European Spallation Source Neutrino Superbeam Experiment — Physics reach —

Mattias Blennow, Enrique Fernandez-Martinez, Toshihiko Ota, and Salvador Rosauro-Alcaraz

Abstract



CSSVS

The high-intensity and low-energy neutrino beam provided by the European Spallation Source (ESS) gives a unique opportunity to access the second maximum in the neutrino oscillation probability driven by the atmospheric mass-squared difference. The superbeam experiment based on the ESS neutrino source (ESS ν SB) is complementary with the next generation long-baseline experiments, DUNE and T2HK, which focus on the first maximum. We present the expected sensitivity reach of the $ESS\nu SB$ experiment to the CP-violating phase in the lepton mixing matrix. We reveal the optimal experimental setup and study the impact of improvements of the systematic errors with realistic numerical simulations.



ESS: Accelerator

The accelerator is now under construction in Lund, Sweden. The power of the proton driver with the energy of 2.5 GeV is expected to reach 5 MW [1]. The average energy of the neutrino beam is $\langle E \rangle \simeq 0.3$ GeV. The high-intensity low-energy beam provided by **ESS** gives an opportunity to observe the second maximum in the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillation probability: $L \sim 400-600$ km for $E \sim 0.2-0.4$ GeV. The first proton beam on target is scheduled in 2023.



Far detector for 2^{nd} maximum

The interference term, which contains the information of the CP phase, accounts for a larger amount of the oscillation probability at the second maximum than at the first one [2]. To compensate the loss of the neutrino flux due to a long baseline for the second maximum, a megaton-class detector is required — the **MEMPHYS** proposal (Water Čerenkov) [3]



Fig. 2 Candidate sites



Physics performance of $ESS\nu SB$

We carry out numerical simulations to evaluate the physics potential of the $ESS\nu SB$ with the public code GLoBES [4]. In the treatment of the systematic errors, we follow the method developed in [5]. The test statistics is defined by comparing a test value T with the *observed* (true) value O as

$$\chi^2_{\text{Far/Near}} = \sum_{i}^{\text{bins}} \frac{|T_{\text{F/N},i} - O_{\text{F/N},i}|^2}{O_{\text{F/N},i}}, \quad T_{\text{F/N},i} = S_{\text{F/N},i} + \sum_{I}^{\text{BGs}} B_{\text{F/N},I,i},$$
$$S_{\text{F/N},i} = \left[1 + \sum_{A}^{\text{errors}} \xi_{\text{F/N},\text{Sig.},A}\right] N_{\text{F/N},\text{Sig.},i}, \quad B_{\text{F/N},I,i} = \left[1 + \sum_{A}^{\text{errors}} \xi_{\text{F/N},I,A}\right] N_{\text{F/N},I,i}$$

where the signal S and the background B consist of the event numbers Ns and the systematic errors parameterized with ξ s. Ns are calculated as "Flux×Probability×Cross section×Energy smearing".

For the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance signal at the far detector, we count in the following 5 BGs, $B_{F,I=\{1\cdots 5\}}$: 1. ν_{μ} misID, 2. ν_{e} contami., 3. $\bar{\nu}_{e}$ contami., 4. ν_{μ} NC misID, and 5. $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ app. For ν_{μ} monitoring at the near detector, we take the 2 BGs, $B_{N,I=\{1,2\}}$: 1. ν_e misID and 2. ν_{μ} NC misID.

The uncertainties of ξ s are taken into account as the "pulls", which are given in Tab. 1.

We adopt the migration matrices described in [6] for the **MEMPHYS**-type detector, which contain the information of the cross section, the detection efficiency for the signals, and the misID rate etc for backgrounds.







time of $\nu/\bar{\nu}$, [Right] Impact of each systematic error.

Systematics (ξ)	Conservative	Default	Optimistic
Flux: ν_{μ} (Signal)	10%	7.5%	5%
Flux: $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ (Signal)	20%	15%	10%
Flux: ν Background	20%	15%	10%
Flux: $\bar{\nu}$ Background	40%	30%	$\mathbf{20\%}$
Fiducial volume: Near detector	1%	0.5%	0.2%
Fiducial volume: Far detector	5%	2.5%	1%
Cross section: QE	20%	15%	10%
Cross section: QE ν_e/ν_μ ratio	Free	11%	$\mathbf{3.5\%}$
Matter density	5%	2%	1%
1 List of systematic errors.	In the simulat	tions in 1	Fig. 4, we ad
timistic" setup.			

The total running time is assumed to be 10 years, and the fiducial mass of the far detector is set to 0.5 mega tons. We explicitly simulate a near detector (WC) with the mass of 0.1 kton, which is placed at 0.5 km.

For the physics performance of $ESS\nu SB$, see also [7-9].

Work in progress

Combining the atmospheric neutrino observation at the far detector to improve the precision in the determination of the atmospheric parameters. Updating the QE cross sections provided by **GENIE** [10]. New physics searches: ν_s [11] and the trident process at the near detectors. Proton decay processes at the far detector.

References [1] E. Baussan et al., 1309.7022 [hep-ex]. [2] P. Coloma and E. Fernandez-Martinez, JHEP 1204 (2012) 089. [3] A. de Bellefon et al., hep-ex/0607026. [4] GLoBES collaboration, Comput. Phys. Commun. 167 (2005) 195, 177 (2007) 432. [5] P. Coloma et al., Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 033004. [6] MEMPHYS collaboration, 1206.6665 [hep-ex]. [7] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Choubey, S. Prakash, JHEP 1412 (2014) 020. [8] K. Chakraborty, K. N. Deepthi, S. Goswami, Nucl. Phys. **B937** (2018) 303. [9] K. Chakraborty et al., 1902.02963 [hep-ph]. [10] GENIE collaboration, http://www.genie-mc.org [11] M. Blennow, P. Coloma, E. Fernandez-Martinez, JHEP **1412** (2014) 120.

Acknowledgements: This project is supported by the COST Action CA15139 "Combining forces for a novel European facility for neutrino-antineutrino symmetry-violation discovery" (EuroNuNet). It has also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 777419 (ESSnuSB).