



MD4506: APERTURE MEASUREMENETS WITH AC DIPOLE

N. Fuster-Martínez, M. Hofer, E. Maclean, D. Mirarchi, R. Bruce, R. Tomas, S. Redaelli On behalf of the collimation and OP team.



Motivation



- A new global aperture measurement method based on using the AC dipole beam excitations plus a collimator scan was tested for the first time in 2017 in MD2396 on B1 at injection.
 - New method could be combined with optics measurements providing the largest AC dipole kick amplitude.
- Compatible results were obtained between methods in the MD2396 for B1H at injection.

Bottleneck at injection for B1H	AC dipole	ADT blow- up
Q6R2	12.9±0.8	12.7±0.8
Q4L6	12.7±0.8	12.6±0.8

- **The method was** tested at **top energy** during optics MD in 2017.
- ✓ For B2H methods disagree by 1σ .

Date	B1Η [σ]	B1V [σ]	B2Η [σ]	B2V [σ]
AC dipole Dec. 2017	Q3R5	Q2R5 and Q3L1	Q3R5 and Q3L1	Q3R1
30 cm 150 urad	10.8-11.3	10.5-11	11.8-12.3	10-10.5
ADT TS2 2017	Q3R5 and Q3L1		Q3R5	Q3R1
30 cm 150 urad	10.6-11.1	>10.5	10.9-11.4	10.5-11

□ In the **2018 commissioning** the aperture for B2H was measured with the AC dipole method.

✓ Same level of discrepancy was observed.





MD merit:

- ✓ Investigate if the observed discrepancy in physics at FT energy occurs also at injection.
- ✓ Investigate the effect of the AC dipole tune, vertical settings and chromaticity on the measurements.

□4h MD.
□Injection optics.
□B2H.
□TCTPH.IP5 fixed to 10*σ*□Aperture scanned with TCP.



Measurements performed:

- □ Aperture measurements with the AC dipole method.
 - Different AC dipole delta tunes to test the β-βeating introduced by the AC dipole oscillations.
 - Different vertical working point and AC dipole vertical kick amplitude.
 - Different chromaticity.
- □ Aperture measurements with the ADT method for benchmarking.
- □ TCP and the TCT alignment for each scan because of the orbit drift due to ALICE solenoid ramp down.



MD results: AC dipole tune effect





 \Box $\triangle a \sim 0.4\sigma$ observed between positive and delta tune.

Could be explained by the β-βeating introduced by the AC dipole in agreement with MADX predictions. LSWG December 2018



MD results: ADT blow-up method for benchmarking







B2H	AC dipole
$\Delta^{n-ac}q_x = +0.012$	9.5
$\Delta^{n-ac}q_x = -0.012$	9.9
ADT blow-up method	9.7
TCTPH half gap	10

- □ ADT-blow up method aperture measurement in the same fill.
- Good agreement between the methods.
- **Ω** 200 µm beam drift observed between the start and end if this fill (~0.2 σ).





□ No impact on the measurements.

CÉRN

18

16

14

12

6

Δ

2

0 00:0⁸

10 g^x [α^x]

10¹

10⁰

10⁰ [10⁻²Gy/s]

10-2

B





Good agreement is found between the AC dipole measurements and the ADT blowup standard method for all the cases studied **at injection**.

At injection the methods are equivalent for **B1 and B2**.

There is not a big effect from tune and the chromaticity.

- □ Results are in agreement with the MADX predictions.
- □ This does not explain the observed discrepancies at FT.
- □ Observed discrepancy at FT will require further investigation.