Characterization of acceptor removal in silicon pad diodes irradiated by protons and neutrons

P. Dias de Almeida[a,b], Y. Gurimskaya[a], I. Mateu[a], M. Fernández Garcia[c], M. Moll[a]

[a] CERN
[b] Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)
[c] IFCA(CSIC-UC)

Motivation

New challenges as the LHC moves towards High Luminosity LHC.

Motivation

Radiation hardness of particle detectors has to be improved to cope with high fluences.



The ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J.C (2010)



A. O. Mucha, 14th IPRD (2016)

Acceptor removal

- **Apparent** dopant removal due to the irradiation
- Parameterization as

$$N_{eff}(\Phi) = N_{eff0}.e^{-c\cdot\Phi} + g_c\Phi$$

- For neutron irradiation, incomplete acceptor removal is also considered ($N_c < N_{eff0}$)

$$N_{eff}(\Phi) = N_{eff0} - N_c\left(1 - e^{-c\Phi}\right) + g_c\Phi$$

G. Kramberger, 23rd RD50 Workshop



Ranjeet Dalal et al, 25th RD50 Workshop



Simulation can qualitatively reproduce this behaviour **without** Boron removal

Acceptor removal - Example

Example: Low Gain Avalanche Detectors (LGADs)

- LGADs have a highly doped layer to achieve gain
- Interesting for their timing capabilities
- However, the gain decreases when exposed to radiation due to ‘acceptor removal’



G. Kramberger et al, JINST (2015)



Materials

Simple p-type pad diodes

Epitaxial
10, 50, 250, 1000 Ω·cm
50 μm

Float zone
>10 000 Ω·cm
100, 150, 200, 285 μm

CiS Forschungsinstitut für Mikrosensorik GmbH

Proton and Neutron Irradiation
From ~ 7×10^{12} to 7×10^{15} $n_{eq}cm^{-2}$

CERN IRRAD Proton Facility

Institut "Jožef Stefan" 50 let REAKTORJA TRIGA

Acceptor Removal Previous Results

P. Almeida et al, 30th RD50 (2017)

Proton irradiated

Epitaxial



Floatzone



■ from CV/IV kink: $$N_{eff} = \left(\frac{C}{A}\right)^2 \frac{2V_{dep}}{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0 q_0}$$

◆ from CV slope: $$N_{eff} = \frac{2}{A^2 \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 q_0} \frac{1}{d\left(1/C^2\right)/dV}$$

Acceptor Removal Space Charge



The shape of the TCT waveform could not be used to check sign inversion because the sensors are just 50 µm. But by comparing the charge collected over bias for different light injections, **it was possible to verify type inversion**.



50 Ω.cm



Acceptor Removal Type Inversion



△ Depletes from the top
▽ Depletes from the bottom

NB: Additional evidence of type inversion from an annealing study: P. Dias de Almeida *et al.* 31st RD50

Acceptor Removal by Proton Irradiation

Annealing: 10 min @ 60ºC





	measured	fitted	
ρ [Ω.cm]	$-N_{eff0}$ [cm^{-3}]	c [cm^2]	g_c [cm^{-1}]
10	1.16e15	6.20e-15	
50	2.20e14	2.28e-14	7.63e-3
250	4.21e13	3.44e-14	
1000	8.25e12	5.47e-14	

Fitted function:

$$N_{eff}(\Phi) = N_{eff0} \cdot e^{-c \cdot \Phi} + g_c \Phi$$

Acceptor Removal by Neutron Irradiation

Annealing: 10 min @ 60ºC



Fitted function:

$$N_{eff}(\Phi) = N_{eff0} - N_c\left(1 - e^{-c\Phi}\right) + g_c\Phi$$

	measured	fitted		
ρ [Ω.cm]	$-N_{eff0}$ [cm^{-3}]	$-N_c$ [cm^{-3}]	c [cm^2]	g_c [cm^{-1}]
10	1.16e15	1.13e15	3.39e-15	-4.50e-03
50	2.20e14	2.08e14	2.08e-14	
250	4.21e13	3.90e13	1.87e-14	
1000	8.25e12	6.81e12	5.43e-14	

Acceptor Removal

M. Moll (2017)
https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2018.2819506



Taking type inversion into account doesn't change the acceptor removal rate **c** in a significant way, keeping the trend previously seen.

This parametrization is important by itself, but we would like to understand the defect dynamics of acceptor removal

TSC Proton Irradiation

Annealing: 10 min @ 60ºC

$7.80 \times 10^{13}\ n_{eq}/cm^2$



There is a clear dependence of the B_iO_i peak with the initial Boron concentration. Suggesting that the main mechanism for acceptor removal is:

$$\begin{cases} I + B_s \longrightarrow B_i \\ B_i + O_i \longrightarrow B_iO_i \end{cases}$$

TSC Proton Irradiation

Annealing: 10 min @ 60ºC



Assumptions:

- E(30) behave as a donor, and therefore contributes to positive space charge
- H(116), H(140) and H(152) behave as acceptors, and therefore contributes to negative space charge
- B_iO_i also behaves as a donor, but for each B_iO_i created there is one less B acceptor. For this reason the concentration of B_iO_i is counted twice for space charge considerations in the upcoming analysis

Elena Donegani *et al.* (2015), 27th RD50 Workshop

Protons Macro vs Micro

Is there a match between defects observed through TSC and the measured N_{eff} from CV?





Protons Macro vs Micro

Is there a match between defects observed through TSC and the measured N_{eff} from CV?





Neutrons Macro vs Micro

Is there a match between defects observed through TSC and the measured N_{eff} from CV?





Neutrons Macro vs Micro

Is there a match between defects observed through TSC and the measured N_{eff} from CV?





Summary and Outlook

Work in progress to study acceptor removal:

- CV, IV, TCT and TSC were used to investigate the evolution of Neff vs fluence of detectors of different resistivities irradiated by protons and neutrons
- Evidence of type inversion in p-type silicon was observed for some proton irradiated sensors
- After correction for type inversion, Neff vs fluence plots were fitted to extract the acceptor removal parameter **c**
- Strong dependence between BiOi production and resistivity was detected by TSC measurements

- SIMS needed to measure Oxygen concentration
- Gamma irradiation should provide a cleaner environment to study BiOi properties
- TSC with light injection is in progress (single charge carrier filling)

Spare Slides

Annealing Study Interpretation of Neff

Data → **Interpretation of the data assuming type inversion**





- Annealing at 60ºC
- Up to 20480 min or ~14 days of accumulated annealing
- Neff calculated from CV measurements

Annealing Study TCT confirmation





BiOi energy level

Defect	Emission parameters: E_a(eV), σ (cm²), T_{TSC} (K), T_{DLTS} (K)	Reference
B_iO_i	-0.23	L. C. Kimerling et al., "Interstitial Defect Reactions in Silicon", Materials Science Forum, Vols. 38-41, pp. 141-150, 1989
B_iO_i	-0.25	P. M. Mooney, L. J. Cheng, M. Süli, J. D. Gerson, and J. W. Corbett Phys. Rev. B 15, 3836, 1977
B_iO_i	-0.24,4E-15, 98, 118	Trauwaert, Radiation and Impurity Related Deep Levels in Si, PhD thesis, IMEC-KUL, Leuven, 1995
B_iO_i	-0.27, 3E-13, 96, 113	Schmidt, J., Berge, C., Aberle, G., Appl. Phys. Lett. 73, 2167, 1998



B_iO_i – donor level at E_c-0.23 eV

Boron removal:

$$\begin{cases} I + B_s \longrightarrow B_i \\ B_i + O_i \longrightarrow B_i O_i \end{cases}$$

BiOi Pool-Frankel