#### Search for dark matter with CMS in final state with missing ET and a single photon

Shamik Ghosh

On behalf of CMS collaboration





 Astrophysical observations point to the existence of Dark Matter (DM)



- Nature of DM remains unknown
- Our knowledge about dark matter comes from noninteractions and gravity
- Electrically neutral, non-baryonic, long-lived, nonrelativistic, interaction cross-section ~ weak interaction
- WIMP: Motivation to consider collider searches for DM

DAE HEP conference, IITM



#### **Rotation curves**



#### **DM Production and Detection**

DM may be pair produced in pp collisions at the LHC, Yields experimental signature of MET













LUX, PANDAX

Scattering of DM particles on nuclei of detector material ; detect recoil. For a given cross section sensitivity scales with detector size.

#### **DM Production and Detection**

DM may be pair produced in pp collisions at the LHC, Yields experimental signature of MET



indirect detection

Assume annihilation of DM particles, eg. In the sun. Detect annihilation products.

PAMELA, AMS

#### LUX, PANDAX

ΓM

Outgoing

Scattering of DM particles on nuclei of detector material ; detect recoil. For a given cross section sensitivity scales with detector size.

#### **Compact Muon Solenoid**





### Dark Matter signatures at LHC

- Dark Matter particles will pass undetected through the detector
- They may recoil against visible high pT object **X** (= jet, photon, Z, etc)
- Large transverse momentum imbalance created as a result
   E<sup>miss</sup> + X systems created



### A wide range of final states can be investigated with CMS



#### Mono-X Signatures – simple and striking





### A wide range of final states can be investigated with CMS







Mono-X Signatures – simple and striking





# **Dark Matter Simplified Models**

• Searches interpreted using generic 'simplified models'

Fermionic DM pair and a massive boson that mediates the interaction between DM and SM quarks

- Model Parameters :
- Spin/parity of the mediator
- Mediator mass (M<sub>med</sub>)
- DM mass (m<sub>DM</sub>)
- > Mediator coupling to quarks  $(g_q)$
- > Mediator coupling to DM  $(g_{DM})$



#### **Monophoton: Event Selection** Searching for single photon recoiling against $E_{T}^{miss}$

- High energetic photon with  $p_{_{\rm T}}$  > 175 GeV :  $|\eta|$  < 1.4442
- Missing Transverse Energy  $E_T^{miss} > 170 \text{ GeV}$
- $\Delta \phi(\gamma, E_T^{miss}) > 0.5$ : to reject W+jets and fake  $E_T^{miss}$  from  $\gamma$
- $\Delta \phi(j, E_T^{miss}) > 0.5$ : to reject fake  $E_T^{miss}$  from jets
- $E_T^{\gamma} / E_T^{miss} < 1.4 : \gamma + jets reduction$
- Veto electrons and leptons with  $p_{T} > 10 GeV$



ArXiv:1810.00196

Irreducible	Ζ (νν) + γ	W ( <del>Ι</del> ν) + γ		5% <sub>5%</sub>	
Fakes	Electrons	Hadrons	50%	20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	
Anomalous	Beam Halo	Spike			Spikes

Irreducible	Z (vv) + y	W ( <del>Ι</del> ν) + γ
Fakes	Electrons	Hadrons
Anomalous	Beam Halo	Spike

Simultaneous fits between signal and control region (single and dilepton) Transfer factors to connect them



DAE HEP conference, IITM ZY->(ee)y

**Wγ->(μν)γ** <sub>12</sub>

800

1000

E<sup>γ</sup><sub>τ</sub> [GeV]

35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

Irreducible	Ζ (νν) + γ	W ( <del>Ι</del> ν) + γ	
Fakes	Electrons	Hadrons	Data driven estimations
Anomalous	Beam Halo	Spike	



			Interesting peculiar experimental backgrounds
Irreducible	Ζ (νν) + γ	W ( <del>Ι</del> ν) + γ	μ bunch
Fakes	Electrons	Hadrons	μ HCAL
Anomalous	Beam Halo	Spike	APD Crystal
		DAE I	HEP conference, IITM Anomalous signals from APD in ECAL barrel 15

Irreducible	Z (vv) + y	W ( <del>l</del> v) + y
Fakes	Electrons	Hadrons
Anomalous	Beam Halo	Spike

To constrain beam halo normalisation, signal region is split into high and low phi regions

### Monophoton:Results

#### Low phi |Φ| < 0.5

#### High phi |Φ| > 0.5



 Better resolution and control over uncertainities than ETmiss spectrum.

 No excess observed from expected

DAE HEP conference, IITM

#### Monophoton: DM Interpretation Spin 1 mediator limits



DAE HEP conference, IITM

#### **Monophoton: DM Interpretation**



DAE HEP conference, IITM

#### **Monophoton: DM Interpretation**



DAE HEP conference, IITM

## Summary : Spin 1 mediators



Mono-X searches sensitive to on-shell region  $\rm M_{med}$  > 2 x  $\rm M_{DM}$ 

Dijets provide sensitivity to off-shell region Complementarity to Mono-X searches

#### **Collider to Direct Detection Limits**

Limits from collider experiments can be recast to compare with direct detection experiments

Particle  
Colliders
$$\sigma_{SD}^{\text{vector}} \simeq 6.9 \times 10^{-41} \text{ cm}^2 \left(\frac{g_q g_{DM}}{0.25}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_{\text{med}}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\mu_{n\chi}}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^2$$

$$Direct$$

$$DM \qquad DM$$

$$M \qquad M$$

 $\mu_{n\chi} = m_n m_{DM} / (m_n + m_{DM})$  is the DM-nucleon reduced mass

DAE HEP conference, IITM

ArXiv:1603.04156

## Summary : Direct Detection Limits



- Collider results sensitive to low DM masses
- More sensitive than Direct detection experiments for spin dependant mediators

# Summary

- Extensive dark matter searches going on at LHC (in CMS)
- No DM observed yet, limits are more stringent
- LHC searches provide complementarity to direct detection searches
- Much more LHC data to be analyzed
- Stay tuned !!

#### Thanks for your attention!!



#### CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



#### monophoton

Signal region yield:  $W\gamma + Z\gamma = (1 + f) Z\gamma$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \prod_{i} \left[ \prod_{K=\text{horiz.,vert.}} \mathcal{P} \left( d_{K,i} \middle| \left( 1 + f_{Z\gamma,i}^{W\gamma}(\theta) \right) C_{K} N_{i}^{Z\gamma} + hn_{K,i}^{\text{halo}}(\theta) + b_{K,i}(\theta) \right) \right. \\ & \cdot \prod_{K=\text{ee}\gamma,\mu\gamma} \mathcal{P} \left( d_{K,i} \middle| R_{K,i}^{W\gamma}(\theta) f_{Z\gamma,i}^{W\gamma}(\theta) N_{i}^{Z\gamma} + b_{K,i}(\theta) \right) \\ & \cdot \prod_{K=\text{ee}\gamma,\mu\mu\gamma} \mathcal{P} \left( d_{K,i} \middle| R_{K,i}^{Z\gamma}(\theta) N_{i}^{Z\gamma} + b_{K,i}(\theta) \right) \right] \\ & \cdot \prod_{j} \mathcal{N}(\theta_{j}), \end{aligned}$$
Transfer factors from control to signal regions

Likelihood : From A.Albert ICHEP2018



#### Ratios : A.Albert ICHEP2018