

One-Loop Effective Action for Nonminimal Natural Inflation Model



Sandeep Aashish

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal

XIII DAE High Energy Physics Symposium, IITM, 2018

Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Covariant Effective Action at One-Loop
- 3 Results
- 4 Current and Future Works

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Covariant Effective Action at One-Loop
- 3 Results
- 4 Current and Future Works

Motivations

- At energies much below Planck scale (inflation era $\approx 10^{15}$ GeV; $\ll 10^{19}$ GeV) QFT in Curved Spacetime is a preferred tool to study quantized fields in presence of gravity.
 - Well tested in case of quantum fields in curved background: black holes, large scale structure formation, etc.
 - Works as a low energy limit to very high energy theories like Quantum Gravity and String Theory.

Motivations

- At energies much below Planck scale (inflation era $\approx 10^{15}$ GeV; $\ll 10^{19}$ GeV) QFT in Curved Spacetime is a preferred tool to study quantized fields in presence of gravity.
 - Well tested in case of quantum fields in curved background: black holes, large scale structure formation, etc.
 - Works as a low energy limit to very high energy theories like Quantum Gravity and String Theory.
- Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying quantized gravity using QFT in curved spacetime [2, 3].
 - Quantum corrections even at low energies (i.e. inflation era) could give rise to observable cosmological effects

Motivations

- At energies much below Planck scale (inflation era $\approx 10^{15}$ GeV; $\ll 10^{19}$ GeV) QFT in Curved Spacetime is a preferred tool to study quantized fields in presence of gravity.
 - Well tested in case of quantum fields in curved background: black holes, large scale structure formation, etc.
 - Works as a low energy limit to very high energy theories like Quantum Gravity and String Theory.
- Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying quantized gravity using QFT in curved spacetime [2, 3].
 - Quantum corrections even at low energies (i.e. inflation era) could give rise to observable cosmological effects
- We use the DeWitt-Vilkovisky's effective action formalism for our calculations [1]
 - Gauge and Background field Independent result
 - Expansion in orders of \hbar corresponds to loop expansion (S-matrix elements).

Nonminimal Natural Inflation

- Our objective is to study one-loop corrections to cosmological models
 - ① evaluation of one-loop effective action using symbolic computation packages
 - ② Renormalization: identifying counterterms
 - ③ studying relevant effects: corrections to potential, observables, etc.

Nonminimal Natural Inflation

- Our objective is to study one-loop corrections to cosmological models
 - ① evaluation of one-loop effective action using symbolic computation packages
 - ② Renormalization: identifying counterterms
 - ③ studying relevant effects: corrections to potential, observables, etc.
- As a first step, we consider the recently proposed nonminimal natural inflation model [5]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{1}{2} M_P^2 \gamma(\phi)^2 R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right]$$

where,

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \left[1 + \cos(\phi/f) \right] \quad \gamma(\phi)^2 \equiv 1 + \alpha \left[1 + \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right) \right]$$

Nonminimal Natural Inflation

- The nonminimal term $\gamma(\phi)$ introduces a new dimensionless parameter α that *gives rise to n_s and r values well within 95% C.L. region from combined Planck 2018+BAO+BK14 data* [5]
- Interesting feature is that $f \simeq M_p$ (for $0.48 \leq \alpha < 0.5$)
 - during inflation, ϕ is also sub-planckian

Nonminimal Natural Inflation

- The nonminimal term $\gamma(\phi)$ introduces a new dimensionless parameter α that *gives rise to n_s and r values well within 95% C.L. region from combined Planck 2018+BAO+BK14 data* [5]
- Interesting feature is that $f \simeq M_p$ (for $0.48 \leq \alpha < 0.5$)
 - during inflation, ϕ is also sub-planckian

⇒ NNI Lagrangian can be expressed in orders of ϕ/f , and quantum corrections can be studied in terms of orders of background field ϕ .

Nonminimal Natural Inflation

- The nonminimal term $\gamma(\phi)$ introduces a new dimensionless parameter α that gives rise to n_s and r values well within 95% C.L. region from combined Planck 2018+BAO+BK14 data [5]
- Interesting feature is that $f \simeq M_p$ (for $0.48 \leq \alpha < 0.5$)
 - during inflation, ϕ is also sub-planckian

⇒ NNI Lagrangian can be expressed in orders of ϕ/f , and quantum corrections can be studied in terms of orders of background field ϕ .

- For simplicity, we consider terms upto second order in ϕ

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{(2 + 4\alpha)R}{\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{\Lambda^4}{2f^2} \phi^2 - \frac{\alpha}{\kappa^2 f^2} \phi^2 R - 2\Lambda^4 \right]$$

- For further convenience in setting up computation, we ignore for now the $2\Lambda^4$ term.

Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Covariant Effective Action at One-Loop
- 3 Results
- 4 Current and Future Works

Effective Action Calculation

- As a toy model, we consider the ϕ^4 theory with non-minimal coupling to gravity

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[-\frac{2R}{\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{\xi}{2} \phi^2 R \right]$$

Effective Action Calculation

- As a toy model, we consider the ϕ^4 theory with non-minimal coupling to gravity

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[-\frac{2R}{\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{\xi}{2} \phi^2 R \right]$$

- The quantization of a theory $S[\varphi]$ with fields φ^i is performed about a classical background $\bar{\varphi}^i$: $\varphi^i = \bar{\varphi}^i + \zeta^i$, where ζ^i is the quantum part.
- In our case, $\varphi^i = \{g_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$; $\bar{\varphi}^i = \{\eta_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi}\}$ $\eta_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow$ Minkowski metric; and, $\zeta^i = \{\kappa h_{\mu\nu}, \delta\phi\}$

Effective Action Calculation

- The 1-loop effective action is given by

$$\Gamma = -\ln \int [d\zeta] \exp \left[\zeta^i \zeta^j \left(S_{,ij}[\bar{\varphi}] - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k S_{,k}[\bar{\varphi}] \right) + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \chi_\beta^2 \right] - \ln \det Q_{\alpha\beta}[\bar{\varphi}]$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ (Landau-DeWitt gauge).

- $S_{,i}$ and $S_{,ij}$ are first and second functional derivative w.r.t the fields $(g_{\mu\nu}, \phi)$ at background $(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi})$, respectively.
- $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ are Vilkovisky-DeWitt connections. **ensure covariance**
- χ_β is the gauge condition for the GCT symmetry.
- $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ is the ghost term that appears during quantization.

Effective Action Calculation

- The 1-loop effective action is given by

$$\Gamma = -\ln \int [d\zeta] \exp \left[\zeta^i \zeta^j \left(S_{,ij}[\bar{\varphi}] - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k S_{,k}[\bar{\varphi}] \right) + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \chi_\beta^2 \right] - \ln \det Q_{\alpha\beta}[\bar{\varphi}]$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ (Landau-DeWitt gauge).

- $S_{,i}$ and $S_{,ij}$ are first and second functional derivative w.r.t the fields $(g_{\mu\nu}, \phi)$ at background $(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi})$, respectively.
 - $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ are Vilkovisky-DeWitt connections. **ensure covariance**
 - χ_β is the gauge condition for the GCT symmetry.
 - $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ is the ghost term that appears during quantization.
- For convenience, we write the exponential in the first term of Γ as,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp[\dots] &= \exp \left(\tilde{S}[\bar{\varphi}^0] + \tilde{S}[\bar{\varphi}^1] + \tilde{S}[\bar{\varphi}^2] + \tilde{S}[\bar{\varphi}^3] + \tilde{S}[\bar{\varphi}^4] \right) \\ &\equiv \exp(\tilde{S}_0 + \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2 + \tilde{S}_3 + \tilde{S}_4) \end{aligned}$$

Effective Action Calculation

- Treating $\tilde{S}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_4$ terms as perturbative, the final contribution to Γ at each order of $\bar{\varphi}$ is:

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{\varphi}) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{\varphi}^2) = \langle \tilde{S}_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{S}_1^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{\varphi}^3) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\bar{\varphi}^4) = \langle \tilde{S}_4 \rangle - \langle \tilde{S}_1 \tilde{S}_3 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4) - \ln \det Q_{\alpha\beta}$$

- The correlators are calculated using Wick's theorem and basic propagator relations

$$\langle h_{\mu\nu}(x) h_{\rho\sigma}(x') \rangle = G_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, x'); \quad \langle \delta\phi(x) \delta\phi(x') \rangle = G(x, x')$$

$$\langle h_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta\phi(x') \rangle = 0$$

Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Covariant Effective Action at One-Loop
- 3 Results**
- 4 Current and Future Works

Results

- \tilde{S}_0 :

$$\frac{1}{2} m^2 (\delta\phi^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta\phi^1_{,a} \delta\phi^{1,a} + h^{1ab} h^1_{a,b,c} - \frac{h^{1ab} h^1_{a,b,c}}{\alpha} -$$

$$h^1_{a,bc} h^{1bc} + \frac{h^1_{a,bc} h^{1bc}}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} h^{1ab} h^1_{ab,c} + \frac{1}{2} h^1_{a,bc} h^{1bc} - \frac{h^1_{a,bc} h^{1bc}}{4\alpha}$$

- \tilde{S}_1 :

$$\frac{1}{2} m^2 \kappa \delta\phi^1 h^1_{a,b} \phi - \frac{1}{4} m^2 \kappa \nu \delta\phi^1 h^1_{a,b} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \delta\phi^1 h^1_{b,c} \partial_a \partial^a \phi +$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \kappa \nu \delta\phi^1 h^1_{b,c} \partial_a \partial^a \phi - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \delta\phi^1 h^1_{b,c} \partial^a \phi + \frac{\kappa \omega \delta\phi^1 h^1_{b,c} \partial^a \phi}{2\alpha} + \kappa \delta\phi^1 \partial^a \phi h^1_{a,b} -$$

$$\frac{\kappa \omega \delta\phi^1 \partial^a \phi h^1_{a,b}}{\alpha} + \kappa \xi \delta\phi^1 \phi h^1_{a,b} - \kappa \xi \delta\phi^1 \phi h^1_{a,b} + \kappa \delta\phi^1 h^1_{ab} \partial^b \partial^a \phi$$

- \tilde{S}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{8} m^2 \kappa^2 h^{1ab} h^{1ab} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{16} m^2 \kappa^2 h^{1a}{}_a h^{1b}{}_b \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^2 (\delta\phi^1)^2 - \frac{1}{8} m^2 \kappa^2 \nu \phi^2 (\delta\phi^1)^2 - \\
 & \frac{1}{8} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1bc} h^{1bc} \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi + \frac{1}{16} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1b}{}_b h^{1c}{}_c \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi + \frac{3}{8} \kappa^2 \nu \xi \phi (\delta\phi^1)^2 \partial_a \partial^a \phi - \\
 & \frac{1}{8} \kappa^2 h^{1bc} h^{1bc} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi + \frac{1}{16} \kappa^2 \nu h^{1bc} h^{1bc} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - \frac{1}{8} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1bc} h^{1bc} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi + \frac{1}{16} \kappa^2 h^{1b}{}_b h^{1c}{}_c \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - \\
 & \frac{1}{32} \kappa^2 \nu h^{1b}{}_b h^{1c}{}_c \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi + \frac{1}{16} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1b}{}_b h^{1c}{}_c \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - \frac{1}{16} \kappa^2 \nu (\delta\phi^1)^2 \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi + \frac{3}{8} \kappa^2 \nu \xi (\delta\phi^1)^2 \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi + \\
 & \frac{\kappa^2 \omega^2 (\delta\phi^1)^2 \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi}{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \xi h^{1ab} \phi^2 h^{1c}{}_{c,a,b} - \frac{1}{8} \kappa^2 \xi \phi^2 h^{1c}{}_{c,b} h^{1a}{}_{a,b} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 h^{1a}{}_a h^{1bc} \partial^a \phi \partial^b \phi - \\
 & \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 \nu h^{1a}{}_a h^{1bc} \partial^a \phi \partial^b \phi + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1a}{}_a h^{1bc} \partial^a \phi \partial^b \phi - \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 h^{1ab} h^{1c}{}_c \partial^a \phi \partial^b \phi + \frac{1}{8} \kappa^2 \nu h^{1ab} h^{1c}{}_c \partial^a \phi \partial^b \phi - \\
 & \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1ab} h^{1c}{}_c \partial^a \phi \partial^b \phi - \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \xi \phi^2 h^{1ab}{}_{,a} h^{1c}{}_{,c} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \xi \phi^2 h^{1a}{}_{a,b} h^{1c}{}_{,c} - \kappa^2 \xi h^{1ab} \phi^2 h^{1c}{}_{,b,c} + \\
 & \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 \xi h^{1a}{}_a \phi^2 h^{1bc}{}_{,b,c} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1a}{}_a h^{1ab} \phi \partial_c \partial_b \phi - \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 \nu \xi h^{1a}{}_a h^{1bc} \phi \partial_c \partial_b \phi + \\
 & \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \xi h^{1ab} \phi^2 h^{1ab}{}_{,c} - \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 \xi h^{1a}{}_a \phi^2 h^{1b}{}_{b,c} - \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 \xi \phi^2 h^{1ac,b} h^{1ab,c} + \frac{3}{8} \kappa^2 \xi \phi^2 h^{1ab,c} h^{1ab,c}
 \end{aligned}$$

- \tilde{S}_3 :

$$\frac{1}{12} \kappa \lambda \delta\phi^1 h^{1a}{}_a \phi^3 - \frac{1}{24} \kappa \lambda \nu \delta\phi^1 h^{1a}{}_a \phi^3$$

- \tilde{S}_4 :

$$-\frac{1}{96} \kappa^2 \lambda h^{1ab} h^{1ab} \phi^4 + \frac{1}{192} \kappa^2 \lambda h^{1a}{}_a h^{1b}{}_b \phi^4 - \frac{1}{96} \kappa^2 \lambda \nu \phi^4 (\delta\phi^1)^2$$

- Divergent part of $\langle \tilde{S}_1(x) \tilde{S}_1(x') \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{3im^4\kappa^2\phi^2}{16\pi^2} + \frac{im^4\alpha\kappa^2\phi^2}{16\pi^2} + \frac{3im^4\kappa^2\nu\phi^2}{16\pi^2} - \frac{im^4\alpha\kappa^2\nu\phi^2}{16\pi^2} - \frac{3im^4\kappa^2\nu^2\phi^2}{64\pi^2} + \frac{im^4\alpha\kappa^2\nu^2\phi^2}{64\pi^2} + \\
 & \frac{3im^4\kappa^2\xi\phi^2}{8\pi^2} - \frac{3im^4\kappa^2\nu\xi\phi^2}{16\pi^2} - \frac{3im^4\kappa^2\xi^2\phi^2}{16\pi^2} + \frac{3im^2\kappa^2\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2} - \frac{9im^2\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{32\pi^2} + \\
 & \frac{3im^2\alpha\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{32\pi^2} + \frac{3im^2\kappa^2\nu^2\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{32\pi^2} - \frac{im^2\alpha\kappa^2\nu^2\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{32\pi^2} - \frac{3im^2\kappa^2\xi\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2} + \frac{3im^2\kappa^2\nu\xi\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2} - \\
 & \frac{3im^2\kappa^2\xi^2\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2} - \frac{im^2\kappa^2\omega\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{8\pi^2} + \frac{im^2\kappa^2\omega^2\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2\alpha} - \frac{ik^2\nu\phi\partial_a\partial^a\partial_b\partial^b\phi}{32\pi^2} + \frac{i\alpha\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial_a\partial^a\partial_b\partial^b\phi}{32\pi^2} - \\
 & \frac{im^2\alpha\kappa^2\phi\partial^a\partial_a\phi}{16\pi^2} + \frac{im^2\alpha\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial^a\partial_a\phi}{32\pi^2} + \frac{im^2\kappa^2\omega\phi\partial^a\partial_a\phi}{16\pi^2} - \frac{im^2\kappa^2\nu\omega\phi\partial^a\partial_a\phi}{32\pi^2} - \frac{i\alpha\kappa^2\phi\partial^a\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\phi}{16\pi^2} - \\
 & \frac{i\alpha\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial^a\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\phi}{32\pi^2} + \frac{ik^2\omega\phi\partial^a\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\phi}{16\pi^2} + \frac{ik^2\nu\omega\phi\partial^a\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\phi}{32\pi^2} + \frac{ik^2\xi\phi\partial^a\partial^b\partial_b\partial_a\phi}{12\pi^2} + \frac{i\alpha\kappa^2\phi\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2} + \\
 & \frac{ik^2\nu\phi\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{8\pi^2} - \frac{i\alpha\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{16\pi^2} - \frac{3ik^2\nu^2\phi\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{64\pi^2} + \frac{i\alpha\kappa^2\nu^2\phi\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{64\pi^2} - \frac{ik^2\xi\phi\partial_b\partial^b\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{48\pi^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Divergent part of $\langle \tilde{S}_2 \rangle$:

$$-\frac{im^2\lambda\phi^2}{32\pi^2} + \frac{im^4\kappa^2\nu\phi^2}{64\pi^2} - \frac{im^2\kappa^2\nu\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{128\pi^2} + \frac{im^2\kappa^2\omega^2\phi\partial_a\partial^a\phi}{32\pi^2\alpha}$$

- Final result for the divergent part of effective action $divp(\Gamma)$:

$$\frac{5}{16} i m^4 \kappa^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} i m^2 \lambda \phi^2 - \frac{3}{4} i m^4 \kappa^2 \xi \phi^2 + \frac{3}{4} i m^4 \kappa^2 \xi^2 \phi^2 +$$
$$\frac{5}{16} i m^2 \kappa^2 \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi + \frac{3}{4} i m^2 \kappa^2 \xi^2 \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi - \frac{9}{16} i \kappa^2 \phi \partial_b \partial^b \partial_a \partial^a \phi - \frac{1}{4} i \kappa^2 \xi \phi \partial_b \partial^b \partial_a \partial^a \phi$$

- Matches with previous result in literature [[Mackay and Toms, 2010](#)]
- Higher order terms $\phi \square^2 \phi$ indicate non-renormalizability. **No counterterms**
- Code implemented using xAct packages in Mathematica.
- The tadpole and self-interaction integrals are solved by-hand, and substituted in the code.

Some Partial Results¹

- For the natural inflation model, the divergent part of effective action upto quadratic order in $\bar{\phi}$, not taking into account the constant term ($2\Lambda^4$):

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{3 i \alpha \theta \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{2 f \theta^6} - \frac{6 i \alpha \theta^2 \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{f \theta^6} - \frac{3 i \alpha \theta^2 \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{f \theta^8 \kappa^2} - \frac{5 i \kappa^2 \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{16 f \theta^4} - \frac{3 i \alpha \theta \kappa^2 \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{2 f \theta^4} - \\ & \frac{3 i \alpha \theta^2 \kappa^2 \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{f \theta^4} - \frac{i \kappa^4 \Lambda^8 \phi^2}{8 f \theta^2} - \frac{3 i \alpha \theta^2 \Lambda^4 \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi}{f \theta^4} - \frac{3 i \alpha \theta^2 \Lambda^4 \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi}{f \theta^6 \kappa^2} + \\ & \frac{9 i \kappa^2 \Lambda^4 \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi}{16 f \theta^2} + \frac{7}{16} i \kappa^4 \Lambda^4 \phi \partial_a \partial^a \phi + \frac{i \alpha \theta \phi \partial_b \partial^b \partial_a \partial^a \phi}{2 f \theta^2} - \frac{3}{16} i \kappa^2 \phi \partial_b \partial^b \partial_a \partial^a \phi \end{aligned}$$

- Contribution at quartic order in $\bar{\phi}$, upto κ^2 terms for the toy model (ϕ^4 theory):

$$\frac{7}{96} i m^2 \kappa^2 \lambda \phi^4 - \frac{1}{8} i m^2 \kappa^2 \lambda \xi \phi^4 - \frac{1}{48} i \kappa^2 \lambda \phi^3 \partial^a \partial_a \phi$$





¹S. Aashish and S. Panda, under preparation

Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Covariant Effective Action at One-Loop
- 3 Results
- 4 Current and Future Works

- Quartic order calculation under progress, to include contributions from ghost term.
- Effective action including the constant (Λ) term is being calculated
 - Complications arise due to non-zero poles in graviton propagator, giving rise to complicated loop integrals.
- Once the code is set up, we will apply it to different cosmological models.

References

-  L. E. Parker and D. Toms, “Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime : Quantized Field and Gravity” , Cambridge (2009)
-  J. C. Fabris, P. L. C. De Oliveira, D. C. Rodrigues, A. M. Velasquez-Toribio, I. L. Shapiro, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 27 15 (2012) 1260006
-  M. Bounakis, I. G. Moss, *JHEP* (2018) 2018: 71
-  P. T. Mackay, D. J. Toms, *Physics Letters B* 684 (2010), 251
-  R. Z. Ferreira, A. Notari, Guillem Simeon, *JCAP* 11 (2018) 021

Thank You