

Reducing number of queries to the Geometry DB

Vakho Tsulaia
University of Pittsburgh

Number of Queries

- Typical Athena job needs to retrieve data from **~250 tables** in the Geometry DB for building detector geometry in GeoModel
- But the total **number of queries** is **~2500!**
 - Huge fraction of them are auxiliary queries, which are executed only for obtaining Global Tag to Child Tag mapping
 - 3 tables, which implement HVS in the Geometry DB, are heavily accessed by these queries
- All such queries can be avoided altogether by introducing a special '**Tag Lookup table**' in the database:
 - Should contain (**Global Tag, Child Tag**) pairs for ALL child tags linked to the given global tag
 - This table should be **queried only once** per job and the **result set cached** by the RDBAccessSvc

Changes in the Database

- Need to define structure for the Tag Lookup table in Oracle
- Need to come up with tools and strategy for loading data to this table
- One possible scenario:
 - Implement a stored procedure in Oracle, which will generate lookup for given global tag (**DONE**)
 - At the first stage generate lookups for all currently **supported ATLAS tags** using this stored procedure
 - Make lookup generation part of the tag locking procedure for future ATLAS tags

Changes in the Client Code

- ◆ Extend functionality of the RDBAccessSvc (local implementation exists):
 - ◆ At early stage of the job retrieve lookup information for the given global geometry tag and cache it in memory (std::map)
 - ◆ Use the lookup table as primary source for obtaining Global Tag – Child Tag mapping
 - ◆ Fail over previous mechanism of deducing Child Tag information if
 - ◆ **Lookup table for the given global tag does not exist yet** (new global tags, which have not been locked yet)
 - ◆ **Child tag has been requested either with subsystem overrider** (not supported by production job, OK for testing/development) **or with explicit tag for the leaf node**
- ◆ Such RDBAccessSvc can work transparently with any global tag with or without lookup table

Test

- Build tag lookup table for **ATLAS-GEO-16-00-00** in Oracle and SQLite
- Use two versions of the RDBAccessSvc (dev nightly and updated one)
- Run simple test job, which does nothing besides GeoModelSvc initialization ('Reco Geometry' with all subsystems involved)
- Monitor performance metrics of the GeoModelSvc initialization

	Dev Nightly	New code
Total # of queries	2535	290
CPU SQLite (sec)	9.9	7.7
CPU Oracle (sec)	32.3	10.4

Next steps

- ◆ **Short term**

1. More testing for the new code. Then put everything into release (maybe too late for 16.5.0)
 2. Implement DB infrastructure
 - ◆ **Build lookup tables for all currently supported geometries**
 - ◆ **Extend existing tag locking procedure by adding tag lookup builds for global geometry tags only**
- ◆ Steps 1. and 2. are decoupled, thus implementation order not important

Next *possible* steps

- ◆ **Longer term** (after done with the previous stage)
 - ◆ Make Geometry DB available in FroNTier
 - ◆ I have almost no experience with FroNTier, hence I don't know how much effort will be required ...
 - ◆ ... on the other hand
 - ◆ **We already have quite a few FroNTier experts in ATLAS, hence getting support and assistance should not be too hard**
 - ◆ **Andrea Valassi already successfully tested Geometry DB access via FroNTier last summer**

Next *possible* steps

- **And when we have Geometry DB fully functional in FroNTier**
 - Use FroNTier as default access mechanism for the Geometry Database
 - **The same way we do it for the Conditions DB**
 - **Get rid of the 'hybrid' access mode**
 - **Keep MC Database Releases for**
 - **MC productions on the Grid**
 - **'Private usage' (like 'Traveling laptop' mode)**
 - **Drop the dependency of distribution kits on Database Releases**
 - **Will that work for the trigger DB??**
 - **What about various configuration files distributed within DB Release???**
(tnsnames.ora, dblookup.xml)

Final remarks

- Please consider the two previous slides a 'raw' proposal
- Hopefully this will be subject for a discussion
- We need to agree on feasibility of such strategy and then work out a detailed plan
- Feedback and criticism more than welcome