

# e-cloud activities



The **electron cloud instability** threshold based on estimates of the electron cloud density in the **main dipoles/quadrupoles** should be made for the nominal parameters. Limit of stability for different values of the SEY.

- a. Done for single bunch stability and for the arcs: presented by **A. Romano at the WP2 meeting on 3/10/2017** In summary for single bunch we do not expect instabilities at injection energy for HL-LHC bunch population. The stability for the lower intensities (those typical at the end of the fill should be also studied.
- **b.** This has been presented in Madrid and instabilities can appear only for LHC bunch population but in that case chromaticity can be used to stabilize the beam.

### People involved: L. Sabato, G. ladarola

- Empirical **recipe for numerical parameters** (number of slices, number of particles) found in the past seems **not to be accurate enough for the LHC 7 TeV regime**:
  - Performing **systematic convergence scans** (taking full cluster in Bologna at moment)
- Investigating impact of **beta function in the arcs** (ATS squeeze). Plan to study also the role of **longitudinal parameters at injection** (RF voltage, bunch length)
- **Software infrastructure** for these studies (job definition, submission and management) to be consolidated (at the moment quite time-consuming and error-prone)
- Plan to work also on **better understanding** these instabilities (in depth analyses of simulation data, simplified models)

Aim at publishing a **document on e-cloud single bunch stability** by the end of LS2



The electron cloud **instability threshold** resulting by electron cloud in **the triplet/matching sections** should be estimated for different coating scenarios.

People involved: L. Sabato, G. ladarola

- Inner triplets
  - Numerically very challenging (strong localized kicks introduce artificial emittance blow-up)
  - We are studying a **simplified case** (field-free, uniform e-cloud density)
    - → We can show that in the absence of coating the beam can be unstable
    - $\rightarrow$  Trying to get cleaner simulations
  - More detailed studies would be demanding in terms of effort and computing resources → Low priority as coating is in the baseline

## • Matching sections:

- Never studied explicitly. Scaling from arc case, the effect should be small
- We could try to **better quantify** (and add a paragraph in the document on the arc stability)



Status and next steps:

# Study of **coupled bunch stability** in the arcs and IRs

People involved: L. Mether (?), G. ladarola

- PyECLOUD-PyHEADTAIL suite extended to coupled bunch case
  - Test runs performed on CERN-HPC cluster
- Next steps:
  - Further **development** (better instrument the simulation, implement damper, generation of bunches on multiple cores)
  - First "real" simulation studies
  - Comparison against simplified models



Study of **incoherent effects** in the presence of electron clouds in the LHC (is the asymmetry in **lifetime B1/B2 relate to electron cloud effects** in the triplets and in particular to the asymmetry in heat load observed left/right of point 5.

People involved: K. Paraschou, G. Iadarola

- Not much experience on incoherent effects from e-cloud (at CERN or elsewhere)
- K. Paraschou selected as doctoral student to work on this
- Presently consolidating tools to estimate footprints using PyHEADTAIL:
  - Integrated NAFF library from Sofia
  - Being applied to study losses observed in 2018 during squeeze and in collision
- Next steps:
  - Literature review (what has been done and what we can learn)
  - Acquire some first experience with longer single particle tracking in the presence of a recorded e-cloud map
    - Start with **PyHEADTAIL** and then move to **SixTrackLib** (being developed by Martin and Riccardo). GPUs might help for these studies.
  - Interpolation of a 3D field map can break symplecticity
    - Try to define theoretical framework for this kind of simulations (profiting of existing work made for space charge, e.g. by Ji Qiang)



Status and next steps:

In the **crab cavities**. Make a first study with no RF field?

People involved: L. Giacomel (DOCT Jan 19), G. Iadarola, LBNL coll. (J.L. Vay, M Furman)

- We will attack the problem using the WARP-POSINST code in collaboration with LBNL
  - 3D and full EM simulation
  - L. Giacomel will spend a part of his contract in Berkeley
- Preparatory work already ongoing:
  - Furman-Pivi secondary emission model being implemented in PyECLOUD (E. Wulff) to be able to make direct benchmarks



- Understanding of the origin of the **difference in heat load among sectors** ction
  - Possible **hybrid schemes** for start-up or back-up scenario and estimated luminosity. Presented in Chamonix 2018 Need to be documented in a note.

People involved: G. Skripka, E. Wulff, G. Iadarola

- Being **followed within the BIHL Task Force**, hope to gain important information during LS2.
- Working on improving the model based on data collected in MD during 2018.
- A **document** with the **simulation results** for the arcs has been drafted (by Galina). It includes simulations for 25 ns and 8b+4e. We could add a section on the hybrid schemes. (could come in the first part of 2019).
- We could prepare a **second document** reviewing in detail the results of **MD and comparing** systematically against simulations.



Status

• **New TDIS:** updated estimates have been presented on 28/3/2017 (https://indico.cern.ch/event/625668/). Need to document in a note.

### People involved: G. Skripka, G. ladarola

- **Recommendations given** and integrated in the present design (a-C coating of beam screen and metallic jaws)
  - Note published: <u>CERN-ACC-NOTE-2018-0060</u>

# <u>Action</u>

Status

• Electron cloud build up in the collimators as a function of the collimator opening

### People involved: E. Wulff, G. Skripka, G. Iadarola

- A simulation study was performed (<u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/754131/</u>)
- It shows that for operational gaps SEY thresholds are quite high



People involved: G. Skripka, G. Iadarola

# Action

ction

Action

Status

# Buildup in **Y-chambers** in IR1/2/5/8

- No special feature expected. We could simulate the geometry if needed.
- Status We should check if coating (NEG) is foreseen

Impact of the connection of **Q4 and Q5** to the arc cryogenics and potential need of a-C coating

- Status Simulations to assess the effect already exist
  - Impact ~250 W/side (3% of the capacity).

## Electron cloud build-up in the triplet BPMs

- What kind of input is needed?
- First estimates can be made using existing simulations
  - Could be refined using the present layout

Inner triplet

#### Instability simulations (L. Sabato)

Segments = 8

Device fraction = 0.01 betax,y = 12 km



