictions for the second sec



**ATLAS-CONF-2018-010** 



# Radial profile

- FFs as a function of radius to measure the jet shape in and out of the jet cone in Pb+Pb compared to  $pp \int_{10^2} \int_{$ 
  - Take the ratio of D(p<sub>T</sub>, r) in Pb+Pb and pp to evaluate difference



 $D(p_{\mathrm{T}}, r) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{jet}}} \frac{1}{2\pi r} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 n_{\mathrm{ch}}(r)}{\mathrm{d} r \mathrm{d} p_{\mathrm{T}}}$ 

r 21/26

#### Internal structure: radial dep.

- Ratio of D( $p_T$ , r) in Pb+Pb to pp as a function of radius shows  $R_{D(p_T, r)}$
- Less modification with decreasing radius



 $\frac{D(p_{\rm T}, r)_{\rm PbPb}}{D(p_{\rm T}, r)_{\rm pp}}$ 

#### Internal structure: radial dep.

- Ratio of D(p<sub>T</sub>, r) in Pb+Pb to pp as a function of radius shows
- Less modification with decreasing radius

 More soft
 particles outside <sup>(1, i)</sup> the jet cone

Less intermediate
 *p*<sub>T</sub> particles outside
 the cone



 $R_{D(p_{\mathrm{T}},r)}$ 

 $\frac{D(p_{\rm T}, r)_{\rm PbPb}}{D(p_{\rm T}, r)_{\rm pp}}$ 

**′** 22/26

#### Internal structure: radial dep.

- Ratio of D(p<sub>T</sub>, r) in Pb+Pb to pp as a function of radius shows
- Less modification with decreasing radius
- More soft particles outside the jet cone
- Less intermediate
   *p*<sub>T</sub> particles outside
   the cone
- Consistent with picture of jet broadening in the medium



 $R_{D(p_{\mathrm{T}},r)}$ 

 $\frac{D(p_{\rm T}, r)_{\rm PbPb}}{D(p_{\rm T}, r)_{\rm pp}}$ 

- Short, low statistics Xe+Xe run in 2017 at the LHC
- Motivation

- Short, low statistics Xe+Xe run in 2017 at the LHC
- Motivation

Jet quenching expected to be less for lighter nuclei (Xe<sup>129</sup> vs. Pb<sup>208</sup>) due to the reduced medium density and smaller path lengths



- Short, low statistics Xe+Xe run in 2017 at the LHC
- Motivation
  - Jet quenching expected to be less for lighter nuclei (Xe<sup>129</sup> vs. Pb<sup>208</sup>) due to the reduced medium density and smaller path lengths
  - Lighter nuclei should have a smaller underlying event in central collisions

Showing that there is something interesting in the low statistics Xe+Xe motivates future runs at different collisions systems

- Short, low statistics Xe+Xe run in 2017 at the LHC
- Motivation
  - Jet quenching expected to be less for lighter nuclei (Xe<sup>129</sup> vs. Pb<sup>208</sup>) due to the reduced medium density and smaller path lengths
  - Lighter nuclei should have a smaller underlying event in central collisions

Showing that there is something interesting in the low statistics Xe+Xe motivates future runs at different collisions systems

Replicate the dijet asymmetry analysis in Xe+Xe and compare to pp and 2015 Pb+Pb (without unfolding)

#### ΣE<sub>T</sub>FCal Pb vs. Xe

 The ΣE<sub>T</sub><sup>FCal</sup> distributions are partitioned in centrality bins separately in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe



#### ΣE<sub>T</sub>FCal Pb vs. Xe

 The ΣE<sub>T</sub><sup>FCal</sup> distributions are partitioned in centrality bins separately in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe



#### ΣE<sub>T</sub>FCal Pb vs. Xe

 The ΣE<sub>T</sub><sup>FCal</sup> distributions are partitioned in centrality bins separately in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe



*x*<sub>J</sub>: centrality dependence *Similar geometry* ()

- Compare 2017 Xe+Xe to 2015 Pb+Pb
- x<sub>J</sub> consistent between Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe for all centralities
- Xe+Xe smeared to Pb+Pb to account for differences in UE fluctuations

ATLAS-CONF-2018-007



## Conclusion

- Wide variety of new results from ATLAS at 5.02 TeV allow for precision measurements of jet quenching
   An asymmetry was found in γ+jet systems
   Inclusive jets saw suppression out to a TeV and
  - observed a rapidity dependence to the suppression
     Measured differentially in jet mass
  - Es moscured interentially in jet mass
  - FFs measured jet internal structure modification
     Differentially in jet momentum, rapidity, and shape
     New Xe+Xe results
- These precise measurements have careful underlying event subtraction, reduced systematic uncertainties, and unfolding for detector effects

Many measurements compared to theoretical calculations which can help constrain models

~4x more Pb+Pb data at 5.02 TeV from 2018 to analyze!

## Backup

# Background is subtracted using an iterative procedure that is modulated by harmonic flow with amplitude vn

and phase  $\Psi_n$ 

$$E_{Tj}^{sub} = E_{Tj} - A_j \rho_i(\eta_j) (1 + 2v_{ni} \cos 2(\phi_j - \psi_n))$$
  
Find the jets

Remove the jet "seeds" and estimate the transverse energy density ρ (η-dependent)



- Find v<sub>n</sub> and Ψ<sub>n</sub> integrated over η but excluding regions with jets
- Subtract this energy from the towers inside the jet

Jet reconstruction

- Re-find new jet "seeds" and repeat procedure
- Re-run jet finding to find jets with background removed!

# Performance

 $ho_{ op}_{ op}$ 

- Large uncorrelated underlying event (UE) that varies with η, Φ and event
  - Subtracted with iterative procedure modulated by harmonic flow
- MC jets are embedded into real Pb+Pb data and reconstructed in the same way as data



JER in 0-10% is ~16% at 100 GeV and decreases to ~6%.



remaining JES/JER

69

#### Jet performance: JES



## γ-jet JER



71

# **γ-jet background subtraction**

- Two contributions to the background:
  - Combinatoric: estimated by embedding PYTHIA8 photo+jet events into real Pb+Pb data
  - Dijet: per-photon distributions subtracted using nontight photons, after scaling by the photon purity



Combinatoric important at low p<sub>T</sub>, dijet at high p<sub>T</sub>
#### Effect of unfolding



pp moves jets the sharp peak at x<sub>Jγ</sub> ~ 1

Central Pb+Pb depletes peak at 1 and moves jets to a rise around x<sub>Jγ</sub> ~ 0.5

#### **y-jet systematic uncertainties**

- Jets:
  - JES is 5% at low  $p_T$  and decreases with  $p_T$
  - Cross calibration: 1% addition JES uncertainty
  - JER is evaluated by increasing the resolutions measured in *pp* by a few percent
  - Uncertainty on flavor composition and different in flavor response is 2% at low  $p_T$  and decreases with  $p_T$
  - Addition JES uncertainty in Pb+Pb that is 1% for p<sub>T</sub> > 50 GeV and up to 5-10% above 50 GeV from comparing charged-particle jets to calorimeter jets, studying the response of simulated quenched jets, and residual non-closure of simulated jets at low p<sub>T</sub>
- Photons:
  - Photon purities adjusted by their statistical uncertainties
  - Photon isolation cut increased by 2 GeV in both pp and Pb+Pb, which increases
    efficiency and lowers purity
  - Non-tight selection varied
  - Photon energy uncertainties evaluated in *pp* which are less than 1%
  - Assumption that the distribution of background photons factorizes

#### $\gamma$ -jet asymmetry: $p_T$ dep.

- central Pb+Pb peaks  $x_{Jy} \sim 0.5$  compared to pp at  $x_{Jy} \sim 1$
- Pb+Pb becomes similar to pp in peripheral collisions
- Slight *p*<sub>Tv</sub> dependence observed
  - $80 < p_{Ty} < 100 \text{ GeV}$



12

#### $\gamma$ -jet asymmetry: $p_T$ dep.

- central Pb+Pb peaks  $x_{Jy} \sim 0.5$  compared to pp at  $x_{Jy} \sim 1$
- Pb+Pb becomes similar to pp in peripheral collisions
- Slight *p*<sub>Tv</sub> dependence observed
  - $100 < p_{Ty} < 158 \text{ GeV}$



12

#### x<sub>J</sub> systematics summary



#### 2011 Pb+Pb x<sub>J</sub> distribution $X_{J}$ 2013 pp

- More asymmetry jets in central Pb+Pb than in pp
- Becomes like pp in peripheral



Pb+Pb

pp

ATLAS

anti- $k_{+}R = 0.4$  jets

10 - 20 %

#### RAA systematic uncertainties

 $\cdot$  Jet energy scale

- Standard pp JES components + 5 TeV flavor and HI crosscalibration (following ATL-CONF-2015-016)
- HI specific uncertainty due to jet quenching (estimated using studies of the ratio of calo-jet to track-jet p<sub>T</sub>)
- Jet energy resolution
  - Standard pp component
  - Established HI component
- Luminosity
- Nuclear thickness function
- Unfolding
  - By comparing to results unfolded using the response matrix without the reweighting

#### RAA systematics summary

# uncertainties on the pp cross section

#### • uncertainties on Pb+Pb yields

## uncertainties on R<sub>AA</sub>



#### RAA VS. Npart



#### Fakes

- Fake, or "UE jets", are jets that are reconstructed from upward fluctuations due the UE
- Removed before unfolding
- RAA fake rejection: look at different  $\Sigma p_{T}^{trk}$  cuts for charged tracks with  $p_{T^{trk}} > 4 \text{ GeV}$  within  $\Delta R < 0.4$  of jets



- Fakes mostly contribute below ~75 GeV in 0-10%
- Above this see little change so use  $\Sigma p_T^{trk} > 8$  GeV as the rejection  $R_{\rm AA}$ 0.9 ATLAS

 Determines the measurement kinematic cut after unfolding to be 100 GeV



dN

#### Model comparisons

- Lorentz Boltzmann Transport (LBT) model:
  - MC model of parton propagation
  - Elastic and inelastic e-loss
  - UE estimate from hydrodynamics with medium recoil and recoil propagation
    Y. He, T. Luo, X.-N. Wang and Y. Zhu
- Soft Collinear Effective Field Theory (SCETg):
  - EFT for soft and collinear particles
  - Jets and their interactions with the medium are mediated by a Glauber gluon exchange
  - Modifications are made to the splitting functions
  - No medium recoil

• Effective Quenching (EQ) model:

<u>Y.-T. Chien, A. Emerman, Z.-B.</u> <u>Kang, G. Ovanesyan and I. Vitev</u>

two downward shifts in p<sub>T</sub>, larger for gluons
B. Cole and M. Spousta









#### Tracking efficiencies



## Systematic uncertainties on Pb+Pb R<sub>D(z)</sub>

- · Jet energy scale
- Jet energy resolution
- Unfolding
- Track reconstruction
- MC non-closure



## Internal structure: photon tagged

- FF in γ-tagged jets compared to inclusive jets
- γ-tagged jets have stronger modification in central
  - This could be do to different jet p<sub>T</sub> selections in the two analyses
  - Inclusive FF is also preferentially selecting jets that have lost less energy
- Better agreement in 30-40%



## Internal structure: radial dep.

- Jet *p*<sub>T</sub> depende jet shape mod<sup>CC</sup>
- More soft particles at high jet p<sub>T</sub>
- No significant dependence on jet p<sub>T</sub> at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>
- Consistent with inclusive FF measurement



#### **UE** fluctuations

 Due to the difference in the UE in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe, a study of the fluctuations of the UE was performed



#### **UE** fluctuations

• Due to the difference in the UE in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe, a study of the fluctuations of the UE was performed

 Sum the E<sub>T</sub> in 7x7 windows of towers in η-φ
 Slide through each window in the event and get the average <E<sub>T</sub>> and standard deviation σ(E<sub>T</sub>)



#### **UE** fluctuations

- Due to the difference in the UE in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe, a study of the fluctuations of the UE was performed
  - Sum the *E*<sub>T</sub> in 7x7 windows of towers in  $\eta$ - $\phi$ Slide through each window in the event and get the average  $\langle E_T \rangle$  and standard deviation  $\sigma(E_T)$
  - Take the average  $\overline{\sigma}(E_T)$  of the  $\sigma(E_T)$  of all the events in  $\frac{2}{2}$ a particular ΣE<sub>T</sub>FCal bin
- *Pb+Pb* slightly larger than *Xe+Xe*
- Difference represents the difference between the UE contributions to the JER and is used as an "uncertainty" in the measurement



#### Xe systematics uncertainties

- Jet energy scale (JES)
  - Baseline 11 nuisance parameters from *in situ* calibration (stand pp calibration) with additional parameters due to flavor response and composition and cross calibration)
  - Additional one in Xe+Xe and P+Pb due to the detector response to quenched jets that is by comparing the ratio of the sum of pT of the tracks associated with a reconstructed jet to the reconstructed jet pT between data and MC
  - Uncertainty due the the residual non-closure in the JES in the MC
  - Evaluated on the reconstructed pT so that pTreco' = pTreco(1+/-uncertainty)
- Jet energy resolution (JER)
  - standard baseline JER from pp and cross calibration
  - HI specific for the difference in fluctuations in data and MC

#### Summary of systematic uncertainties

**Uncertainty on Xe+Xe:** all of the combined systematic uncertainties on the JES as described in the previous slide

Pb+Pb and pp are only used for a comparison to Xe+Xe so only the uncertainties that are different between them and Xe+Xe are needed

> **Uncertainty on Pb+Pb:** Only the uncertainties that Only the uncertainties that are uncorrelated between Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe are included on the pp and Xe+Xe are included on the pp result Pb+Pb result

- centrality dependence JES in Pb+Pb
- difference between the MC non-closure uncertainty in Xe+Xe and Pb+Pb

**Uncertainty on pp:** 

are uncorrelated between

- centrality dependence JES in Xe+Xe

- difference between the MC non-closure uncertainty in Xe+Xe and pp



#### xJ: $\Sigma E_T$ FCal dependence Similar density

- Compare 2017 Xe+Xe to 2015 Pb+Pb
- x<sub>J</sub> consistent between
   Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe for
   all ΣE<sub>T</sub><sup>FCal</sup>
- Xe+Xe smeared to Pb+Pb to account for differences in UE fluctuations

ATLAS-CONF-2018-007

