

EDIVISINO. REV. VALIDITY	2046672	0.1	DRAFT
EDIVISINO. KEV. VALIDITY	2046672		
	EDMS NO.	REV.	VALIDITY

REFERENCE : NOT REQUIRED

INTEGRATION meeting #3		
Date: 2018/11/28	Project/Activity: WP6a	
Attendees:		
TE-MSC: Amalia Ballarino [AB], Iole Falorio [IF], Jeromovittorio Parma [VP], Patrick William Retz [PR], Tomas S	e Fleiter [JF] , Alan Gharib [AG], Yann Leclercq [YL], , Stephen Northam De La Fuente [TS],Yifeng Yang [YY].	
EN-MME: Robin Betemps [RB]		
EN-HE-PO : Jani Hattunen [JH]		
ATS-DO : Michele Modena [MM]		
Excused: Caterina Bertone [CB], Catarina Carvalheiras	[CC], Paolo Fessia [PF], Jean-Philippe Tock [JPT].	
Agenda: https://indico.cern.ch/event/773187/		
 Installation of the DFX in the tunnel [YL] Installation of the SDFM in the tunnel [YL] DFX vertical design: update on integration aspects [Y^A 	Y]	
DISC	USSION	
DFX TRANSPORT AND INSTALLATION BRAINSTORMING	G [YL]	
 The boxes are expected to weigh less than 2 to has been presented. The transport path has to are expected since the components are smalle 	ons and list of the biggest components and relative weight be discussed with the transport team but no showstopper er than the dipole;	
 The installation will take place after locatin installation of the beam line; 	ng the magnets and the cryogenic lines but before the	
 There is a clear interest to install the I installation of the power converters [A 	DSH early enough to have space and definitely before the B];	
 MM is invited to provide the non-bound to see if there is an available space who 	ndary condition in the galleries, to work around them and ere the DSHx could be temporarily parked [AB].	
 AG and YL are invited to start working The proposal should include the seque which some components (i.e. the galleries [AB]; 	on a procedure for installation to be presented to WP15. ence of integration and the evaluation of the time during DSHx) would remain "parked" in some areas of the	
 The engineering constraints on the alignment beam line and do not require a precise alignment 	t are on the shaft side, whilst the box is decoupled by the nent with the D1 side [YL]:	
 A final decision on the choice of a flexing of the line is rigid there will be alignment on the choice on the bus-bars [AB]; 	or rigid cryostat connecting the DFX to D1 should be made. Int constraint from D1 too. The choice of the line depends	
 The engineering tolerances should be t communicate them [AB]; 	aken into account. MM is invited to check those value and	
Page 1 of 3	Template FDMS No · 1311297	



EDMS NO.	REV.	VALIDITY
2040072	0.1	

REFERENCE : NOT REQUIRED

- The DFX supports are under study and the decision has not been taken yet. One possibility is hanging the box from the walls the other is have a support that goes around the beam line but it might be difficult [RB];
- The safety aspects should be discussed among VP,YL,VP. The impact of the unlikely event of breaking of the λ -plate on the safety devices design and on the SC-link should be quantified [AB]. VP observes that the design of the facility should not be overdone to take all the flow from the magnets [VP].

DFM TRANSPORT AND INSTALLATION BRAINSTORMING [YL]

- The box is expected to weigh less than 1 ton. The system is composed by one main component plus a D2-DFM line (mix of flexi and rigid). A length of about 45 m is available between the DFM and the shaft;
 - It should be checked if it there are existing standard solution to lift a component of 1 ton above the magnet inside the tunnel [RB];
- The DFM is foreseen to be located on top of D2 and supported by the magnet underneath [YL]. In case of maintenance/replacement of D2 the DFM needs to be supported, another support should be envisaged [AG]. To be studied if the DFM can be supported to the ground [VP] or from the walls [RB]. The required supporting structure will need to be communicated to WP15 [AB];
- The installation proposal from YL include attaching the DHSm to the walls while D2 is installed. The D2-DFM line will have then to be installed underneath the cryo-jumper unit;
 - Space should be left available in case of ned to replace D2 [AG];
 - YL is invited to work on a conceptual installation sequence and evaluation of the installation time for the DFM too, including on an eventual parking position required for the DSHm link [AB];

UPDATE ON INTEGRATION CHECKS AND POSSIBLE CONCEPT REVISION [YY]

- Repair of LTS-LTS splices: it can be achieved by sliding the VV and un-welding the helium vessel;
- Repair of MgB₂-LTS splices, all the alternative solutions have to be studied:
 - Removal of the beam line to drop the DFX on the floor [YY];
 - Cut of the top weld (and removal of the horizontal box) to lift the DFX up in the shaft [YY]. The process to bring the DFX back to the service gallery would require further study, the length of the link during the retraction process should be dealt with too [AB];
 - Lifting the box of only 1 m, to allow removal of the outer vessel and make the splices accessible in the tunnel [YY]. Possible, to be studied considering the space available in the surrounding area [AB];



EDMS NO.	REV.	VALIDITY
2046672	0.1	DRAFT

REFERENCE : NOT REQUIRED

ACTIONS			
Conceptual study on the installation sequence of the DFX and the SC-Link			ASAP
Conceptual study on the installation sequence of the DFM and the SC-Link			2019
Checking the shaft engineering tolerances			Next week
Investigate what is the standard solution for lifting equipment of 1 ton in the YL tunnel			2019
Study on the DFM support	YL		2019
Quantify the implication on the safety devices design and on the SC-link due to a failure of the $\lambda\text{-plate}$	nk due VP,YL,YY		2019
Study on the maintainability of the MgB ₂ -LTS splices	YY,RB,	,AG	ASAP
Documents:			
Prepared by: Iole Falorio, Amalia Ballarino		Date:	2018-11-30
Distribution List: All attendees			