



SRM-less operation

Petr Vokáč

DPM Workshop 2019

14th June 2019



EUROPEAN UNION
European Structural and Investment Funds
Operational Programme Research,
Development and Education



MINISTRY OF EDUCATION,
YOUTH AND SPORTS

DPM Upgrade

Not finished with SRM
(SRM is part of legacy stack)

SRM-less operation

- New storage implementation doesn't implement SRM
 - some VO never used / relied on SRM
 - VO must adapt infrastructure
 - not difficult for (gfal) transfers
 - SRM is used together with GridFTP
 - can be replaced with pure gsiftp location
 - requires GridFTP redirection enabled on DPM
 - SRM used to provide additional information
 - storage space reporting → WLCG [SSR](#) (dpm-storage-summary.py)
 - list of available spacetokens → WLCG [SSR](#)
 - data for BDII (dpm-listspaces) → dome-info-provider.py
 - still not sufficient info for VO (e.g. consistency checks) → dpm-dump
- SRM is still necessary for tapes
 - CTA frontend provides access via xrootd
 - migration will take a time → can't completely get rid of SRM now

ATLAS

- Already using non-SRM endpoints
 - ECHO – never had SRM
 - EOS ATLAS – replaced TPC in 2018, SRM removed in 2019
 - SLACXRD, NERSC, ...
- SRM configured mostly for TPC
- Rucio configured through AGIS (CRIC predecessor)
 - protocol configuration (e.g. [example](#))
 - SE storage summary configuration (e.g. [example](#))
 - changes made in AGIS picked by Rucio daemons within an hour
 - running configuration available via [Rucio-UI](#) or CLI
 - `rucio-admin rse info PRAGUELCG2_DATADISK`
 - srm:// and gsiftp:// – same TPC group within Rucio
- Same applies to other Rucio users (CMS, DUNE, ...)
 - except configuration interface can be different

ATLAS – AGIS – protocol config

ATLAS Grid Information System

RC Site ATLASite DDMEndpoint PANDA Queue Service Central Services DDM Groups Ser

Service

Name: [praguelcg2_SE_0](#) Site.state: **ACTIVE** State: **ACTIVE**
Type: SE Last modified: 2017-12-05 14:06 State updated: *Not set*
Site: [praguelcg2](#) Implementation: State comment: Migrated from old SE golias100.farm.particle.cz
is_new: True Description: golias100.farm.particle.cz

Associated Protocols

Name	Flavour	Endpoint	Basepath	State	Status	Activities
praguelcg2-SE-WEBDAV-golias100.farm.particle.cz	WEBDAV	davs://golias100.farm.particle.cz:443		ACTIVE	production	delete_wan/0, read_wan/3, third_party_copy/3, write_wan/3
praguelcg2-SE-GRIDFTP-golias100.farm.particle.cz	GRIDFTP	gsiftp://golias100.farm.particle.cz:2811		ACTIVE	production	delete_wan/1, read_wan/0, third_party_copy/0, write_wan/0
praguelcg2-SE-XROOTD-golias100.farm.particle.cz	XROOTD	root://golias100.farm.particle.cz:1094	/	ACTIVE	production	read_lan/0, read_wan/2, third_party_copy/2, write_lan/0, write_wan/2
praguelcg2-SE-SRM-golias100.farm.particle.cz	SRM	srm://golias100.farm.particle.cz:8446 /srm/managerv2?SFN=		ACTIVE	production	delete_wan/2, read_lan/1, read_wan/1, third_party_copy/1, write_wan/1

ATLAS

- Already using non-SRM endpoints
 - ECHO – never had SRM
 - EOS ATLAS – replaced TPC in 2018, SRM removed in 2019
 - SLACXRD, NERSC, ...
- SRM configured mostly for TPC
- Rucio configured through AGIS (CRIC predecessor)
 - protocol configuration (e.g. [example](#))
 - SE storage summary configuration (e.g. [example](#))
 - changes made in AGIS picked by Rucio daemons within an hour
 - running configuration available via [Rucio-UI](#) or CLI
 - `rucio-admin rse info PRAGUELCG2_DATADISK`
 - srm:// and gsiftp:// – same TPC group within Rucio
- Same applies to other Rucio users (CMS, DUNE, ...)
 - except configuration interface can be different

ATLAS – AGIS – storage config

ATLAS Grid Information System

RC Site ATLASite DDMEndpoint PANDA Queue Service Central Services DDM Gro

DDM endpoint info

Operations:



Clone object [new RSE form]



Name:	PRAGUELCG2_DATADISK
Type:	DATADISK
Token:	ATLASDATADISK
Phys Group:	
Is Rucio enabled:	No
Domain:	(?=. *golias100.farm.particle.cz.*atlasdatadisk/. *) (?=^(?!.*atlasdatadisk/ruciotest).*\$)
mkdir:	No
Is cache:	No
Is Deterministic:	Yes
Is Volatile:	No
Space method:	storage
Space Usage:	davs://golias100.farm.particle.cz/dpm/farm.particle.cz/home/SSR/storagesummary.json
Tape:	No
Pledged:	No

ATLAS

- Already using non-SRM endpoints
 - ECHO – never had SRM
 - EOS ATLAS – replaced TPC in 2018, SRM removed in 2019
 - SLACXRD, NERSC, ...
- SRM configured mostly for TPC
- Rucio configured through AGIS (CRIC predecessor)
 - protocol configuration (e.g. [example](#))
 - SE storage summary configuration (e.g. [example](#))
 - changes made in AGIS picked by Rucio daemons within an hour
 - running configuration available via [Rucio-UI](#) or CLI
 - `rucio-admin rse info PRAGUELCG2_DATADISK`
 - srm:// and gsiftp:// – same TPC group within Rucio
- Same applies to other Rucio users (CMS, DUNE, ...)
 - except configuration interface can be different

ATLAS – Rucio config

```
Protocols:
=====
davs
  extended_attributes: None
  hostname: goliass100.farm.particle.cz
  prefix: /dpm/farm.particle.cz/home/atlas/atlasdatadisk/rucio/
  domains: {u'wan': {u'read': 4, u'write': 4, u'third_party_copy': 4, u'delete': 1}, u'lan': {u'read': 0,
u'write': 0, u'delete': 1}}
  scheme: davs
  port: 443
  impl: rucio.rse.protocols.gfal.Default

gsiftp
  extended_attributes: None
  hostname: goliass100.farm.particle.cz
  prefix: /dpm/farm.particle.cz/home/atlas/atlasdatadisk/rucio/
  domains: {u'wan': {u'read': 1, u'write': 1, u'third_party_copy': 1, u'delete': 2}, u'lan': {u'read': 0,
u'write': 0, u'delete': 2}}
  scheme: gsiftp
  port: 2811
  impl: rucio.rse.protocols.gfal.Default

root
  extended_attributes: None
  hostname: goliass100.farm.particle.cz
  prefix: //dpm/farm.particle.cz/home/atlas/atlasdatadisk/rucio/
  domains: {u'wan': {u'read': 3, u'write': 3, u'third_party_copy': 3, u'delete': 0}, u'lan': {u'read': 1,
u'write': 1, u'delete': 0}}
  scheme: root
  port: 1094
  impl: rucio.rse.protocols.gfal.Default

srm
  extended_attributes: {u'space_token': u'ATLASDATADISK', u'web_service_path': u'/srm/managerv2?SFN='}
  hostname: goliass100.farm.particle.cz
  prefix: /dpm/farm.particle.cz/home/atlas/atlasdatadisk/rucio/
  domains: {u'wan': {u'read': 2, u'write': 2, u'third_party_copy': 2, u'delete': 3}, u'lan': {u'read': 2,
u'write': 0, u'delete': 3}}
  scheme: srm
  port: 8446
  impl: rucio.rse.protocols.gfal.Default
```

Other VO

- Auger
 - all new data managed with DIRAC
 - older files registered in LFC
 - SRM path
 - no migration plan from LFC to DIRAC catalog
 - data gets rarely used → will be dropped in future
 - too much work with migration and questionable results (rely on full path)
- CTA
 - use DIRAC including their DFC catalog
 - protocol independent catalog
 - configurable
 - doesn't support multiple protocols per activity
- DIRAC – much greater variability
 - integration with external catalogs

BACKUP