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Introduction

- A scale factor (SF) is simply a ratio of efficiencies in Data to Monte Carlo (MC) for a given process.

- This measurement is done on the purely hadronic decay of a Vector boson (W/Z jet, aka V-jet).
A scale factor (SF) is simply a ratio of efficiencies in Data to Monte Carlo (MC) for a given process.

This measurement is done on the purely hadronic decay of a Vector boson (W/Z jet, aka V-jet).

The hadronic decay of a V-jet is characterized by its two-prong structure using ATLAS’s fine-grained calorimeter.
The ATLAS Detector

Liquid Argon hadronic end-cap and forward calorimeters
Motivation

- **Motivation for Scale Factors**
  - A way to compare Data to MC efficiencies
  - Estimation of uncertainties: particularly useful when one has many correlated uncertainties

- **Motivation for V-jets**
  - Background estimation for heavy resonance searches, eg. diboson searches
  - Refinement of methods to distinguish hadronic decays of W/Z from other processes
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SF Measurement Procedure

Outline

- Tagging and selecting jets
- Jet mass distributions
- MC signal and background fits
- Scale Factor results
Defining a jet

At high transverse momenta ($p_T$), jets from the hadronic decay of a vector boson become highly collimated. The angular distance between the hadronic decays goes as $\sim 2m/P_T$

- Anti-$k_t$ clustering algorithm $R = 1.0$ reconstructed fat jet
- Subjets: $R = 0.2$, $f_{cut} = 0.05$
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Tagging a W/Z jet

- $D_2$ variable uses energy and pair-wise angles of particles within a jet
- Cut on jet $p_T$
- $n_{Trk}$ variable enhances quark like content
Jet Selection Conditions

- Kinematic requirements
  - $|y| < 2.0$ ($y$ is rapidity)
  - Leading jet $p_T > 600$ GeV
  - both jets have $m_j > 50$ GeV

- Identified lepton events are vetoed

- Selected jet must pass the $D_2$ selection independently

- Each $W/Z$ signal mass distribution is modelled by a double Gaussian
Track Calorimeter Cluster (TCC) jets

- A type of particle flow object
- Combines energy measurement from the calorimeter with the excellent spatial resolution provided by the track segments from the inner detector
Track Calorimeter Cluster (TCC) jets

- A type of particle flow object
- Combines energy measurement from the calorimeter with the excellent spatial resolution provided by the track segments from the inner detector

Local Calibrated Topological Cluster (LCTopo) jets

- Clusters of calorimeter cells after local cluster weighting
- Relies on the granularity of the calorimeter
Jet Mass Distributions

[Graphs showing jet mass distributions for TCC and LCTopo jets, with data from ATLAS and theoretical predictions for different jet masses and likelihoods.]
MC Template fit for $W/Z+\text{jets}$
QCD Background Modelling

- Background fit function:

\[ y = a_0 e^{a_1 M + a_2 M^2 + a_3 M^3 + a_4 M^4} \]

where \( M = m_j - 100 \text{ GeV} \)

- Function is fitted to QCD jet simulation first, then fit parameters are fixed and only scale allowed to float when fitting on data
Closure Test

- Scale Factor $= S_{Tag}$
- A SF equal to 1 is “injected” into fake data
- The analysis is redone to see if the known value is retrieved
- TCC jets appear to perform slightly better than LCTopo jets
2015-2017 Results

The measured SF for $V+$jets tagging:

- TCC jets: $S_{Tag} = 0.86 \pm 0.08$
- LCTopo jets: $S_{Tag} = 1.11 \pm 0.11$
2015-2018 Results

The measured SF for $V+$jets tagging:

- TCC jets: $S_{Tag} = 0.90 \pm 0.05$
Conclusion

- Application of some of the newest advances in particle identification with jet substructure, TCC and LCTopo jets
- ATLAS work in progress results have been shown for data 2015-2018 at 13 TeV
- Scale factors measured and observed good closure, this will be provided to physics analyses across ATLAS
Thank You

Special thanks to Professor Francois Corriveau, Chris Malena Delitzsch, Bill Murray, and Roland Jansky
Backup
Anti-Kt algorithm

The following distance measures are used:

- The distance between particles
  \[ d_{ij} = \min(k_{ti}^2, k_{tj}^2) \frac{\Delta_{ij}^2}{R^2} \]
  - \( \Delta_{ij}^2 = (y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2 \)
  - \( k_{ti} = \) transverse momentum
  - \( y_i = \) rapidity of particle \( i \)
  - \( \phi_i = \) azimuth of particle \( i \)

- The distance between a particle and the beam
  \[ d_{iB} = k_{ti}^2 \]

- Clustering takes place by finding the smallest distance. If it is \( d_{ij} \)
  particles \( i \) and \( j \) and clustered together and process repeats. Else if it is \( d_{iB} \), \( i \) is declared a jet and removed from the list of particle entries.
D2 jet substructure variable

Correlation functions are based on the energies and pair-wise angles of particles within a jet, with \((N+1)\)-point correlators sensitive to \(N\)-prong substructure. The 1-point, 2-point and 3-point energy correlation functions are given by:

- \(E_{CF0}(\beta) = 1\)
- \(E_{CF1}(\beta) = \sum_{i \in J} p_T\)
- \(E_{CF2}(\beta) = \sum_{i < j \in J} p_T i p_T j (\Delta R_{ij})^\beta\)
- \(E_{CF3}(\beta) = \sum_{i < j < k \in J} p_T i p_T j p_T k (\Delta R_{ij} \Delta R_{ik} \Delta R_{jk})^\beta\)

Where \(\beta\) is used to give weight to the angular separation of the jet constituents. We have taken \(\beta = 1\).
V+jets Method: Fit functions & parameters

Functions modeling signal and background; parameters fixed from fit on MC only

\[ y = a_0 e^{b_0} \]

(1) Parameters fit on MC dijet background, then fixed.

(2) MC signal modelled by double Gaussian plus background function. Only scale is allowed to vary in background function.

(3) W/Z parameters obtained from fit on MC signal, and then fixed.

(4) Finally, when fitting on data, fitting function is double Gaussian plus background function, where only scale is allowed to vary.
Closure Test

MC fake data is scaled by a range of values. Then the SF procedure is done on the fake data and the extracted SF is compared to known value.