# Data Format for CLAS12 Experiment

Gagik Gavalian (Jlab) CHEP 2019 (November)

### Introduction

- Past
  - Spectrometer (CLAS) with 6 GeV electron beam.
    - On hydrogen target
    - On nuclear target
    - Using tagged photon beam
- Present
  - experiments with higher beam energy and higher luminosities.
    - Introduced many new detectors
    - Increased data volume (about 50x)
- Future
  - Larger data sizes demand new approach to data formats



• Hall-B at Jefferson Laboratory was running experiments using Cebaf Large Acceptance

With the upgrade of Jlab accelerator to 12 GeV CLAS detector was upgraded to run



### CLAS12 Detector





#### DETECTOR COMPOSITION:

- Drift Chamber inside Toroidal field for forward tacks.
- Electromagnetic Calorimeter for electron identification and neutral particle detector.
- Time of Flight system for particle identification.
- High Threshold Cherenkov Detector for electron pion rejection.
- Silicon tracker for central detector charged particle tracking in Solenoidal Filed.
- Central Neutron Detector for neutron identification.

#### DATA ACQUISITION:

- >100K Channels
- DAQ data rate 12 kHz,
- Data rate 400 Mb/sec
- Up-to-Date collected ~1.2 Pb



### Data Flow

| DAQ            | <ul> <li>data acquisition rate 12<br/>kHz</li> <li>data format EVIO.</li> <li>flush ADC pulses.</li> </ul>  |   | E:<br>•<br>• |
|----------------|---|---|--------------|
| DECODE         | <ul> <li>apply translation table</li> <li>fit ADC pulses</li> <li>write beam conditions banks</li> <li>write output in HiPO</li> </ul>  | • | Re           |
| RECONSTRUCTION | <ul> <li>written in JAVA with SOA architecture.</li> <li>each detector component is a multithreaded micro-service.</li> <li>services interact with data in HiPO format</li> <li>output is DSTs in HiPO</li> </ul> |   | •<br>D<br>D  |

**Jefferson Lab** Accelerator Facility

#### arly in development limitations of DAQ format were noticed:

- no compression
- no random access
- highly inefficient in IOPS
- lew Data format was developed (High Performance Output):
- highly indexed file format
- compression enabled
- separated records for different types of data
- equirement:
- JAVA interface
- C++ interface

| Stages         | Data Size TB |  |
|----------------|--------------|--|
| DAQ            | 2000         |  |
| DECODE         | 500          |  |
| RECONSTRUCTION | 200          |  |





### File Structure





#### File Header:

- File metadata version, compression etc.
- Dictionary for banks stored in the data
- Location of File Footer

#### Record Header:

- record metadata version, compression and tags
- number of events and record length, index array length

#### Index Data:

• relative position of each event in the record

#### Raw Data:

• collection of events of any type

#### File Footer:

- location of each record and their tags
- number of events in each record



### Data Trains







## File Structure (Event Tagging)

#### Event Tagging:

- Event are tagged in reconstruction stage.
- Each tag is written in separate records
- Record reading sequence is initialized by user request.
- Detector diagnostics data is kept in separate records for checks.



#### Analysis groups can receive files containing several final states for analysis

- The data for each analysis can be read separately.
- Experimental conditions, such as beam helicity and beam charge are common for all analysis, and are present in the file.





### HiPO 2 ROOT conversion



Hipo Read
Hipo Write
Transfer
Root Write





#### Converting HiPO to ROOT

- Read all branches in HiPO file
- Transfer all columns and rows into std::vector
- write ROOT file with branches as vectors

| Operation           | Time (sec) |
|---------------------|------------|
| HiPO Read           | 1.5        |
| HiPO Write          | 7.2        |
| Transfer Structures | 35.5       |
| ROOT Write          | 72.5       |



### **ROOT vs HiPO Benchmarks**



Jefferson Lab Accelerator Facility

| ecce to  | Format | Compression | File Size | Events |
|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|
|          | HiPO   | LZ4         | 1.48 GB   | 6.3 M  |
| om Hipo. | ROOT   | LZ4         | 1.95 GB   | 6.3 M  |
|          | ROOT   | ZLIB        | 1.60 GB   | 6.3 M  |

- 1D plotting 1 variables:
  - HiPO reads all branches  $\bullet$
  - ROOT reads 1 branch (1/10 of data)
- 2D plotting 2 variables:
  - HiPO reads all branches
  - ROOT reads 2 branches
- 1D (3VAR) plotting 1d histogram calculated from 3 variables:
  - HiPO reads all branches
  - ROOT reads 3 branches





### ROOT vs HiPO Benchmarks (Data Frames)



| Format | Compression | File Size | Events |
|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| HiPO   | LZ4         | 7.42 GB   | 32.4 M |
| ROOT   | LZ4         | 8.00 GB   | 32.4 M |

- 1D plotting 1d histogram from 8 variables:
  - HiPO reads all branches
  - ROOT reads 8 (out of 12) branch



## Summary

- Data format
  - new data format is developed for transient data for CLAS12 detector, features:
    - full random access
    - compression (LZ4)
    - record tagging and event type separation
- Performance is better than ROOT:
  - data sorting and skimming is done using HiPO format
  - a ROOT interface is developed for plotting data
  - analysis can be done in ROOT using C++ interface.
  - final DSTs are stored in HiPO
- ROOT as Analysis File Format
  - is good for small files to do plotting
  - not very efficient to store large data sets and run through them





### Backup Slides





### **ROOT Benchmarks**

```
**** reader:: header version : 6
**** reader:: header length : 56
**** reader:: first record pos : 1224
**** reader:: trailer position : 7427376676
**** reader:: file size : 7427394804
      _____
```

```
processed events = 32464165, benchmark (WRITE) : time = 433.10 sec, count = 32464165
processed events = 32464165, benchmark (READ) : time = 7.79 sec, count = 32464165
processed events = 32464165, benchmark (COPY) : time = 258.73 sec, count = 32464165
processed events = 32464165, benchmark (REST) : time =
```

total time = 705.21



5.58 sec, count = 32464165



### **ROOT Benchmarks**

treeLZ4->Draw("sqrt(px\*px+py\*py+pz\*pz)>>LZ3(200,0,10)","pid==11","hist"); Elapsed time Root LZ4 calculate momentum of e-: 18.4177

treeLZ4->Draw("pid\*charge\*sqrt(px\*px+py\*py+pz\*pz)/(vx+vy+vz)>>LZ3(200,0,10)","pid==11","hist"); Elapsed time Root LZ4 calculate momentum of e-: 29.4539

treeLZ4->Draw("beta\*charge\*sqrt(px\*px+py\*py+pz\*pz)\*(vx+vy+vz)\*status\*chi2pid>>LZ3(200,0,10)","pid==11","hist");Elapsed time Root LZ4 calculate momentum of e-: 36.5032





### **ROOT Benchmarks**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### ifarm1801

\*\*\*\* reader:: header version : 6
\*\*\*\* reader:: header length : 56
\*\*\*\* reader:: first record pos : 1224
\*\*\*\* reader:: trailer position : 7427376676
\*\*\*\* reader:: file size : 7427394804

processed events = 6492833, benchmark (WRITE) : time = 77.01 sec , count = 6492833 processed events = 6492833, benchmark (READ) : time = 1.25 sec , count = 6492833 processed events = 6492833, benchmark (COPY) : time = 37.52 sec , count = 6492833 processed events = 6492833, benchmark (REST) : time = 0.91 sec , count = 6492833



