



### Search for Anomalous Electroweak production of WW/WZ/ZZ Boson Pairs in Association with two jets in p-p Collision at 13 TeV

XXIII DAE-BRNS High Energy Physics Symposium IIT Madras, Chennai (India) 10 - 14 December 2018

Ram Krishna Sharma, Dr. Md. Naimuddin On Behalf of CMS Collaboration

### Introduction -

Particle physics is a modern name for the centuries old effort to understand the basic laws of physics. - Edward Witten



**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

DAE, IIT Madras

## After Higgs Discovery???

- Important task is to understand the mechanism behind the Electroweak Symmetry Breaking!!!
- Two possible ways:
  - 1.Precision measurement of the Higgs and the vector boson properties.

2.Study of the vector boson scattering.

### Vector Boson Scattering

- Without Higgs, VBS cross section would violate unitarity at the TeV scale.
- Vector boson scattering at the LHC probes triple and quartic gauge couplings
- Anomalous triple and quartic gauge couplings (aTGC, aQGC) would indicate the presence of new physics
  - Increases the cross-section at large di-boson mass and transverse momentum.
  - sensitive to new physics contributions in the kinematic tail.
- Anomalous couplings can be introduced as a model independent way using Effective Field Theory (EFT).



## aQGC in the EFT Framework

- BSM search using model independent way:
  - Modify triple and quartic gauge couplings by redefining SM Lagrangian.

$$L_{SM} \longrightarrow L_{eff} = L_{SM} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(n)}}{\Lambda^n} \mathcal{O}_I^{(n+4)}$$

- $\Lambda >> m$  & L<sub>eff</sub>  $\rightarrow$  L<sub>sm</sub> as  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$
- An effective field theory is the low energy approximation to the new physics, where "low" means < Λ</li>
- Sample was generated using MadGraph5 at leading-order (LO)
  - Used reweighting feature to save information about different parameter points for each operator.

	WWWW	WWZZ	$WW\gamma Z$	WWγγ	ZZZZ	ZZZγ	ΖΖγγ	Ζγγγ	γγγγ
$\mathcal{O}_{S,0}, \mathcal{O}_{S,1}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{M,0}, \mathcal{O}_{M,1}, \mathcal{O}_{M,6}, \mathcal{O}_{M,7}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2}, \mathcal{O}_{M,3}, \mathcal{O}_{M,4}, \mathcal{O}_{M,5}$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
$\mathcal{O}_{T,0}, \mathcal{O}_{T,1}, \mathcal{O}_{T,2}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\mathcal{O}_{T,5}, \mathcal{O}_{T,6}, \mathcal{O}_{T,7}$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\mathcal{O}_{T,8}, \mathcal{O}_{T,9}$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Ram Krishna Sharma			DAE, IIT Madras			12/12/2018			5

### aQGC parameters to probe

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu}\Phi \right] \times \left[ (D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{S,1} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \times \left[ (D_{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,2} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,3} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,4} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\nu} \\\mathcal{L}_{M,5} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,6} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\beta\mu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,7} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\beta\mu}D^{\nu}\Phi \right]$$

The operators in the red box are the one which we considered in our analysis.

• **Dimension 8 operators:** Lowest dimension operators that modify the quartic boson interactions.

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\beta} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\nu} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\beta\nu} \hat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,3} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \hat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,4} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\beta\nu} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,5} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,6} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,7} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,8} = B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,9} = B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$
Ref: Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 073005

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

DAE, IIT Madras

### Anomalous Quartic Gauge Coupling



DAE, IIT Madras

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

12/12/2018

 $\overline{q}$ 

### Signal & Background

- **VVJJ (EWK) :** Electroweak production of WWJJ.
- VVJJ (aQGC EWK): Electroweak production of VVJJ with contributions from aQGC.
- **W+Jets:** Most dominating background.
- VVJJ (QCD initiated): Irreducible background for analysis.
- tt **Jets**: Top quark always decays to one b-quark and one W boson. So,  $t\bar{t} \rightarrow bWbW \rightarrow bl\nu l\nu$ , if we mis-measure one lepton and one b quark form jets.
- Drell-Yan: Z/Gamma decays to I<sup>+</sup>I<sup>-</sup> and we mis-measure one I because of acceptance or inefficiency effects, gives missing energy.
- Single top production: Here  $t \rightarrow bW \rightarrow bl\nu$ , and 3 jets is reconstructed.

### Centrality and Zeppenfeld Definition

Boson Centrality (Phys. Rev. D 95, 032001)

$$\xi_{V} = min\{\Delta \eta_{-}, \Delta \eta_{+}\}$$
where,  

$$\Delta \eta_{-} = min\{\eta(V_{had}), \eta(V_{lep})\} - min\{\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}\},$$

$$\Delta \eta_{+} = max\{\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}\} - max\{\eta(V_{had}), \eta(V_{lep})\}$$
•  $\xi > 0$ : Both W's should be within VBF jets  
•  $\xi < 0$ : One or both lepton are at larger  $|\eta|$   
than the VBF jets



Event of the second sec	ent-Sel	ēcti	on			
WV Channel		ZV Channel				
<ul> <li>Final Selection Electron</li> <li>Exactly 1 lepton</li> <li>For electrons exclude &lt; η &lt; 1.566</li> <li>MET &gt; 80 GeV (50 GeV)</li> <li>Fat Jet (having radiu</li> <li>65&lt; m<sub>W</sub> &lt; 105, Tation</li> <li>VBF jets (having radiu</li> <li>0.4): <ul> <li>m<sub>jj</sub> &gt; 800 GeV, dE</li> </ul> </li> <li>Boson-Centrality &gt; 1</li> <li>Leptonic zeppenfeld</li> <li>Hadronic zeppenfeld</li> <li>m<sub>wv</sub> &gt; 600</li> </ul>	ns (Muons) e region 1.4442 eV) s parameter 0.8): au2/Tau1 < 0.55 ius parameter ta > 4.0 .0 < 0.3 d < 0.3	<ul> <li>Final \$         <ul> <li>Exa</li> <li>76</li> <li>Lan</li> <li>VB</li> <li>VB</li> <li>mz</li> </ul> </li> <li>Fit my</li> </ul>	Selection actly 2 leptons $< m_{LL} < 107$ rge radius para $65 < m_Z < 105,$ 0.55 F jets: $m_{jj} > 800$ GeV, v > 600	Small value represents higher probability for a jet to be composed of two sub-jets meter jet: Tau2/Tau1 < dEta > 4.0		
Ram Krishna Sharma	DAE, IIT Madra	as	12/12	/2018 10		

### Data driven background estimation (Alpha-Ratio Method)

#### • To get V+jet contribution from data in signal region:

 $N_{signal}^{Data,W+Jets}(M_{WW}) = \alpha(M_{WW}) \times N_{sideband}^{Data}(M_{WW})$ 

#### Alpha (taken from MC) is defined as:

$$\alpha(M_{WW}) = \frac{N_{signal}^{MC,W+Jets}(M_{WW})}{N_{sideband}^{MC,W+Jets}(M_{WW})} = \frac{N_{signal}^{Data}(M_{WW})}{N_{sideband}^{Data}(M_{WW})}$$

- In this formula there are three sources of uncertainty.
  - Uncertainty in alpha (dominated by MC statistics)
  - Uncertainty coming from W+jet shape
  - Statistical uncertainty coming from data



**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

DAE, IIT Madras

12/12/18

# WV/ZV Signal Extraction

- We used M<sub>vv</sub> distribution to get the limits for both WV and ZV channel.
  - SM EWK production is treated as background.

Final state	WV	ZV
Data	$\phantom{00000000000000000000000000000000000$	$47\pm7$
V+jets	$187\pm21$	$41.2\pm6.1$
top	$120\pm18$	$0.16\pm0.04$
SM QCD VV	$28\pm10$	$6.4\pm2.2$
SM EW VV	$17\pm2$	> 2.4 ± 0.4
Total bkg.	$352\pm21$	$50.1\pm5.9$
$f_{T2}/\Lambda^4 = -0.5, -2.5 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$	$22\pm1$	$7.6\pm0.6$
$m_{H_5} = 500 \text{ GeV}, s_h = 0.5$	$40\pm1$	$4.3\pm0.1$

 Before doing this we estimated W+jets (for WV channel) and Z+jets (for ZV channel) in data driven way.



**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

#### DAE, IIT Madras

### Systematic Uncertainty

- Major systematics are considered as shape based.
- Also, limited MC statistics uncertainty are considered bin-wise.

Source	Shape	Signal	V+jets	SM EW	SM QCD VV	top
QCD scale	$\checkmark$	9-20		12	30	
PDF unc.	$\checkmark$	15		10	10	
Jet momentum scale	$\checkmark$	1-9		1-9	3.0-15	5.0-7.0
V-jet selection		8.0		8.0	8.0	
GM model EW		7.0			—	
bkg. normalization			7-16			2.0
V+jets shape	$\checkmark$		shape	_	/_	
Integrated luminosity		2.5		2.5	2.5	
Lepton efficiency		1.0-2.0		1.0-2.0	1.0-2.0	
Lepton momentum scale	$\checkmark$	0.2-0.4		0.5	1.0-1.3	1.0
b-quark jet efficiency		2.0	— \	2.0	2.0	3.0
Jet/MET resolution		4.0	_ \	3.0	2.0	
Pileup modeling		4.0	<u> </u>	4.0	4.0	
Limited MC stat.	$\checkmark$	shape	$\langle \neq \rangle$	shape	shape	shape

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

DAE, IIT Madras

### **Results** - Anomalous Coupling Limits

aQGC Parameters Previous published limits		Our Limits				
		WV Channel	ZV Channel	Combined Limit		
FS0	[-7.7,7.7]		;			
FS1	[-22,22]			ien		
FT0	[-0.46,0.44]			Re		
FT1	[-0.28,0.31]		atio			
FT2	[-0.89,1.0]	borati				
FM0	[-4.2,4.2]		ollouble			
FM1	[-8.7,9.1]	Jet .	FOL			
FM6	[-12,12]	Jn				
FM7	[-13,13]					

#### **Reference:**

1. <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC#aQGC\_Results</u>

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

DAE, IIT Madras



• Analysed both WV and ZV channel for aQGC.

• Signal sample was generated using MadGraph at LO.

Signal extraction was done using invariant mass of WV/ZV system (M<sub>wv/zv</sub>).



### Parametric Function For Each Background

Side-band



**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

DAE, IIT Madras

12/12/18