



Funded by the
European Union
Grant Agr. 777431

Compact 



Compact 



WP5 – Overview Report

***Federico Nguyen – ENEA
On behalf of the WP5 Study Group***

1st XLS-CompactLight Annual Meeting – Barcelona, December 11th 2018



Particip.	P. M. Undulators	S.C. Undulators	Beam Dynamics (Opt. & Match.)	Exotic Undulators (RF, Laser, Plasma)	Magn. Measur. & Cost+Risk	Advanced FEL schemes (Multicolour, Polar.)
ENEA						
KYMA	R. Geometrante					
CERN						
USTR						
STFC						H.M.C. Cortes
KIT		A. Bernhard				
PSI					T. Schmidt	
ANSTO				D. Zhu		
Au-IAT			Z. Nergiz			
ALBA						

- Structure designed to have the available expertise covering the requested tasks: undulator technologies, beam interacting within the undulator sections, FEL properties and more advanced schemes
- Since last June in Trieste, two major efforts requested to our WP5:
 1. Provide parameter tables matching the proposed XLS FEL features – WP2
 2. Provide order of magnitude costs of the undulator system – WP7



<i>Undulator parameters</i>	
undulator period	1.3 cm
undulator gap	3 mm
deflection parameter (RMS)	1.17
<i>Bunch parameters</i>	
beam energy	9 GeV
pulse duration (FWHM)	7.5 fs
bunch charge	75 pC
peak current	9 kA
norm. emittance	0.12 mm × mrad
energy spread	0.01 %
<i>Potential reach</i>	
FEL wavelength ($\hbar\omega$)	0.05 nm (25 keV)
N_γ /pulse	2.5×10^{11}
E_{FEL} /pulse	1 mJ
saturation length	25 m

$$\epsilon [\text{mm} \times \text{mrad}] < \frac{\gamma \lambda_r}{4\pi}$$

$$\epsilon [\text{mm} \times \text{mrad}] \approx \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{Q [\text{nC}]}$$

Hard to reach for 1 mJ energy/
pulse with much lower charge or
much higher emittance

Hard to achieve much lower
emittance with such a charge

Bottom line: this is our choice, but
feel free to use other values at
your convenience and risk!



<i>Undulator parameters</i>	
undulator period	1.7 cm
undulator gap	3 mm
deflection parameter (RMS)	1.9
<i>Bunch parameters</i>	
beam energy	4 GeV
pulse duration (FWHM)	10 fs
bunch charge	20 pC
peak current	1.9 kA
norm. emittance	0.12 mm×mrad
energy spread	0.01 %
<i>Potential reach</i>	
FEL wavelength ($\hbar\omega$)	0.66 nm (1.9 keV)
N_γ /pulse	5.6×10^{11}
E_{FEL} /pulse	0.2 mJ
saturation length	21 m

Please, do not stick with these undulator small values: proper multicolour operations yet to prove!

Variable polarisation & Two Colours operations strongly challenge these parameters:

a dedicated WP5 effort run by H. M. Castaneda Cortes (see his talk), D. Dunning, is meant to study the feasibility of these operations in terms of both undulator configurations and beam lines



planar: hard x-ray

		out of vac	in-vac	CPMU
Performance: K (λ /gap)	15 / 4 mm			
	10 / 3 mm			
Design				
Fabrication by Lab				
Magnetarray				
Support				
Drive System / Controls				
Vacuum System				
Optimization				
Fabrication by Company				
Installation / Infrastructure				
Commissioning				
Operation / Maintenance	10 years			
price / m [€]				
total price [€] / saturation length [m]				

See T. Schmidt's talk for the version with filled entries plus considerations

EPU: soft x-ray

		APPLE II	DELTA	APPLE III	in vac
Performance: K (λ /gap)	40 / 7 mm				
	20 / 5 mm				
Design					
Fabrication by Lab					
Magnetarray					
Support					
Drive System / Controls					
Vacuum System					
Optimization					
Fabrication by Company					
Installation / Infrastructure					
Commissioning					
Operation / Maintenance	10 years				
price / m [€]					
total price [€] / saturation length [m]					

Bottom line: cost indications are given. Downselection of technologies based on cost is beyond the WP5 mandate: focus on physics solutions!



- A specific task for “exotic” novel concept undulators, eventually promising small size insertion devices, even if with low TRL (see talk from G. Dattoli)
- Big effort from A. Cross, L. Zhang (Strathclyde) & D. Zhu (ANSTO), see their contribution in Agenda
- The bunch features are **demanding** in terms of bunch current density and emittance, but definitely **rewarding**!

<i>Undulator parameters</i>	
undulator period	0.44 cm
deflection parameter (RMS)	0.36
<i>Bunch parameters</i>	
beam energy	6 GeV
peak current	5 kA
norm. emittance	0.08 mm × mrad
energy spread	0.01 %
<i>Potential reach</i>	
FEL wavelength ($\hbar\omega$)	0.018 nm (69 keV)
N_γ /pulse	1.3×10^{10}
E_{FEL} /pulse	5 mJ
saturation length	28 m



WP5 Activity Report and Discussion				
10:15	10:55	10'	Overview of WP5	Federico Nguyen (ENEA)
		10'	Undulators Cost Matrix	Thomas Schmidt (PSI)
		10'	PM Undulators	Jordi Marcos (ALBA-CELLS)
		10'	SC Undulators	Julian Gethmann (KIT)
Coffee Break & Meeting Photo				
11:25	12:00	10'	Microwave Undulators	Liang Zhang (USTR)
		10'	Undulators for soft X-rays schemes	Hector M. C. Cortes (STFC)
		10'	Study of wakefields in undulators	Avni Aksoy (UA-IAT)
		5'	Discussion	All

And then starting 2019 with a rush towards the Undulator Technology Survey deliverable document



List of deliverables

Deliverable Number ¹⁴	Deliverable Title	Lead beneficiary	Type ¹⁵	Dissemination level ¹⁶	Due Date (in months) ¹⁷
D5.1	Technologies for the CompactLight undulator	16 - ENEA	Report	Public	18
D5.2	Conceptual Design Report of the undulator	16 - ENEA	Report	Public	36

Description of deliverables

D5.1: A report comparing the different technologies for the undulator, as an input for WP2, (R, PU, M18).

D5.2: Design Report of the undulator to be included in the main deliverable of CompactLight, (R, PU, M36).

D5.1 : Technologies for the CompactLight undulator [18]

Review report comparing the different technologies for the CompactLight undulator.

D5.2 : Conceptual Design Report of the undulator [36]

Design Report of the undulator to be included in the main deliverable of CompactLight

Please, stay FEL-tuned

WP4	D4.2	D15	RF power unit	Design report	CERN	Report	Public	31 Dec 2020	Pending	
WP4	D4.3	D16	RF unit design and fabrication procedure	Report on RF	CERN	Report	Public	31 Dec 2020	Pending	
WP5	D5.1	D7	Technologies for the CompactLight undulator	Review report	ENEA	Report	Public	30 Jun 2019	Pending	
WP5	D5.2	D17	Conceptual Design Report of the undulator	Design Report	ENEA	Report	Public	31 Dec 2020	Pending	
WP6	D6.1	D8	Computer codes for the facility design	Review report	UA-IAT	Report	Public	30 Jun 2019	Pending	
WP6	D6.2	D18	Start to end facility simulations	Final report o	UA-IAT	Report	Public	31 Dec 2020	Pending	



XLS - WP5 - Undulators and light production

December 2018

05 Dec [8th WP5 Meeting](#) **NEW**

November 2018

08 Nov [7th WP5 Meeting](#)

September 2018

26 Sep [6th WP5 Meeting](#)

May 2018

30 May [5th WP5 Meeting](#)

April 2018

18 Apr [4th WP5 Meeting](#)

March 2018

14 Mar [3rd WP5 Meeting](#)

If you are interested or
wish to contribute,
please join!

wp5-xls@cern.ch

federico.nguyen@enea.it

<https://indico.cern.ch/category/9782/>



Funded by the
European Union
Grant Agr. 777431



Thank you!

CompactLight@elettra.eu

www.CompactLight.eu



CompactLight is funded by the European Union's Horizon2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No. 777431.





<i>Undulator parameters</i>	
undulator period	1.3 cm
undulator gap	3 mm
deflection parameter (RMS)	1.17
<i>Bunch parameters</i>	
beam energy	9 GeV
pulse duration (FWHM)	10 fs
bunch charge	75 pC
peak current	7 kA
norm. emittance	0.07 mm×mrad
energy spread	0.01 %
<i>Potential reach</i>	
FEL wavelength ($\hbar\omega$)	0.05 nm (25 keV)
N_γ /pulse	2.7×10^{11}
E_{FEL} /pulse	1.06 mJ
saturation length	23 m

So far, so good... but
while the ϵ_n fulfills
Kim-Pellegrini limit,

It is not consistent with
the ϵ_n scaling as a function
of the bunch charge

$$\epsilon[\text{mm} \times \text{mrad}] \approx \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{Q[\text{nC}]}$$



<i>Undulator parameters</i>	
undulator period	1.3 cm
undulator gap	3 mm
deflection parameter (RMS)	1.17
<i>Bunch parameters</i>	
beam energy	9 GeV
pulse duration (FWHM)	5 fs
bunch charge	75 pC
peak current	14 kA
norm. emittance	0.18 mm×mrad
energy spread	0.01 %
<i>Potential reach</i>	
FEL wavelength ($\hbar\omega$)	0.05 nm (25 keV)
N_γ /pulse	2.5×10^{11}
E_{FEL} /pulse	1 mJ
saturation length	25 m

Now, the emittance scaling growth with charge is fulfilled, but much larger than the Kim-Pellegrini limit

I_{peak} (peak power) is really huge a value