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The S-band photoinjector option

- In the photoinjector the beam is generated at the cathode in the RF gun with its own intrinsic emittance, that represents the lowest possible emittance.
- Among other, having a bunch long enough at the cathode allows to reduce the emittance degradation due to the space charge contribution in the gun region.

From [1] scaling with RF frequency

\[
\sigma_x \propto \lambda_{RF}, \sigma_z \propto \lambda_{RF}, \ Q \propto \lambda_{RF} \ (A=\sigma_x/\sigma_z \text{ costant}) \ (\text{@cathode surface})
\]

The S-band option, based on a state of the art technology, well known, reliable and stable, allows to explore a very wide range of working points in terms of beam parameters.

The main challenge for the RF photoinjector comes from the request of generate and transport low emittance electron beams ($\varepsilon_{nx,y} < 20$ mm-mrad) with charges ranging between 20 – 100 pC → **emittance preservation is the goal!**

In the RF gun the beam undergoes RF and space charge forces that induce emittance oscillations. The emittance compensation down to the intrinsic emittance is obtained properly setting the gun solenoid and those surrounding the S-band cavities according to the invariant envelope theory (also dumping the emittance oscillations).

In the meanwhile the beam can be shortened in the S-band cavities downstream the gun by means of the velocity bunching method so to achieve the required high peak current. → The beam is compressed inside an RF structure and if integrated in the emittance compensation process one can provide the desired beam brightness preventing from energy spread dilution in the downstream linac.

Two different configurations have been studied
1. On crest operation
2. Velocity bunching operation

![Energy spread dilution in the downstream linac vs the beam length at the linac entrance](image_url)
Working Points

- WP a) - w/o velocity bunching
  From S. Di Mitri minutes of WG3/6 meetings
  - Charge (50 : 100) pC
  - bunch length of about 500 fs
  - emittance of about 0.5 mm-mrad

- WP b) - with velocity bunching
  From Summary_WG3.doc (M. Ferrario)
  - Charge 100 pC
  - bunch length of about 120 fs
  - emittance of about 0.4 mm-mrad

- WP c) – with and w/o velocity bunching
  From HX FEL – F. Nguyen (18 Oct.’18): with and without RF compression (VB)
  - charge ranging between 20 and 100 pC → 75 pC chosen as reference
  - bunch length from photoinjector that enables needed emittances
  - emittance of about 0.2 mm-mrad
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  - bunch length from photoinjector that enables needed emittances
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Even if these are not the final WPs, they shown us the «limits». In both cases we need short bunches at the cathode leading to nonlinear effects at low energy and so not well compensated emittance, the VB case showing better performances.

1. WPa) 600- 775 fs length and \(\approx\) 0.3 mm-mrad emittance.
2. WPb) 95 fs length and 0.32 mm-mrad emittance \((\sigma_z = 10\mu m\) at maximum compression).
In the following the lowest reachable emittance is limited by the intrinsic emittance that is of the order of 0.15 mm-mrad. On going studies to lower this value and reach 0.12 mm-mrad emittance.

0.12 mm-mrad reached with about 2 ps beam exiting the photoinjector.
WP c) – w/o velocity bunching

- From HX FEL – F. Nguyen (18 Oct.’18): with and without RF compression (VB)
  - charge ranging between 20 and 100 pC → 75 pC chosen as reference
  - emittance less than 0.2 mm-mrad
- 0.2 mm-mrad allowed for bunch length at cathode in the ps range → final bunch length higher than 310 µm (≈1 ps).
WP c) – with velocity bunching

- From HX FEL – F. Nguyen (18 Oct.’18): with and without RF compression (VB)
  - charge ranging between 20 and 100 pC → 75 pC chosen as reference
  - emittance less than 0.2 mm-mrad
- 0.2 mm-mrad allowed for bunch length at cathode in the ps range → final bunch length of about 113 µm (≈0.3 ps).

\[ \gamma_x = 0.20 \mu m \]
\[ \sigma_{\gamma} = 0.19\% \]
\[ \sigma_z = 113 \mu m \]

Helps to avoid energy spread dilution in X-band linac
Simulations have been performed with the multi-particles code Tstep, an updated version of Parmela. It takes into account space charge forces, beam loading and CSR.

It is routinely cross-checked with experimental measurements and other codes (GPT and Astra) for all our projects (SPARC, ELI-NP, etc.).

For example: beam dynamics studies illustrated in the ELI-NP GBS Technical Design Report were made by using the tracking code Tstep. Then the results have been tested with a second tracking code Astra [2].

A huge effort has been done in the past to verify and validate these tracking codes.

Some references:
3. C. Ronsivalle et al., Simulations of the emittance compensation in photoinjectors and comparison with SPARC measurements, EPAC08, Genoa, Italy (2008)
4. Ivan Bazarov, Xiangyun Chang, Massimo Ferrario, Bob Garnett, Dmitry Kayran, Sergey Kurennoy, John Lewellen, Ji Qiang, Dave Sutter, Xijie Wang “Summary of working group a: injector design” for Workshop on high average power & high brightness beams november 8 - 10, 2004 → simulation codes verification and validation
5. and so on and so forth…
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WPa) (reference case)

- Tstep studies cross-checked with GPT (E. Marin) and Astra (A. Giribono) for WPa) with uniform radial distribution and gaussian longitudinal distribution.
- As you will see the codes are quite well aligned.
First results – parameters evolution
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**First results – Phase spaces**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Tstep (WP1)</th>
<th>GPT</th>
<th>ASTRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge [pC]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy [MeV]</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>145.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dp/p [%]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_z$ (rms) [mm]</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_r$ (rms) [mm]</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{nx}$ (rms) [mm mrad]</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEXT STEPS

- Perform simulations with field maps of an optimised XLS RF gun → higher peak field at the cathode (>120 MV/m)
- Extend the study to different beam charges
- Understand the range of parameter tunability and stability
- Discussion on the limit between RF photo-injector and RF-linac. Maybe we can introduce X-band cavities to freeze the beam phase space compacting the machine length → M. Ferrario proposes 300 MeV as threshold
- Benchmark has to be completed understanding the differences in the TW cavity model between codes.
THANK YOU!!!
S-band RF Photoinjector Layout

Figure 1: (a) HFSS geometry of the gun; (b) H field in the coupler region; (c) longitudinal accelerating field profile.

[1] D. Alesini et al. - High Power Test Results Of The ELI-NP S-band Gun Fabricated With The New Clamping Technology, IPAC17 (THOBB1)
The main challenge for the RF photoinjector comes from the request of generate and transport low emittance electron beams ($\varepsilon_{nx,y} < 20 \text{ mm-mrad}$) with charges ranging between $20 – 100 \text{ pC} \rightarrow \textit{emittance preservation is the goal!}$

In the RF gun the beam undergoes RF and space charge forces that induce emittance oscillations. The emittance compensation down to the intrinsic emittance is obtained properly setting the gun solenoid and those surrounding the S-band cavities according to the invariant envelope theory (also dumping the emittance oscillations).

In the meanwhile the beam can be shortened in the S-band cavities downstream the gun by means of the velocity bunching method so to achieve the required high peak current. $\rightarrow$ The beam is compressed inside an RF structure and if integrated in the emittance compensation process one can provide the desired beam brightness

- with the advantage of compactness of the machine and absence of Coherent Synchrotron Radiation (CSR) effects present in a magnetic compressor
- With shortened beam length avoiding energy spread dilution due to RF curvature degradation.

Two different configurations have been studied
1. On crest operation
2. Velocity bunching operation
WP a) - w/o velocity bunching

- From S. Di Mitri minutes of WG3/6 meetings: on crest operation for (50 : 100) pC beam with
  - bunch length of about 500 fs
  - emittance of about 0.5 mm-mrad
- In order to get 500 fs bunch without compression we need to start with short bunches at the cathode leading to not optimized emittance compensation scheme → better emittances elongating the beam
- 50 pC, 0.3 mm-mrad, 600 fs
WP b) - with velocity bunching

- From Summary_WG3.doc (M. Ferrario): RF compression (VB) for 100 pC beam with
  - bunch length of about 120 fs
  - emittance of about 0.4 mm-mrad
- In order to reach the 120 fs bunch length avoiding emittance degradation due to too high RF compression factor, the beam starts at the cathode in sub-ps range (at maximum compression $\sigma_z = 10\mu$m)
First results – RF gun: energy gain vs injection phase

- The curves reproduce analytical calculations
- WP1 foresees 120 MV/m at cathode and -24.4° injection phase ($\alpha_{RF} \approx 1.96$)
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