INCLUSION OF MIXED QCD-QED RESUMMATION EFFECTS AT HIGHER-ORDERS

Germán F. R. Sborlini

in collaboration with L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and G. Rodrigo Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no.5, 282; JHEP 10 (2016) 056; PoS (EPS-HEP2017) 398; JHEP 08 (2018) 165 and work in progress



International Center for Advanced Studies (ICAS - UnSam/CONICET) - Argentina and Institut de Física Corpuscular (IFIC -CSIC/UVEG) - Spain





11th FCC-ee Workshop CERN – January 10th, 2019



- 1 Effects on PDF evolution: mixed QCD-QED DGLAP and splittings functions
- **2-NLO QED:** fixed-order effects on $\gamma\gamma$ production

3- Mixed H.O. QCD-QED for resummation: effects on Z production

Conclusions (and perspectives)

CENTRAL PART OF THE TALK!



References:

 1)- QCD-QED AP kernels: de Florian, Rodrigo and GS, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no.5, 282; JHEP 10(2016)056
 2)- Collider effects: Cieri, Ferrera and GS, PoS(EPS-HEP2017)398 and JHEP 08 (2018)165

³ Part 1: Effects on PDFs

- Evolution of PDF plays a crucial role for precision measurements, and the importance increases for higher energies/luminosities
- PHOTON PDF! We need to think about the presence of photons inside hadrons crucial within the precision physics program!
- Modify evolution equations, redefine PDF basis (to solve them) and find the evolution kernels (splittings)



- Extending DGLAP equations
 - DGLAP equations dictate the evolution of PDFs
 - QED interactions connects QCD partons with photons and leptons.



Extend original DGLAP equations to deal with new objects:

de Florian, Rodrigo and GS, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no.5, 282; JHEP 10(2016)056



- 5 Splittings: expansions in QCD-QED
 - Perturbative expansion in QCD and QED couplings (non-trivial counting...)

$$P_{ij} = a_{\rm S} P_{ij}^{(1,0)} + a P_{ij}^{(0,1)} + a_{\rm S}^2 P_{ij}^{(2,0)} + a_{\rm S} a P_{ij}^{(1,1)} + a^2 P_{ij}^{(0,2)} + \dots$$

Well-known LO results:

$$\begin{split} P_{qq}^{(1,0)}(x) &= C_F \left[\frac{1+x^2}{(1-x)_+} + \frac{3}{2} \,\delta(1-x) \right] = C_F \left[p_{qq}(x) + \frac{3}{2} \,\delta(1-x) \right] , & P_{ff}^{(0,1)}(x) = e_f^2 \left[p_{qq}(x) + \frac{3}{2} \,\delta(1-x) \right] , \\ P_{qg}^{(1,0)}(x) &= T_R \left[x^2 + (1-x)^2 \right] = T_R \,p_{qg}(x) , & P_{f\gamma}^{(0,1)}(x) = e_f^2 \,p_{qg}(x) , & \text{Includes color} \\ egeneration! \\ P_{gq}^{(1,0)}(x) &= C_F \left[\frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x} \right] = C_F \,p_{gq}(x) , & P_{\gamma f}^{(0,1)}(x) = e_f^2 \,p_{qg}(x) , \\ P_{gg}^{(1,0)}(x) &= 2 \, C_A \left[\frac{x}{(1-x)_+} + \frac{1-x}{x} + x(1-x) \right] + \frac{\beta_0}{2} \,\delta(1-x) , & P_{\gamma \gamma}^{(0,1)}(x) = -\frac{2}{3} \sum_f e_f^2 \,\delta(1-x) \,, \end{split}$$

Standard QCD AP-kernels at LO

LO QED splitting functions

- Terms proportional to Dirac's deltas are originated by virtual (loop) corrections
- Color factors in QCD replaced with EM charges in QED Motivates Abelianization algorithm!

de Florian, Rodrigo and GS, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no.5, 282; JHEP 10(2016)056



- 6 Splittings: quantifying QED effects
 - We define a ratio to quantify the effect of H.O. QED corrections

th
$$P_{ab}^{\text{LO}} = a_{\text{S}} P_{ab}^{(1,0)} + a P_{ab}^{(0,1)}$$

Some plots to show the impact in the evolution kernels:



7 Part 2: NLO QED fixed-order

- Effects of QED corrections in hadronic cross-sections
- I)-Implementation of fixed order NLO QED to diphoton production
- II)-Proper treatment of photon radiation
- III)- Description of novel features due to mixing QCD-QED



NLO QED corrections to $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

8 General remarks

- Application of Abelianization techniques to recover NLO QED.



Some comments about previous works:

- Fully automatized but partial inclusion of EW corrections (only virtuals).
- Neglected contributions may play a relevant phenomenological role (in particular, in the highenergy region) Z radiation accounts for 2-4% correction!
- Lack of a consistent framework for W,Z radiation.

Our motivation:

- Perform a fully consistent calculation within QED.
- Explore the phenomenological consequences of dealing with extra-QED radiation.

GS, PoS (EPS-HEP2017) 398; work in progress



NLO QED corrections to $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

A bit of phenomenology

- Some effects due to QED corrections:
 - Photon-ordering: presence of photon radiation, cuts imposed on the two hardest photons Dynamical constraint, minimum angular separation bigger than 120°!!!!



GS, PoS (EPS-HEP2017) 398; work in progress



NLO QED corrections to $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

10 A bit of phenomenology

- Some effects due to QED corrections:
 - LO and NLO QCD dominates. But... total NLO QED introduces percent level effects in the high invariant mass region (>1 TeV)
 - The qqbar channel dominates QED corrections; BUT the photon initiated one contributes below the threshold!!



GS, PoS (EPS-HEP2017) 398; work in progress

Part 3: QCD-QED resummation

- Mixed QCD-QED corrections in hadronic crosssections due to resummation (+matching with f.o.)
- I)- Development of a formalism to deal with mixed QCD-QED computations
- II)- Application to Z production (NNLL+NNLO QCD plus NLL+NLO QED plus NEW non-trivial mixing)



12 Introduction and qt-formalism

- **Case of study:** Drell-Yan (W,Z,photon production at hadron colliders)
- Soft photon radiation could provide non-negligible effects in the low q_T region Extend qt-resummation to deal with QCD-QED radiation!
- Some formulae to introduce qt-resummation in QCD:
 - The singular (i.e. divergent) part has an universal structure:

$$\frac{d\sigma_F^{(\text{sing})}(p_1, p_2; \mathbf{q_T}, M, y, \mathbf{\Omega})}{d^2 \mathbf{q_T} \ dM^2 \ dy \ d\mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{M^2}{s} \sum_{c=q,\bar{q},g} \left[d\sigma_{c\bar{c},F}^{(0)} \right] \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} \ e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q_T}} \ S_c(M, b)$$

$$\times \sum_{a_1,a_2} \int_{x_1}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \ \int_{x_2}^1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \left[H^F C_1 C_2 \right]_{c\bar{c};a_1a_2} \ f_{a_1/h_1}(x_1/z_1, b_0^2/b^2) \ f_{a_2/h_2}(x_2/z_2, b_0^2/b^2) \right]$$

- The Sudakov factor resums all the soft/collinear-emissions from the incoming legs; it is process independent
- The "hard-collinear" coefficients H and C are related with the hard-virtual and collinear parts, and also contain the process dependence.

Catani et al, Nucl. Phys. B881 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1654]



13 Introduction and qt-formalism

More details about the resummation formula:

The Sudakov factor contains the logarithmically enhanced contributions. It can be resumed to all orders within perturbation theory!

$$S_{c}(M,b) = \exp\left\{-\int_{b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}}^{M^{2}} \frac{dq^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[A_{c}(\alpha_{S}(q^{2})) \ln \frac{M^{2}}{q^{2}} + B_{c}(\alpha_{S}(q^{2}))\right]\right\} \qquad A_{c}(\alpha_{S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi}\right)^{n} A_{c}^{(n)}$$
$$B_{c}(\alpha_{S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi}\right)^{n} B_{c}^{(n)}$$

- A_c and B_c depend on the leg responsible for the emission. They are related to the splitting functions!
- Also, C and H are calculable within perturbation theory! C is process independent (H contains the virtuals, i.e. loops):

$$\begin{split} H_{q}^{F}(x_{1}p_{1},x_{2}p_{2};\boldsymbol{\Omega};\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{S}}) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{\pi}\right)^{n} H_{q}^{F(n)}(x_{1}p_{1},x_{2}p_{2};\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Loop information (finite parts)} \\ \text{parts}) \end{split}$$

$$C_{q\,a}(z;\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{S}}) &= \delta_{q\,a} \ \delta(1-z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{\pi}\right)^{n} C_{q\,a}^{(n)}(z) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Radiation from incoming legs (transitions)} \end{array}$$

Catani et al, Nucl. Phys. B881 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1654]



14 Abelianization of the qt-formalism

Path to QCD-QED resummation:

 Step I: Transform all the QCD coefficients into the QED ones with the Abelianization algorithm (done!). Obtain QED resummation formula (done!).

Subtlety *I*: Charge separation effects due to up and down sectors.

Subtlety II: Photons and leptons must be included (closed loops), as well as the photon PDF Non trivial dependence!

SOLVED!

- Step II: Deal with QCD-QED radiation simultaneously. We need to Abelianizate all the coefficients, and perform the perturbative expansions with two couplings!
 - Subtlety I: Check of factorization formulae and its functional structure
 - Subtlety II: Compute all the coefficients, including the mixed ones!
 - Subtlety III: Applicable for color-less neutral final states...



15 Abelianization of the qt-formalism

Our (explicit) formulae (in b-space)

Originally, in the QCD formalism, the resumed component is given by

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{a_1a_2 \to F}^{(\text{res.})}}{dq_T^2}(q_T, M, \hat{s}; \mu_F) = \frac{M^2}{\hat{s}} \int_0^\infty db \frac{b}{2} J_0(b \, q_T) \, \mathcal{W}_{a_1a_2}^F(b, M, \hat{s}; \mu_F)$$

and we extend it by "exponentiating" photon/gluon radiation:

$$\mathcal{W}_{N}^{F}(b,M;\mu_{F}) = \hat{\sigma}_{F}^{(0)}(M) \,\mathcal{H}_{N}^{F}(\alpha_{S},\alpha;M^{2}/\mu_{R}^{2},M^{2}/\mu_{F}^{2},M^{2}/Q^{2}) \times \exp\left\{\mathcal{G}_{N}^{\prime}(\alpha_{S},\alpha,L;M^{2}/\mu_{R}^{2},M^{2}/Q^{2})\right\}$$

Hard collinear part

Logarithmically-enhanced contributions

The hard-collinear part is expanded in a power series:



16 Abelianization of the qt-formalism

Our (explicit) formulae (in b-space)

The Sudakov factor is also expanded:

• The g-functions for QED are:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi} \beta_0 \alpha_S L$$

$$\lambda' = \frac{1}{\pi} \beta'_0 \alpha L$$
Large
log!!!

$$g^{\prime(1)}(\alpha L) = \frac{A_q^{\prime(1)}}{\beta_0'} \frac{\lambda' + \ln(1 - \lambda')}{\lambda'}$$
$$g_N^{\prime(2)}(\alpha L) = \frac{\widetilde{B}_{q,N}^{\prime(1)}}{\beta_0'} \ln(1 - \lambda') - \frac{A_q^{\prime(2)}}{\beta_0'^2} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{1 - \lambda'} + \ln(1 - \lambda')\right)$$
$$+ \frac{A_q^{\prime(1)}\beta_1'}{\beta_0'^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\ln^2(1 - \lambda') + \frac{\ln(1 - \lambda')}{1 - \lambda'} + \frac{\lambda'}{1 - \lambda'}\right)$$



17 Abelianization of the qt-formalism

Our (explicit) formulae (in b-space)

The new mixed first-order *g*-function:

$$g^{\prime(1,1)}(\alpha_{S}L,\alpha L) = \frac{A_{q}^{(1)}\beta_{0,1}}{\beta_{0}^{2}\beta_{0}^{\prime}}h(\lambda,\lambda^{\prime}) + \frac{A_{q}^{\prime(1)}\beta_{0,1}^{\prime}}{\beta_{0}^{\prime2}\beta_{0}}h(\lambda^{\prime},\lambda)$$
$$h(\lambda,\lambda^{\prime}) = -\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}}\ln(1-\lambda) + \ln(1-\lambda^{\prime})\left[\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda^{\prime})}{(1-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime})} + \ln\left(\frac{-\lambda^{\prime}(1-\lambda)}{\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}}\right)\right]$$
$$-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda^{\prime})}{\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}}\right),$$

■ New **A**, **B** and **H** coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{q}^{\prime(1)} &= e_{q}^{2} \qquad A_{q}^{\prime(2)} &= -\frac{5}{9} e_{q}^{2} N^{(2)} \\ \widetilde{B}_{q,N}^{\prime(1)} &= B_{q}^{\prime(1)} + 2\gamma_{qq,N}^{\prime(1)} \\ \widetilde{B}_{q,N}^{\prime(1)} &= B_{q}^{\prime(1)} + 2\gamma_{qq,N}^{\prime(1)} \\ \gamma_{qq,N}^{\prime(1)} &= \frac{3}{2} e_{q}^{2} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2N(N+1)} - \gamma_{E} - \psi_{0}(N+1) \right) \\ \gamma_{qq,N}^{\prime(1)} &= \frac{3}{2} e_{q}^{2} \frac{N^{2} + N + 2}{N(N+1)(N+2)} \\ \end{cases}$$



Mixed QCD-QED coupling evolution

18 Mixed RGE equations

Coupled differential equations: Crucial to recover non-trivial mixed terms in g-functions

$$\frac{d\ln\alpha_S(\mu^2)}{d\ln\mu^2} = \beta(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \alpha(\mu^2)) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^{n+1} - \sum_{n,m+1=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^m$$

$$\frac{d\ln\alpha(\mu^2)}{d\ln\mu^2} = \beta'(\alpha(\mu^2), \alpha_S(\mu^2)) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta'_n \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{n+1} - \sum_{n,m+1=0}^{\infty} \beta'_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^m$$

Mixed beta function coefficients:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{12} (11 C_A - 2 n_f), \qquad \beta_{0,1} = -\frac{N_q^{(2)}}{8},$$
$$\beta_0' = -\frac{N^{(2)}}{3}, \qquad \beta_1' = -\frac{N^{(4)}}{4}, \qquad \beta_{0,1}' = -\frac{C_F C_A N_q^{(2)}}{4},$$



QCD-QED corrections to Drell-Yan (inclusive)







Dominated by qq and qg

Extracted from the talk "QCD⊕QED NNLO corrections to Drell Yan production", by D. de Florian, (LHC EW precision sub-group meeting, Jun 20th 2018, CERN)



QCD-QED corrections to Drell-Yan (inclusive)

20 Motivation & some previous results

Conclusions

Full QED+QCD NNLO corrections to DY (on-shell Z production)

QED NLO ~ QCD NNLO (opposite sign) around 5 per-mille

Mixed QEDxQCD below the per-mille level

Cancellation between qq and qg channels

At 14 TeV QCD NNLO ~ 3.5 mixed QEDxQCD (QCD cancellation)

Factorization approach for mixed QEDxQCD fails by factor of 2

Very stable under scale variations at NNLO

Extracted from the talk "QCD⊕QED NNLO corrections to Drell Yan production", by D. de Florian, (LHC EW precision sub-group meeting, Jun 20th 2018, CERN)



21 Motivation & some previous results

Pythia 8 QED ISR





22 Some plots

Case of study: Z production (implemented in DYqt)



Collider: Tevatron at 1.96 TeV

Z production, using the narrow with approximation, with NNLL + NNLO QCD as reference to compare the QED effects. NEW NNPDF3.1QED (uses LUX's method)



23 Some plots

Case of study: Z production (implemented in DYqt)



Collider: LHC at 8 TeV

Z production, using the narrow with approximation, with NNLL + NNLO QCD as reference to compare the QED effects. NEW NNPDF3.1QED (uses LUX's method)



24 Some plots

Case of study: Z production (implemented in DYqt)



Collider: LHC at 13 TeV

Z production, using the narrow with approximation, with NNLL + NNLO QCD as reference to compare the QED effects. NEW NNPDF3.1QED (uses LUX's method)

Conclusions

- 25
- In the context of precision HEP, mixed QCD-QED are crucial!!!
- Step 1: splittings and DGLAP equations within QCD-QED
 - Fully consistent treatment of IR factorization
 - Percent level contributions to PDF evolution and crucial effects in the determination of photon PDF

Step 2: fixed order effects

- Physical example: NLO QED corrections to diphoton production
- Additional subtleties due to photon radiation (ordering, merging, identification)

Step 3: resummation within QCD-QED (mixed effects)

- Physical example: Z production
- ✓ Non negligible (few percent) effects at low pt!!!

Thanks for the attention!





About photon PDFs

28 PDF dependence: explanation

- Diphoton production is sensitive to photon PDF (at NLO QED)
- Originally, NNPDF and LUXqed use(d) very different approaches. NNPDF does a full global fit with NN (no assumptions), whilst LUXqed uses an analytical formula to describe photon PDF (modeling structure functions)

More info available in Zanderighi et al' 17

Recently, NNPDF3.1QED adopted LUXqed strategy to reduce errors, and both sets leads to compatible results.





- 29 Extended DGLAP equations (easiest ones)
 - New optimized DGLAP equations! They become completely diagonal at some perturbative orders (due to vanishing kernels).

$$\begin{split} \frac{dq_{v_i}}{dt} &= P_{q_i}^- \otimes q_{v_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_F} \Delta P_{q_i q_j}^S \otimes q_{v_j} + \Delta P_{q_i l}^S \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_L} l_{v_j}\right) , & \frac{d\{\Delta_{uc}, \Delta_{ct}\}}{dt} = P_u^+ \otimes \{\Delta_{uc}, \Delta_{ct}\}, \\ \frac{dl_{v_i}}{dt} &= P_l^- \otimes l_{v_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_F} \Delta P_{lq_j}^S \otimes q_{v_j} + \Delta P_{ll}^S \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_L} l_{v_j}\right) , & \frac{d\Delta_{l_{\{2,3\}}}}{dt} = P_l^+ \otimes \{\Delta_{ds}, \Delta_{sb}\}, \\ \frac{d\Delta_{l_{\{2,3\}}}}{dt} = P_l^+ \otimes \Delta_{l_{\{2,3\}}}^l , & \frac{d\Delta_{l_{\{2,3\}}}}{dt} = P_l^+ \otimes \Delta_{l_{\{2,3\}}}^l , \\ Nalence PDFs & Diagonal equations \end{split}$$

There are some remaining equations to describe the full coupled system, but they are more complicated (... much more complicated...)

These equations are usually solved with Mellin transformations. The coupled differential system is reduced to an algebraic one for the Mellin momenta.

de Florian, Rodrigo and GS, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no.5, 282; JHEP 10(2016)056



³⁰ Introducing new PDFs

Change PDFs basis to simplify the system of coupled integro-differential equations Roth, Weinzierl '04



- Photon and gluon distributions are not altered
- Straightforward extension to deal with n_F=6

de Florian, Rodrigo and GS, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no.5, 282; JHEP 10(2016)056



$$P_{qq}^{(2,0)} \to P_{qq}^{(1,1)}$$

Non-observable gluon leads to nonequivalent diagrams contributing to the same kernel

 $P_{gg}^{(2,0)} \rightarrow P_{g\gamma}^{(1,1)} \oplus P_{\gamma g}^{(1,1)}$ Replacement of external gluons leads to different kernels (no need of factor 2)

31

What does "Abelianization" mean?

The Abelianization is an algorithm that we defined to extract QED corrections from QCD ones. Moreover, mixed QCD-QED corrections can be recovered with the same strategy. Even if it seems easy, the structure of mixed corrections is not trivial (involves expanding in two different couplings, potential crossed terms might appear...)

Use two-loop QCD results as starting point; keeping track of the different topologies contributing to the splittings is crucial to check the results

Curci, Furmanski and Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. B 175 (1980) 27 Furmanski and Petronzio, Phys. Lett. B 97 (1980) 437 Ellis and Vogelsang, hep-ph/9602356

- Mixed QCD-QED contributions (i.e. $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_S)$) obtained through the replacement of one gluon with one photon.
- Two-loop QED contributions (i.e. $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$) involve replacing two gluons; internal fermion loops could contain leptons:

$$n_F \to \sum_f e_f^2$$
 with $\sum_f e_f^a = N_C \sum_{j=1}^{n_F} e_{q_j}^a + \sum_{j=1}^{n_L} e_{l_j}^a$

Results have been cross-checked independently by another group!

Manohar, Nason, Salam and Zanderighi, '16 and '17

What does **"Abelianization"** mean?

32

The Abelianization is an algorithm that we defined to extract QED corrections from QCD ones. Moreover, mixed QCD-QED corrections can be recovered with the same strategy. Even if it seems easy, the structure of mixed corrections is not trivial (involves expanding in two different couplings, potential crossed terms might appear...)