

Quantum Computing

Professor Lloyd Hollenberg

IBM Q Hub @ The University of Melbourne, ARC Centre for Quantum Computation & Communication Technology

Introduction – quantum logic and information processing

Quantum search 101 – the QUI system

Quantum error correction and scale-up

Quantum factoring, HPC simulations

Emerging quantum computers, "supremacy"

IBM Q Hub @ UoM – research highlights

Quantum computing and HEP

QUIspace.org

Hard problems: generally scale poorly with (classical) CPU resources, technology plateau (Moore's Law final gasp)

Conventional transistor miniaturization …the end of Moore's Law is nigh

Quantum computers based on the laws of quantum mechanics circumvent limitations of classical information processing

Bursting bubble: williamhortonphotography.com

Quantum information…the important bits

Quantum superposition – multiple possibilities existing at the same time

Quantum measurement – collapse to one possibility when "observed"

Quantum entanglement – observation of one part affects another part

 $|00\rangle + |11\rangle$

2

Classical logic: bit by bit All is a constant of the Constantine Cuantum logic

Classical NOT gate

Quantum NOT gate \rightarrow both bits flipped at same time

Classical AND gate

Classical logic: bit by bit All is a constant of the Constantine Cuantum logic

Classical NOT gate

Quantum Hadamard gate \rightarrow create superpositions

Classical AND gate

Quantum Controlled-NOT: all 2-bit strings at same time

$$
|00\rangle |01\rangle |10\rangle |11\rangle
$$
 CNOT
$$
|00\rangle |01\rangle |11\rangle |10\rangle
$$

Classical logic: bit by bit Quantum logic Quantum logic

Classical NOT gate

Quantum Hadamard gate \rightarrow create superpositions

Classical AND gate

Quantum Controlled-NOT: all 2-bit strings at same time

Quantum gates in combination create generalized superpositions \rightarrow entanglement

Classical logic: bit by bit Quantum logic Quantum logic

Classical NOT gate

Quantum Hadamard gate \rightarrow create superpositions

Classical AND gate

Quantum Controlled-NOT: all 2-bit strings at same time

Quantum gates in combination create generalized superpositions \rightarrow entanglement

Quantum information processing

- logic gates between qubits perform mathematical operations on binary data
- complex entangled states created \rightarrow binary data are quantum "linked"
- quantum interference amplifies probability of desired output (answer)

|1000

|1011

|1010 |1001

|1100

|1101

|1110

|1111

Bubble: commons.wikimedia.org

Quantum information processing

- logic gates between qubits perform mathematical operations on binary data
- complex entangled states created \rightarrow binary data are quantum "linked"
- quantum interference amplifies probability of desired output (answer)

quantum superposition

UoM: Quantum User Interface (QUI)

UoM QC programming and simulation environment for teaching, research, outreach

quispace.org

UoM: Quantum User Interface (QUI)

UoM QC programming and simulation environment for teaching, research, outreach

quispace.org

Quantum search 101 – needle in a haystack

Problem: alphabetical phone book, given a number find the name…

Classical: *N* entries \rightarrow on average $\sim N/2$ tries (look-ups).

Quantum: Quantum search ("Grover's algorithm") $\sim\sqrt{N}$ tries

Example: imagine our data-base (the phone book) is all eight 3-bit numbers \rightarrow search on one entry (say the number $5 = 101$)

"Database" in superposition:

$$
|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 (|000\rangle + |001\rangle + |010\rangle + |011\rangle + |100\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)
$$

"Oracle" marks 101 state:

$$
|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^3 (|000\rangle + |001\rangle + |010\rangle + |011\rangle + |100\rangle - |101\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)
$$

"Inversion" amplifies probability of the marked 101 state.

Quantum search algorithm manipulates the amplitudes so that the probability of the result is amplified – i.e. magnifies the needle…

Quantum error correction and scale-up

Quantum logic is extremely vulnerable to decoherence and control errors…

Essential dilemma:

How do you correct if measurement collapses state?

Quantum Error Correction!

2D: QEC threshold ~10⁻⁵ (Svore et al 2005)

1D: QEC threshold ~10-7 (Skopek 2007)

Redundancy & gates \rightarrow more errors \rightarrow error threshold

> **2D architectures:** (e.g. Hill, LH et al Sci Adv. 2015)

logical qubit

Ancilla gubit

Quantum error correction (QEC) in a nutshell: determine correct data error syndrome \overline{a} ancilla qubits data gubits logical qubit (redundancy!) measure ancillas data summary written to ancillas

Topological QEC on 2D array (surface code)

Kitaev 1997, Raussendorf/Harrington 2007

Threshold >1% (Wang et al 2011)

TQEC is a game changer, but still 1000's of physical qubits per logical qubit

Quantum factoring algorithm (Shor)

The quintessential example: semi-prime factoring…

Kleinjung et al (2009): RSA768 1,500 core-yrs

- N[RSA-768] = 1230186684530117755130494958384962720772853569595334792197 151726400507263657518745202199786469389 638459251925573263034537315482685079170261221429134616704 29214311602221240479274737794080665351419597459856902143413 = p x q
- p = 334780716989568987860441698482126908177047949837137685689124313889 82883793878002287614711652531743087737814467999489
- 0 376426760322838 66511279233373417143396810270092798736

Digicert (SSL): to crack 2048 bit key \rightarrow (>>age of Universe) core-yrs

Shor's quantum factoring algorithm \rightarrow "quantum easy"

QC: 2048 bit case \rightarrow thousands of logical qubits (& QEC) \rightarrow c. 10m physical qubits

Quantum Advantage: some years before QC outperforms HPC on RSA problems…meanwhile:

Post-quantum Cryptography

Impact of full-scale QC on current and future crypto-systems (e.g. RSA) \rightarrow high

 \rightarrow NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization project

15 Universe: 0

digicert.comSL Certificate

Classical simulations of quantum circuits

Shor's quantum factoring algorithm for a *l*-bit semi-prime, $N = p \times q$:

from the distribution *P*(*s*) by simulating *3l* qubit circuit output

Hilbert space dimension: $3l$ qubits $\rightarrow 2^{3l}$ complex amplitudes (i.e. 2^{3l} x 2 x 8 bytes)

Our method: Matrix Product State (MPS)...storage ~ entanglement

- Simulated up to **60 qubits**: *N* = 961,307, *l =* 20
- •MPS actual: 5184 cores, 13.8 TB, 8h (Pawsey HPC Centre)

Aidan Dang et al Quantum 3 116 (2019)

Meanwhile: quantum computers emerge

2016: IBM provides cloud access to QC hardware, programming interface

2017: IBM Network Q

Major players: Rigetti, Google, IonQ, Microsoft, Intel, D-Wave,…

2019: "System One" IBM state-of-the-art

Stand-alone QC systems (20 \rightarrow 53 qubits), fully programmable

Nov 5 2019: Qiskit software stack supports access to AQT ion-trap QC

Google.com: quantum circuit sampler machine

"Quantum supremacy"

 \rightarrow 54-1 qubits beat HPC for simulating QC circuits (Google) \rightarrow 200 sec (QC) v s. 10,000 yrs (HPC) [Nature Oct 23 2019]

IBM: more like 2.5 days on HPC [arXiv:1910.09534]

Big goal: "Quantum advantage"

 \rightarrow beat HPC on a useful problem (if/when?)

Quantum algorithms and applications: NISQ era

Quantum algorithms exist for for a range of problems: optimisation, sampling, system simulation…

New era, old strategy: adapt quantum algorithm to purpose…

e.g. quantum search algorithm \rightarrow bioinformatics (2000)

NISQ: Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum (Preskill)

Key question: quantum advantage in NISQ era?

NISQ: instead of "big data", think "big models"…

 \rightarrow applications in HEP...

Effect of quantum logic gate errors: simulations

Instantaneous Quantum Polynomial circuits:

Example: 10 qubit IQP circuit

Q COMPUTE

(Z-errors, qubit reduction technique)

Results: evidence for cross-over at ~0.4% gate error rate Specific to IQP, but possibly indicative for phase intensive calculations (and close to where hardware is at…)

How fast are things moving?

Quantum computing literature:

Journal club – no longer 1-2 papers/week, now deal with c.50 new/interesting abstracts per week…

Start-up status: pre-2017 and present (courtesy S. Devitt)

The IBM Q Network launched in Dec 2017…

Accelerate Research

Launch Commercial Applications

Educate and Prepare

IBM.com research.unimelb.edu.au/QuantumHub

IBM Quantum Experience

 \circledcirc Aer

 \bigotimes Aqua

 $\quad \ \ \, \textcircled{\small{\ast}}$

Quantum search 101 on IBM Q

Pick a backend (vigo = open) Actually runs this circuit... Results – QASM simulator

Quantum search 101 on IBM Q

Pick a backend (vigo = open) Actually runs this circuit... The Results – Vigo

Research at UoM Q Hub: highlights (2018/19)

Gary Mooney (PhD) et al:

Entangled 20 qubit graph state on IBM Q (GM et al, Sci Rep 2019)

Sam Tonetto (PhD) et al:

Semi-prime factoring via QAOA on IBM Q cost fn = bitwise(N-p.q)²

Demonstrated on IBM Q -> randomised benchmarking

CNOT optimisation across multiple IBM Q calibrations (weeks)

Greg White (PhD) et al:

Procedure to improve CNOT gate -> demonstrated fidelity increase on IBMQ [-> Nov arXiv]

Larger systems – scaling up NISQ

IBM Q 53 qubit device "Rochester"

As they scale the important factors in a quantum computer are:

- Gate errors
- Qubit connectivity
- Number of qubits

Determines the overall length ("depth") of a quantum circuit before the "en-scrambling" of results…

Combined quantitative measure: "quantum volume"

Possibly quantum advantage in specific problems for 100-1000 qubit systems within 5 years…maybe.

IBM.com

Related to HEP…(not exhaustive)

Simulation of quantum systems \rightarrow variational approaches (VQE)

- → chemistry problems (Kandala et al *Nature* 2017)
- → error mitigation techniques (Kandala et al *Nature* 2018)

Lattice gauge theory on QC: Byrnes and Yamamoto PRA 2006

QC and quantum field theory: Jordan, Lee, Preskill 2012-2018 (Review: Preskill *Quantum* 2018, arXiv:1811.10085)

LGT and QC review: Banuls et al arXiv:1911.00003)

QAML: Higgs-signal-versus-background machine learning optimization problem ground state of an Ising spin model (Mott et al, *Nature* 2017)

HEP engagement with QC: openlab.cern/quantum-computing-high-energy-physics www.fnal.gov/pub/science/particle-detectors-computing/quantum.html

e.g.

Staff

Lloyd Hollenberg David Simpson Charles Hill

Postdocs

Mina Barzegaramiriolya Nikolai Dontschuk Liam Hall Brett Johnson Jean-Philippe Tetienne Muhammad Usman

Admin

Rose Cooney Maureen Luna

Students

David Broadway (PhD) Alister Chew (MSc) Aidan Dang (PhD) Matt Davis (QUI) Spiro Gicev (MSc) Robert De Gille (PhD) Erin Grant (PhD) Alexander Healey (PhD) Michael Jones (MSc) Timothy Kay (MSc) Scott Lillie (PhD) Julia McCoey (PhD) Gary Mooney (PhD)

Viktor Perunicic (PhD) Sam Scholten (MSc) Maiyurentheran Srikumar (MSc) Daniel Sutherland (QUI) Sam Tonetto (PhD) Di Wang (MSc) Greg White (MSc) Yi Zheng Wong (MSc) Alex Zable (ME/QUI)

and many collaborators…

Quantum User Interface (QUI)

Quantum computing:

• quantum information

- large-scale architectures
- device simulations
- IBM Q Hub & applications

Quantum sensing:

- new sensing protocols
- quantum hyperpolarisation
- bio-imaging applications
- 2D materials imaging

More information:

Group: [blogs.unimelb.edu.au/quantum-technology](https://pursuit.unimelb.edu.au/special_reports) UoM research: pursuit.unimelb.edu.au/special_reports IBM Q Hub @ UoM: [research.unimelb.edu.au/QuantumHub](http://research.unimelb.edu.au/Quantum-technology) QUI: [QUIspace.org](http://research.unimelb.edu.au/Quantum-technology) CQC2T: [cqc2t.org](http://research.unimelb.edu.au/Quantum-technology)

