

Compton Scattering and the Nucleon Polarizabilities

Precision Hadron Structure at MAMI

David Hornidge

Mount Allison University
Sackville, NB
CANADA

*CAP Congress
Simon Fraser University
05 June 2019*



Outline

- Hadron Polarizability Motivation
- Proton - scalar and spin pols
- Neutron - scalar pols
- Summary and Outlook

Non-Perturbative QCD

- Regime where coupling is too strong and perturbative QCD (pQCD) is not appropriate.
- Very important for a thorough understanding of QCD.
- An understanding of the transition region from non-pQCD (confinement) to pQCD (asymptotic freedom) is integral to the overall understanding of QCD.


How do we test QCD in the non-perturbative regime?

High-precision measurements of polarization observables.

- **Hadron Polarizabilities:**
 - Fundamental structure constants
 - Response of internal structure to external fields
 - Fertile meeting ground between theory and experiment
 - Best accessed via **Compton scattering**, both real and virtual
- **Theoretical Approaches:**
 - Dispersion Relations
 - Chiral Perturbation Theory
 - Lattice QCD

Scalar Polarizabilities - Conceptual

Electric Dipole Polarizability




- ▶ Apply an electric field to a composite system
- ▶ Separation of Charge, or **“Stretchability”**
- ▶ Proportionality constant between electric dipole moment and electric field is the electric dipole polarizability, α_{E1} .

Provides information on force holding system together.

Scalar Polarizabilities - Conceptual

Magnetic Dipole Polarizability



- ▶ Apply a magnetic field to a composite system
- ▶ Alignment of dipoles or **“Alignability”**
- ▶ Proportionality constant between magnetic dipole moment and magnetic field is the magnetic dipole polarizability, β_{M1} .
- ▶ Two contributions, paramagnetic and diamagnetic, and they cancel partially, giving $\beta_{M1} < \alpha_{E1}$.

Provides information on force holding system together.

How about subatomic particles?


- We obviously can't just put a proton between the plates of a capacitor or the poles of a magnet and measure its deformation. What to do?
- The answer of course is **Compton scattering!**
- What kind of fields can we get from from a high-energy photon?
- Naively, for a **100-MeV** photon:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{V}{d} \\ &\approx \frac{100 \text{ MV}}{10^{-15} \text{ m}} \\ &\approx 10^{23} \text{ V/m} \end{aligned}$$

A HUGE field!

Real Compton Scattering from the Nucleon

- The outgoing photon *plays the role of the applied EM field*.
- Nucleon Response.
- POLARIZABILITIES!
- Global response to the internal degrees of freedom.



Compton Scattering - Hamiltonian

Expand the Hamiltonian in incident-photon energy.

0th order \longrightarrow charge, mass

1st order \longrightarrow magnetic moment

2nd order \longrightarrow **scalar polarizabilities:**

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} = -4\pi \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{E1} \vec{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{M1} \vec{H}^2 \right]$$

3rd order \longrightarrow **spin (or vector) polarizabilities:**

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{(3)} = -4\pi \left[\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{E1E1} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{E} \times \dot{\vec{E}}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{M1M1} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{H} \times \dot{\vec{H}}) \right. \\ \left. - \gamma_{M1E2} E_{ij} \sigma_i H_j + \gamma_{E1M2} H_{ij} \sigma_i E_j \right]$$

Low-Energy Expansion - LEX


How do you extract polarizabilities from Compton scattering data?

Ideally, you use low energies and measure very precise cross sections and asymmetries.

LEX:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\nu, \theta) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{\text{Born}}(\nu, \theta) - \nu\nu' \left(\frac{\nu'}{\nu}\right) \frac{e^2}{2m} [(\alpha_{E1} + \beta_{M1})(1 + z^2)(\alpha_{E1} - \beta_{M1})(1 - z^2)]$$


with $z = \cos \theta$



Measure low energies and precise cross sections/asymmetries!


Comparison of DRs with EFTs

Fit to UNPOLARIZED cross section \rightarrow sensitivity to $\alpha_{E1} - \beta_{M1}$ and $\alpha_{E1} + \beta_{M1}$



- Particle Data Group (PDG) [analysis based on DRs]
- Heavy Baryon ChPT [Beane, Malheiro, McGovern, Phillips, van Kolck, NPA747 (2005)]
- Baryon ChPT with Δ [Lensky and Pascalutsa, EPJC65 (2010)]
- Partially Covariant Baryon ChPT with Δ [McGovern, Phillips, Griesshammer, EPJA49 (2013)]

Proton and Neutron



MPG, EPJA49
12 (2013)

Nucleon Scalar Polarizabilities

Take aways:

- Still lots of work to do.
- Especially for the neutron.
- EFTs give consistently higher values than DRs for β_{M1}

Use polarization observables below pion threshold

Linearly Polarized Beam

Different dxs combinations are dependent only on α_{E1} or β_{M1} :

$$\frac{d\sigma^\perp - d\sigma^\parallel}{d\Omega} = f_1(\text{Born}) - \frac{e^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\nu'}{\nu}\right)^2 \nu\nu' \alpha_{E1} (1 - z^2) + O(\nu^3)$$
$$\frac{z^2 d\sigma^\perp - d\sigma^\parallel}{d\Omega} = f_2(\text{Born}) - \frac{e^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\nu'}{\nu}\right)^2 \nu\nu' \beta_{M1} z(z^2 - 1) + O(\nu^3)$$

Recent work by Krupina and Pascalutsa [PRL **110**, 262001 (2013)]

At low energies \Rightarrow use beam asymmetry Σ_3 to extract β_{M1} :

$$\Sigma_3 \equiv \frac{d\sigma^\perp - d\sigma^\parallel}{d\sigma^\perp + d\sigma^\parallel}$$
$$= \Sigma_3^{\text{NB}} - f_3(\theta) \beta_{M1} \nu^2 + O(\nu^4).$$

Spin Polarizabilities of the Proton

- Nucleon has four vector or spin polarizabilities:

$$\gamma_{E1E1}$$

$$\gamma_{M1M1}$$

$$\gamma_{M1E2}$$

$$\gamma_{E1M1}$$

- Similar to the scalar polarizabilities but higher in order.
- Intimately connected to the nucleon's spin structure. **Fundamental Structure Constants!**
- Higher order in incident-photon energy, so they have a smaller effect at lower energies.
- Need theoretical help in extracting values from data.

Spin Polarizabilities - Pre-2015 Status

	K-mat.	HDPV	DPV	L_χ	HB χ PT	B χ PT
γ_{E1E1}	-4.8	-4.3	-3.8	-3.7	-1.1 ± 1.8 (th)	-3.3
γ_{M1M1}	3.5	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.2 ± 0.5 (st) ± 0.7 (th)	3.0
γ_{E1M2}	-1.8	-0.02	0.5	1.2	-0.4 ± 0.4 (th)	0.2
γ_{M1E2}	1.1	2.2	1.6	1.2	1.9 ± 0.4 (th)	1.1
γ_0	2.0	-0.8	-1.1	-1.2	-2.6	-1.0
γ_π	11.2	9.4	7.8	6.1	5.6	7.2

- Spin polarizabilities in units of 10^{-4} fm^4
- K-matrix: calculation from Kondratyuk et al., PRC **64**, 024005 (2001)
- HDPV, DPV: dispersion relation calculations, Holstein et al., PRC **61**, 034316 (2000) and Pasquini et al., PRC **76**, 015203 (2007), Drechsel et al., PR **378**, 99 (2003)
- L_χ : chiral lagrangian calculation, Gasparyan et al., NPA **866**, 79 (2011)
- HB χ PT and B χ PT are heavy baryon and covariant, respectively, ChPT calculations, McGovern et al., EPJA **49**, 12 (2013), Lensky et al., PRC **89**, 032202 (2014)

How to measure the spin pols?

- Use **Compton scattering**.
- Requires polarization degrees of freedom.
- Small effect at low energies, so we need higher energies, into the Δ region.
- We have chosen three asymmetries, Σ_{2x} , Σ_{2z} , and Σ_3 , that we can use to help obtain the spin polarizabilities of the proton.

Spin Polarizability Extraction

Use γ_0 , γ_π , α_{E1} , and β_{M1} along with the three asymmetries.

The various asymmetries respond differently to the individual spin polarizabilities at different energies and angles.

We will conduct an **in-depth global analysis**, and should be able to extract **all four spin polarizabilities independently** with small statistical, systematic, and model-dependent errors!

Institut für Kernphysik


On the Johannes
Gutenberg University
Campus. Student
population of c. 35k.

7 collaborations: two
beam-related (B1, B2),
four experimental (A1,
A2, A4, XI) and Theory.

Approximately 200 staff
members.




Plan view of the MAMI Accelerator







MAMI - Schematic

- Maximum energy 1604 MeV.
- 100% duty cycle (CW).
- Current up to 100 μA .
- Electron polarization 85%.



Real Photons - Glasgow Photon Tagger



- Detects post-bremsstrahlung electron, $E_{\gamma} = E_e - E_{e'}$
- 352 channels.
- $\approx 5-95\%$ of spectrum tagged.
- Energy resolution 1-4 MeV
- Tagger microscope
- Circularly polarized photons
- Linearly polarized photons
- Timing coincidence between detected electron and reaction product in detectors.


Reaction Targets

- LH_2/LD_2 : unpolarized protons/deuterons
- Liquid $^4\text{He}/^3\text{He}$
- Frozen Spin: polarized protons/deuterons
- Solid Targets: C, Pb, and many more...
- Gas target: polarized ^3He
- Active Polarized Proton target
- High-Pressure Active Helium target

CB-TAPS @ MAMI Detector System

Crystal Ball

PID & tracking
detectors



Total # of Signals:

- 672 NaI(Tl) in CB
- 24 plastics in PID
- 320 strips in MWPC
- 480 wires in MWPC
- 384 BaF₂ in TAPS
- 384 plastics in TAPS veto
(352 in Tagger)
-
-

Gives:

- Energy
- Time
- Position
- Particle Type

Experimental Status

Important part of CRC1044.

Experiment	Status
Σ_{2x}	February 2011 ✓
Σ_3	December 2012 ✓
Σ_{2z}	2014/2015 ✓
α_{E1}, β_{M1}	June 2013, 2017/2018 ✓

NOTE: Complementary measurements planned for HIGS!

High-flux, monoenergetic beam, with $\approx 100\%$ polarization.

Pilot Experiment to Measure Proton α_{E1}, β_{M1}


Work of E. Mornacchi and V. Sokhoyan

Low-energy Compton scattering, $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \gamma p$.


Linearly polarized beam, (unpolarized) liquid hydrogen target.

High-statistics cross sections, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, and beam asymmetry, Σ_3 .

Most important data are below pion threshold.



α_{E1}, β_{M1} Results - Asymmetries



Data are at three energies: 76 – 98 MeV, 98 – 119 MeV, and 119 – 139 MeV.

Systematic errors in red.

Curves:


- Born contribution
- BChPT: Krupina and Pascalutsa, PRL **110**, 262001 (2013)
- HBChPT: McGovern et al., EPJA **49**, 12 (2013)

New A2 Measurement of $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \gamma p$


- **Ph.D. work of Edoardo Mornacchi.**
- Data taken in 2017/2018. Similar set up to pilot measurement.
- LH₂ target, CB-TAPS setup, coherent Bremsstrahlung photon beam
- **Upgraded tagger, improved systematic errors:**
 - higher γ -flux with better flux monitoring
 - improved linpol peak stability
 - improved background subtraction
- 1.2×10^6 events, an improvement of $\times 6$ compared to the pilot measurement.
- Approximately $\times 10$ the statistics of the previous world best measurement with TAPS (also A2!) [OdL et al., EPJA **10** 207 (2001)], which makes up of about 50% of the existing world data.

New A2 Measurement of $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \gamma p$

$$E_\gamma = 120 - 140 \text{ MeV}$$




Preliminary



Mornacchi Ph.D.

New A2 Measurement of $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \gamma p$



Mornacchi Ph.D.

α_{E1}, β_{M1} Outlook



- Finish data analysis
- Use a simultaneous fit to unpolarized cross sections AND asymmetries to achieve precision on β_{M1} comparable to that of the current PDG value!

$\Sigma_{2x} / \Sigma_{2z}$ Asymmetries - Experimental Challenges

- A source of polarized protons is not easy to come by (nor to operate).
- Small Compton scattering cross sections
- Large background cross sections
 - π^0 photoproduction cross section is about *100 times* larger than that for Compton scattering
 - Coherent and incoherent reactions of C, O, and He
- Proton tracks are required to suppress backgrounds, but energy losses in the frozen-spin cryostat (and CB-TAPS) are considerable.

Results Σ_{2x} - Martel et al., PRL **114** 12501 (2015)

$E_\gamma = 273 - 303$ MeV




- First measurement of a double-polarized Compton scattering asymmetry on the nucleon.
- Curves are from the DR calculation of Pasquini et al.
- Data resulted in the first extraction of the proton's spin pols in the multipole basis:

γ_{E1E1}	-3.5 ± 1.2
γ_{M1M1}	3.16 ± 0.85
γ_{E1M2}	-0.7 ± 1.2
γ_{M1E2}	1.99 ± 0.29


Σ_3 Results

PhD work of C. Collicott

$E_\gamma = 267 - 282$ MeV



$E_\gamma = 286 - 307$ MeV



- Recent data (MAMI) and older data (LEGS) are shown along with Dispersion Relation (HDPV) and ChPT ($B_\chi\text{PT}$) predictions.
- Fits have been done.

Σ_{2z} Results

- PhD work of both D. Paudyal (Regina) and A. Rajabi (UMass).
- Data have been taken and analysis is done.
- There were some background issues, but we are more or less ready to publish.
- Do global fit, extract spin polarizabilities.

The “Other” Nucleon - The Neutron

The situation is considerably worse for the neutron:

- No free-neutron target
- Neutron is uncharged
- Small data set

Techniques:

- Low-energy neutron scattering
- Elastic Compton scattering from deuterium
- QF Compton scattering from deuterium
- Compton scattering from heavier nuclei

Nuclear Effects are NOT negligible!

Elastic Compton Scattering from ^3He

Relatively new idea for extraction of scalar polarizabilities for the neutron.

Shukla, Nogga, and Phillips, NPA **819**, 98 (2009).

Theory is promising, but still needs some work to extend it to higher energies. . .

[Proposal A2-01-2013](#) using a high-pressure active helium target (both ^3He and ^4He).

Given a rating of A by the PAC!

Will hopefully run in the next year.





The New Active Target

Active Target



J.R.M. Annand

11th Feb. 2016

Dimensions mm



8 rings of SiPMT, each ring consisting of 8 groups of 4 6x6mm tiles.
 Total number of SiPMT $8 \times 8 \times 4 = 256$.
 Readout in groups of 16, each group connected to an op-amp.
 16 signal outputs
 2 bias-voltage inputs



6mm TSV-packaged J-Series sensor
 6 x 6mm J-Series SiPMT

- Al pressure vessel, no welds
- Reuse Be outer windows from original Active Target
- PTFE sheet covers printed circuit board, windows cut for SiPMT

Outlook

- 1 Publish high-energy Σ_3 results.
- 2 Publish Σ_{2z} results.
- 3 Complete global fit and extraction of the proton spin polarizabilities.
- 4 Finish analysis for α_{E1}, β_{M1} .
- 5 Complementary measurements at HIGS on the proton, deuteron, and helium.
- 6 An active polarized target is being developed, and we plan to use it for improved measurements of the asymmetries.
- 7 An active, high-pressure helium target for approved neutron polarizability (and threshold pion) experiments at MAMI.

Summary

- ① Important tool for *testing* QCD via ChPT & DRs in the non-perturbative regime.
- ② Both theory and experiment are very active at the moment.
- ③ We can expect lots of new results in the near future.

Special thanks to Edoardo Mornacchi and Vahe Sokhoyan for slides and input.