Is charge disorder alone sufficient to localize the Hubbard model?

#### Rachel Wortis & Brandon Leipner-Johns

#### arXiv:1903.01049











#### What does disorder do to strongly correlated systems?



What does disorder do to strongly correlated systems? What do interactions do to disordered systems?



disorder strength

increasing

### Anderson localization



#### Billy, et al, Nature **453** 891 (2008)

# Exponentially localized single-particle wavefunctions

# Non-ergodic, memory of initial conditions

## Many-body localization



Non-ergodic, memory of initial conditions

Exponentially localized conserved quantities: local integrals of motion (LIOM)

Choi et al, Science **352** 1547 (2016)

#### Standard model of many-body localization



Hamiltonian in terms of local integrals of motion

$$H = \sum_{i} h_i \tau_i^z + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \tau_i^z \tau_j^z + \dots$$

Huse & Oganesyan PRB 90, 174202 (2014)

#### Hubbard model

$$H = -t_h \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle,\sigma} \left( c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} \right) + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$





Kondov PRL 2015

Hubbard model with charge and spin disorder

$$\begin{split} H &= -t_h \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} \left( c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} \right) + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \\ &+ \sum_i \epsilon_i d_i + \sum_i h_i m_i \\ &\text{charge density} \\ d_i &= n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow} \end{split} \begin{array}{c} \text{magnetization} \\ m_i &= n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow} \\ \epsilon_i &\in (-W_{ch}, W_{ch}) \\ \text{charge disorder} \end{aligned}$$

#### Questions

Is disorder in just one of these channels sufficient to cause the full system to be localized?

Alternatively, does the degree of freedom without disorder delocalize the one which sees disorder?

Generally, to what extent is the level of localization in one channel related to the disorder in the other channel?

# To what extent is the level of localization in one channel related to the disorder in the other channel?

Mondaini PRA 2015, Prelovsek PRB 2016, Mierzejewski PRB 2018, Kozarzewski PRL 2018, Zakrzewski PRB 2018, Yu 1803.02838, Protopopov 1808.05764

Almost all focus on disorder in just one channel. Charge and spin-specific info just from dynamics.

Rest of talk: constructing charge/spin specific LIOM -> measures of localization -> results

Message: Disorder in one channel influences localization in the other.

## Charge/spin-specific local integrals of motion

integrals of motion

$$Q^{\dagger}HQ = D$$

O diagonal in Fock basis  $\rightarrow$  $QOQ^{\dagger}$  diagonal in energy basis

#### local

O local, e.g.  $n_{i\sigma}$ Q acts locally: choose Q close to the identity

charge and spin

$$\mathfrak{d}_i = Q \tilde{d}_i Q^\dagger \qquad \mathfrak{m}_i = Q \tilde{m}_i Q^\dagger$$

#### Measures of localization

single-site overlap

$$O_i^c = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{d}_i \mathfrak{d}_i)$$

$$O_i^s = \frac{1}{N}$$

overlap vs distance

$$O_i^c(\ell) = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{d}_{i\pm\ell}\mathfrak{d}_i)$$

 $O_i^s = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{m}_i \mathfrak{m}_i)$ 

$$O_i^s(\ell) = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{m}_{i \pm \ell} \mathfrak{m}_i)$$

local correlations

$$D(t) = D_0 \sum_i \langle \psi | (d_i(t) - \bar{d}) (d_i(0) - \bar{d}) | \psi \rangle$$
$$M(t) = M_0 \sum_i \langle \psi | m_i(t) m_i(0) | \psi \rangle$$



Zero spin disorder: No localization of spin Very weak spin disorder: Localization of spin is almost as strong as that of charge

#### Symmetry between charge and spin



Can derive by particle-hole transformation in spin-down only:  $U = \prod (c_{i\downarrow} + c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger})$ 

l

#### Comparing overlap and dynamical measures



Message: These show the same behavior

#### Time scales in the dynamics



Message: Localization appears in the spin dynamics at time  $t \propto 1/W_{sp}$  Studied the Hubbard model with disorder in both charge and spin.

Constructed optimally local integrals of motion specific to charge/spin.

Localization in one sector depends on disorder in the other sector.

Symmetry between charge and spin response.

Time scale at which localization emerges in the less disordered channel is proportional to one over the disorder in that channel.