Evolution of Scientific Computing in the next decade: HEP and beyond

Simone Campana - CERN



Introduction

- Those ideas were presented and refined in several stages
 - The 1st scientific computing forum: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/581096/</u>
 - The November 2010 WLCG Overview Board: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/734889/</u>
- The outcome was submitted as whitepaper to the European Strategy for Particle Physics Open Symposium, on behalf of the WLCG OB
 - <u>http://wlcg-docs.web.cern.ch/wlcg-docs/technical_documents/HEP-Computing-Evolution.pdf</u>
- The proposal has been informally discussed with HEP projects other than LHC (read DUNE)




The Worldwide LHC Computing Grid

Tier-2 sites (about 160) H Tier-1 sites KIT Tier-0 ~170 sites, BNL (CERN and Hungary): **42** countries NDGF <u>ار ا</u> SARA-NIKHEF data recording, h reconstruction and RU-T: ~1M CPU cores distribution RAL ASGC **H** ~1 EB of storage **Tier-1: permanent** FNAL storage, re-processing, analysis > 2 million jobs/day 17 **INFN - CNAF KISTI-GSDC** 5 **Tier-2: Simulation**, 10-100 Gb links -M end-user analysis

WLCG: an International collaboration to distribute and analyse LHC data

Integrates computer centres worldwide that provide computing and storage resource into a single infrastructure accessible by all LHC physicists





WORLDWIDE LHC COMPUTING GRID COLLABORATION

CERN-C-RRB-2005-01/Rev. 1 28 April 2015

Memorandum of Understanding

for Collaboration in the Deployment and Exploitation of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid

between

The EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH ("CERN"), an intergovernmental Organization having its seat at Geneva, Switzerland, as the Host Laboratory of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid, the provider of the Tier0 Centre and the CERN Analysis Facility, and as the coordinator of the LCG project,

on the one hand,

and

all the Institutions participating in the provision of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid with a Tier1 and/or Tier2 Computing Centre (including federations of such Institutions with computer centres that together form a Tier1 or Tier2 Centre), as the case may be, represented by their Funding Agencies for the purposes of signature of this Memorandum of Understanding,

on the other hand,

(hereafter collectively referred to as "the Parties").






Simone.Campana@cern.ch - ATLAS Jamboree 2019


21/03/2019

Governance of the WLCG collaboration (as in Annex 5 of the MoU)



- Collaboration Board: general assembly of WLCG members
- Overview Board: standing body of the CB. Strategic directions.
- Project Leader: executive director
- Management Board: organizes the program of work, ensure the execution of the CB/OB directions
- Grid Deployment Board: infrastructure planning and deployment
- Architects Forum: software planning and evolution





CERN

Simone.Campana@cern.ch - ATLAS Jamboree 2019

21/03/2019

S.W.O.T analysis



Strengths	 WLCG established, trust network among stakeholders. Reputation of a success story. Lightweight decision making processes Consolidated infrastructure – common building blocks, policies, federation, resource sharing, etc.
Weaknesses	 Lack of investment in software for the future; initial investment in WLCG applications has levelled off; future need more weight on software WLCG does not have development effort – reliance on external providers – some developments and efforts were of marginal use
Threats	 Flat funding but expectation of more and more computing and data Other sciences now compete with WLCG for resources at similar scales; WLCG may lose influence Need to use facilities not particularly suited to our problems – HPC No financial support for software nor career recognition
Opportunities	 Leverage experience and be central to evolution of scientific computing and become the reference, for the benefit other sciences, resource providers, funding agencies Leverage extra resources (HPCs) by investing in software and building relationships Retain significant leadership role in setting direction



Scientific Computing Evolution: the strategy

1) Leverage the existing HEP computing infrastructure and evolve it to serve as a common computing system for HEP and beyond HEP

2) Evolve the facilities and services to build a HEP Data Cloud

3) Invest in common software and software techniques including training, dissemination and recognition

For this to happen, the current governance of the WLCG organization should be changed to factor out the infrastructure related aspects




Leverage the existing HEP computing infrastructure and evolve it to serve as a common computing system for HEP and beyond HEP

- Expose the well established WLCG services to other HEP and non HEP sciences into a marketplace. Different projects will flexibly adopt different service sets
- Complement such marketplace with services developed and supported by non WLCG sciences, for the benefit of all
- Consolidate the infrastructure around the current core services at WLCG facilities. Strongly encourage commonality across sciences.
 - > Authentication, Authorization and Identity management. Security
 - Networks, Storage and Data Management
 - > Operations

Favor, without imposing, sharing of infrastructure, tools, service and support as far as possible.



Evolve the facilities and services to build a HEP Data Cloud





10

Invest in common software and software techniques including training, dissemination and recognition

- Complement the project specific effort with common effort: tools and procedures, training, actual libraries
- The HSF: a bottom up approach collecting a set of tools contributed, developed, maintained and evolved by the community.






Simone.Campana@cern.ch - ATLAS Jamboree 2019

11

Governance, Organization and Steering

Governance must remain science-led and lightweight

- The Scientific Computing Infrastructure gets established, factoring out the aspects common to all organizations, as infrastructure and software
- WLCG collaboration retains the LHC specific aspects
- Other organizations implement their own equivalent





The Scientific Computing Infrastructure

Driven by the sciences with a stake on the common infrastructure, as part of the **Scientific Collaboration Steering Committee**

- The steering committee defines/steers direction, encourages funding, brokers needs on licensing, policies, joint procurements
- Members of the committee:
 - The heads of Information and Communication Technology of the major HEP laboratories being part of the Infrastructure
 - The computing project leaders from the major projects and experiments
- The committee reaches decisions by consensus, while a voting procedure would formally exist. It reports to the involved sciences through their representatives



The Scientific Computing Infrastructure

The Scientific Steering Committee overseas the activities of and provide recommendations to different bodies:

- The SCI deployment board, replacing the WLCG Grid deployment board
 - Broadened to all parties of the SCI
 - Covering all integration and deployment aspect of services
 - Evolving in a more forward looking body in terms of computing technologies
- The HSF would be the vehicle by which common software tools, libraries and techniques is fostered across the SCI community



Risks and Mitigation

Two main risks were identified in the proposed model and possible mitigations were considered

1) Risk of loss of control

- Today, the tight coupling of the WLCG organization with the infrastructure ensures control
- In the new model the infrastructure and WLCG are loosely coupled and more projects compete with WLCG
- Minimized building up the SCI steering committee on the backbone of the current WLCG OB, bringing on board the new key stakeholders (sciences)

2) Risk of dominant role

- Other organizations than WLCG would refuse a model imposed upon them
- Minimized by presenting the SCI as a marketplace of tools/services rather than a top-down monolithic system



Conclusions

- We intend to have the next round of discussion at the HOW meeting in JLAB
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/759388/
 - Open discussion on Monday's plenary
 - Closed discussion at the WLCG Collaboration Board on Wednesday
 - To facilitate the discussion we opened a google document. Please contribute.
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ePXsKa8mtFk1-ow6rVOQ30bAoguu7yg_pgDHBqdqC4g/edit</u>

