

US ATLAS Computing Facility Meeting ANL December 3 - 5, 2018

Andrew Hanushevsky, SLAC

http://xrootd.org

What is Xcache?

A file or file block caching service

- Scalable via horizontal clustering
- Configurable for different workflows
- Self managing in terms of disk utilization
- Architected for local or regional use
- Accessible via HTTP[S] or xroot protocols

I will attempt some demystification



Xcache is highly configurable

Allows adaption it to your environment

- Simple single cache
- Multiple VO cache
- Clustered cache (i.e. multiple servers)
- Multi-protocol cache (XRootD & HTTP[S])
 CERNVMFS cache
- **#** How can it be so flexible?



Xcache architecture allows it!

We compose the various plugins to construct a canonical caching proxy server!



There are configuration options for each plug-in



But Xcache can be simple

The minimum directives to get goingall.export *path*

- Path to make visible (any number of these)
- ofs.osslib *path*/libXrdPss.so
 - Use proxy plug-in
- pss.cachelib *path*/libXrdFileCache.so
 - Use caching plug-in
- pss.origin host:port
 - Location of the data source



But that's usually too simple

- **#** Where do the complications arise?
 - Security
 - Authentication and authorization
 - Resource limits
 - How much resource to use
 - Rucio
 - Original files may exist in multiple locations



The security conundrum

#Normally, need these directives

- sec.protocol authprotocol
 - Use this authentication mechanism
 - Complicated by the need to config authentication

ofs.authorize

- Authorize all accesses
- ofs.authdb path

The *path* to the file containing authorization rules
 Fortunately, it's all boilerplate

For any particular experiment



The resource conundrum

Normally, want these directives oss.localroot path Path where the file system is mounted pfc.diskusage parameters How much disk to use before purging files pfc.ram bytes[m|g] How much memory to use **#** Unfortunately, these are site specific Determined by the hardware being used



The Rucio conundrum

Rucio usage would want these directives pss.namelib -lfncache -lfn2pfn path/XrdName2NameDCP4RUCIO.so Rucio specific plug-in to handle multiple sources The plug-in is an ATLAS add-on Not part of the XRootD distribution pss.origin localfile:1094 This replaces the previous origin directive **#** For ATLAS it's boilerplate but still...



Performance considerations

Simple doesn't always mean performing

- Additional directives may be warranted
 - Disk layout optimization
 - Caching optimization
 - Pre-fetching, blocksize, metadata location, cache bypass
 - Multiple caches for scalability
- Some may be site specific
 - Dependent on the hardware being used



Feature considerations

Simple might not have the desired features

- Additional directives may be warranted
 - Checksum support
 - Networking
 - Pre-fetching, blocksize, metadata location, cache bypass
 - Multiple caches for scalability
- Some may be site specific
 - Dependent on the hardware being used



Simple is squishy

Containerization implies standardization

- We can boilerplate **Xcache** directives but...
- Specific directives now tied to the container definition

Tiered caches

Allows simplification at the edges, but...

• Gets more complex as you go up the tree

This is a hard but not unsolvable problemLet's discuss!



XCache, StashCache, and LHC

- What's the difference between all this jargon?
 - XRootD: Flexible software framework we know and love.
 - **XCache**: XRootD configured to proxy and cache data.
 - XCache has three different deployments:
 - ATLAS XCache.
 - **StashCache**: OSG caching infrastructure.
 - CMS XCache.





Frontends, backends, and more.

At a high level, the difference between deployments is the frontend and backends.

#StashCache:



Frontends, backends, and more.

There are also differences in authentication, monitoring infrastructure, and authorization.

ATLAS XCache:



CMS XCache



US ATLAS Facility Meeting

Where should we be headed?

- I believe it is possible to have a single configuration of XRootD that can participate in all three XCache deployments:
 - The namespaces are all distinct.
 - Plugins shouldn't interfere with each other
 Rucio integration is simply a N2N.
 - No conflicting authorization technologies.



How do we get there?

- **Documentation**: Write down how the different architectures work, how these are configured, and how to deploy.
 - Make sure someone could go to <u>https://opensciencegrid.org/docs/</u> and end up with a working XCache.
- **# Packaging**: Capture configurations into the packages themselves.
- **# Software delivery / operations**: Start working on shortening the delivery pipelines.



Deployment Strategies

I think the different packaging strategies as building on top of each other.

Need to keep all layers functional. As you go up the stack, release becomes more targeted.





Deployment Strategies - Details

- XRootD 4.9.x introduces the ability to "include" config.d-style directories, meaning we can more easily layer configurations.
 - I.e., separate "XRootD base," "XCache base", and "ATLAS XCache" into three distinct layers.
 - RC1 RPM is now available in OSG repos.
- The SLATE team and PRP team have both been developing XCache pods



Places we could use some help

- I'd like to see a shortened pipeline between XRootD tag and OSG release.
 - It might also be useful to think about release cadence – last feature release was Dec. 2017.
- Currently no clear information flow for how new configs from VO into OSG.
- **#** How should the monitoring deployment work?
- First step of this is evolving the StashCache meeting is evolving into a more generic XCache meeting.
 - Thursdays @ 1PM central.



Take-Home Message

Over the course of 2018, I think we've done the R&D and integration on:

- How each use case should be deploying XCache.
- Battle-hardening the XCache code itself.
- Determining how to fit XCache into new deployment scenarios.
- With the upcoming 4.9.x, it's now take this into production!

