Type-I 2HDM under Higgs and Electroweak Precision Measurement

Shuailong Li U. of Arizona

Pheno-2019 7/May/2019 N. Cheng, T. Han, S. Su, W. Su, Y. Wu, S. Li, work in progress N. Cheng, T. Han, S. Su, W. Su, Y. Wu, 1808.02037



- Convention: $\beta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}), \sin(\beta \alpha) \ge 0$
- Alignment limit: $\cos(\beta \alpha) = 0$

• Tree-level coupling depends on $\tan\beta$, $\cos(\beta - \alpha)$ solely

2HDM: Yukawa Couplings



Normalized Higgs Couplings:

$$\kappa_{\Phi}^{f} = \propto \frac{\cos\langle \Phi, \Phi_{f} \rangle}{v_{i}} \quad \begin{array}{l} i = 1, 2; \quad f = u, d, \ell \\ \Phi = h, H, A \end{array}$$

$$\kappa_h^f = \sin(\beta - \alpha) + \cos(\beta - \alpha) \cot \beta$$

 $\kappa_h^V = \sin(\beta - \alpha)$

• At tree level, while type-II shows enhancement at both small and large $\tan \beta$, type-I only enhances at small $\tan \beta$.

Tri-higgs couplings play significant role in 2HDM



Strong enhancement at tree-level when tan β is small
 large tan β enhancement due to tri-higgs coupling at 1-loop level are two main factors that define the behavior of type-I 2HDM.

Fitting strategy

 σ_i 's are the projected precision of CEPC

Higss Precision Measurement

$$\chi^{2}_{\text{higgs}} = \sum_{i} \frac{(\mu^{\text{BSM}}_{i} - \mu^{\text{obs}}_{i})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}_{\mu_{i}}}$$
$$\mu^{\text{BSM}} = \frac{(\sigma \times \text{Br})_{\text{BSM}}}{(\sigma \times \text{Br})_{\text{SM}}}$$

EW Precision Measurement

$$\chi_{\rm EW}^2 = \sum_{ij} (X_i - \hat{X}_i) (\sigma^2)_{ij}^{-1} (X_j - \hat{X}_j) \qquad X_i = \{S, T, U\}, \ \ \sigma_{ij}^2 = \sigma_i \rho_{ij} \sigma_j$$

• Total

$$\chi^2 = \chi^2_{\rm higgs} + \chi^2_{\rm EW}$$

Type-I: mass degenerate $m_{\Phi} = m_H = m_A = m_{H^{\pm}}$ $\left(\Delta \kappa_Z \sim -\frac{m_{\Phi}^2 \tan^2 \beta}{96\pi^2 v^2} \cos^2(\beta - \alpha)\right)$ $m_{\Phi} = 800 \text{GeV}, \sqrt{\lambda v^2} = 300 \text{GeV}$ 50 **Theoretical Constraint** 30 20 Unitarity + perturbtivity + stability 10 5 Tree level + 1-loop 3 taneta2 1 Strong restriction due 0.5 to κ_h^f enhancement 0.3 0.2 at small $\, {{{\rm tan}}\, \beta}$ at tree level 0.1 tree level. -0.100 -0.075 -0.050 -0.0250.025 0.050 0.000 0.075 0.100 $\cos(\beta - \alpha)$ 6

Type-I: mass degenerate $m_{\Phi} = m_H = m_A = m_{H^{\pm}}$





 $\sqrt{\lambda v^2}$ dependence of theoretical constraint arXiv:1709.06103

Type-I: mass degenerate $m_{\Phi} = m_H = m_A = m_{H^{\pm}}$



- No large aneta dependence in alignment limit, so it's only bounded from below.
- Heavy higgs mass is bounded from above in non-alignment case.

Type-I: mass degenerate $m_{\Phi} = m_H = m_A = m_{H^{\pm}}$



- No large aneta dependence in alignment limit, so it's only bounded from below.
- Heavy higgs mass is bounded from above in non-alignment case.

 $\Delta m_A = m_A - m_H$ Type-I: alignment limit $\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0$ $\Delta m_C = m_C - m_H$



- Theoretical constraint forces $\lambda v^2 \approx 0$.
- A correlation between Δm_A and Δm_C manifests for $\tan \beta \gtrsim 1$.

10

Type-I: alignment limit $\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0$



• EW precision requires $m_{H^{\pm}} \approx m_H$ or $m_{H^{\pm}} \approx m_A$.

• Higgs precision measurement sets a bound on heavy higgs mass splitting, which is complementary to EW precision.

Comparison Among Different Colliders



Comparison Among Different Colliders



Summary

- At tree level, Type-I higgs coupling to fermions manifests strong enhancement at small $\tan\beta.$
- Tri-higgs coupling imposes a strong cosntraint at large $an \beta$.
- Due to tri-higgs coupling, heavy higgs mass is bounded from above in non-alignment case.
- Complementary to EW precision measurement, higgs precision measurement sets a bound on heavy higgs mass splitting.