

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



WIR SCHAFFEN WISSEN – HEUTE FÜR MORGEN


Rasmus Ischebeck

Diffraction Limited Storage Rings


Joint Universities Accelerator School

- 1st Generation: storage rings built for particle physics, and used parasitically for synchrotron radiation
- 2nd Generation: storage rings built for the purpose of generating synchrotron radiation
- 3rd Generation: optimized rings for low emittance; insertion devices (wigglers and undulators), top-up operation
- Generation 4a: free electron lasers
- Generation 4b: diffraction limited storage rings


Since we Have FELs, Why do we Still Need Synchrotrons?




The average brilliance in all existing FELs is low



Synchrotrons have many more beamlines than FELs



Synchrotrons offer greater stability in pointing and pulse energy



All of the above


“diffraction limited” means

source (=electron beam) phase space \ll diffraction phase space

⇒ maximum brightness theoretically possible

⇒ full spatial coherence (point-like source)

Photon beam phase space = *convolution* of
diffraction phase space and electron beam phase space



2-d phase space (no correlation, $\langle xx' \rangle = 0$)

Area: **emittance** $\epsilon_x = \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_{x'}$

Aspect ratio: **beta-function** $\beta_x = \sigma_x / \sigma_{x'}$

[rms] size $\sigma_x^2 = \epsilon_x \cdot \beta_x$


[rms] divergence $\sigma_{x'}^2 = \epsilon_x / \beta_x$





Emittance normalized to energy vs. circumference

$$\epsilon_x \propto (\text{Energy})^2 / (\text{Circumference})^3$$



Theoretical
Emittance scaling

$$\epsilon \propto \gamma^2 C^{-3}$$

$$\ln \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma^2} = K - 3 \cdot \ln C$$



$$K \approx 2 \rightarrow \approx -1$$

improvement $\times 20$

↓
upgrade
projects

Emittance

◆ $\mathcal{E} = \text{size} \times \text{divergence} - \text{correlation}$



◆ $\mathcal{E} \cong \text{phase space area}$

◆ \mathcal{E} unit = m·rad, nm·rad, pm·rad

◆ \mathcal{E} as 2-D quantity presumes decoupling
horizontal \leftrightarrow vertical \leftrightarrow longitudinal


◆ \mathcal{E} is an invariant of motion



◆ $\mathcal{E}_{x/y}$ of photon beam is *convolution* of

■ *electron- $\mathcal{E}_{x/y}$* : property of storage ring

■ *diffraction- $\mathcal{E}_r = \lambda/4\pi$* ($\lambda = \text{wavelength}$)





Photon Beam Emittance

$$\varepsilon_{\gamma x} = \varepsilon_x \oplus \varepsilon_r = \sqrt{(\varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_r)^2 + \varepsilon_x \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{\beta_r}{\beta_x} + \frac{\beta_x}{\beta_r} - 2 \right)} \xrightarrow{\beta_x = \beta_r} \varepsilon_x + \varepsilon_r \quad (\text{same for } y)$$


- ◆ $\varepsilon_{x/y}$ electron beam emittances (at dispersion free locations)
 - SLS: $\varepsilon_{x/y} = 5500 / 5$ pm \Rightarrow SLS-2: $\varepsilon_{x/y} = 125 / 8$ pm (?)
- ◆ $\varepsilon_r = \lambda/4\pi$ diffraction emittance $\rightarrow 8$ pm for $\lambda = 1 \text{ \AA}$ ($\cong 12.4$ keV)
- ◆ $\beta \sim$ beam size / beam divergence \rightarrow phase space orientation
- ◆ $\beta_{x/y}$ electron beam
 - SLS short straights: $\beta_{x/y} = 1.4 / 1.0$ m
 - SLS [super]bends: $\beta_{x/y} = 0.45 / 14.0$ m
- ◆ β_r diffraction
 - Undulator: $\beta_r \approx L / 2\pi \rightarrow \approx 0.3$ m for $L = 2$ m
 - [super]bend: $\beta_r \approx 0.014 \text{ m} / B [\text{T}] \rightarrow \approx 0.0045$ m for $B = 3$ T
- ◆ Convoluted photon beam emittances
 - Undulator @ SLS: $\varepsilon_{\gamma x/y} = 5520 / 15$ pm \Rightarrow SLS-2: $\varepsilon_{\gamma x/y} = 145 / 19$ pm
 - Superbend @ SLS: $\varepsilon_{\gamma x/y} = 5900 / 350$ pm \Rightarrow SLS-2: $\varepsilon_{\gamma x/y} = 340 / 450$ pm

$$\left. \begin{matrix} B(\lambda) \\ CF(\lambda) \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{rx}(\lambda) \times \varepsilon_{ry}(\lambda)} \times \begin{cases} \dot{N}(\lambda) / \text{BW} & \dot{N}(\lambda) \text{ spectral photon flux} \\ (\varepsilon_r(\lambda))^2 & \text{BW bandwidth of experiment} \end{cases}$$


Possible increase of brightness and coherent fraction
for the SLS photon energy range (0.09...45 keV \cong 0.25...140 Å)
[undulator beam lines only]




Which Experiments Need Transverse Coherence?




Holography



Single Crystal Diffraction



Phase Contrast Imaging



All of the above



I Have Heard that Users Perform All of These Experiments at Synchrotrons. How Do They Achieve the Required Coherence?




Electron Beam Emittance

Horizontal emittance in electron storage ring:

↓ radiation damping ↓ ⇒ **equilibrium** ⇐ ↑ quantum excitation ↑

independent from initial conditions !



↑ maximize this -- and -- minimize this ↑

?

◆ Maximum radiation damping

- increase radiated power
 - Damping Wigglers \Rightarrow pay with RF-power
 - e.g. PETRA III: Power 1.1 \rightarrow 4.9 MW \Rightarrow ε_x 4.4 \rightarrow 1.0 nm

◆ Minimum quantum excitation

- keep off-momentum orbit close to nominal orbit

$$\text{Dispersion} = \frac{\text{orbit}}{\text{momentum}} = \frac{X}{\Delta p/p}$$

\Rightarrow minimize dispersion at locations of radiation (bends)

- strong horizontal focusing into bends.
- Multi-Bend Achromat lattice
many short (= small deflection angle) bends to limit dispersion growth.
- Longitudinal Gradient Bend
highest radiation at region of lowest dispersion and v.v.

Electron Beam Emittance


Minimum horizontal emittance

$$\epsilon_x \approx \frac{1}{6} \text{ pm } (E[\text{GeV}])^2 (\Phi[^\circ])^3 F$$

⇒ many (n) small dipoles: $\Phi = 360^\circ/n$
 ⇒ focus to magnet center: $F \approx 2..5 \times F_{\min}$

Beam energy
Deflection angle *per* dipole
Beam optics...




SLS: 5500 pm




Vertical emittance (of a flat lattice)

- equilibrium emittance small by nature **SLS** : 0.2 pm
- determined by lattice imperfections **SLS** : 1...10 pm

- Vacuum technology: distributed pumping
- High precision machining
- New injection schemes
- Solid-state RF systems





Vacuum System




| ALBA | MAXIV |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| St. steel | Copper |
| Slot absorbers | Distributed absorbers |
| Ion pumps | NEG coating |

Non-Evaporable Getter










Vacuum Tubes




* Cooling Channels
LEP Vacuum Chamber

Vacuum Chamber in Combined Magnet




Min. clearance with the iron 0.5 mm, min. clearance with the coils 2 mm.

One Achromat




Installation Procedure

- Assembly insitu (above magnets),
- Pumpdown and testing,
- Lifting,
- Baking/activation for 20 h,



Multi-Bend Achromats



New concept

- Longitudinal gradient bends (LGB):
field variation $B_y = B_y(s)$

– $\varepsilon \propto \int (\text{dispersion}^2 \dots) \times (\text{B-field})^3 ds$



→ high field at low dispersion and v.v.

- Anti-bends (AB): $B_y < 0$

- matching of dispersion to LGB
(disentangle horizontal focusing
from dispersion matching)

⇒ Factor ≈ 5 lower emittance
compared to a conventional lattice

⇒ MBA + LGB/AB : factor $\approx 25!$



AS & A. Wrulich, NIM A770 (2015) 98–112





AS, NIM A737 (2014) 148–154

The LGB/AB cell for low emittance

- Conventional cell vs. longitudinal-gradient bend/anti-bend cell
 - both: angle 6.7° , $E = 2.4$ GeV, $L = 2.36$ m, $\Delta\mu_x = 160^\circ$, $\Delta\mu_y = 90^\circ$, $J_x \approx 1$


conventional: $\epsilon = 990$ μm

LGB/AB: $\epsilon = 200$ μm




Additional benefits of the LGB/AB cell

- Hard X-rays (≈ 80 keV) from high B-field peak (4..6 Tesla):



SLS normal bend
SLS superbend
SLS-2 LG superbend



- ε -reduction due to increased radiated power from high field and from $\Sigma|\text{deflection angle}| > 360^\circ$ (“wiggler lattice”).
- Beam dynamics: potentials for ease of chromaticity correction
 - rather relaxed optics for a low emittance lattice.
 - negative momentum compaction (like proton synchrotron below transition energy) : suppression of head-tail instability at *negative* chromaticity. (chromaticity is negative by nature)

- A new on-axis injection scheme
 - cope with reduced aperture (physical or dynamic)
 - use interplay of radiation damping and synchrotron oscillation in longitudinal phase space to inject off-energy, off-phase but on-axis.

 M. Aiba, M. Böge, Á. Saá Hernández, F. Marcellini & AS, PR ST AB 18, 020701 (2015)

◆ Round beam scheme

- Wish from users
- Maximum brightness & coherence
- Mitigation of intrabeam scattering blow-up
- “Möbius accelerator”:
beam rotation on each turn to exchange
transverse planes

 (1995) 1590

R. Talman (Cornell Univ.), PRL 74.9






Figure taken from R. Hettel, JSR 21 (2014) p.843



Brightness of U19 at **SLS** and **SLS-2**



Brightness scales with
photon beam emittance
(= electrons \oplus diffraction)

Parameters for simple model:

$N_u = 100$ periods

$\lambda_u = 19$ mm period

gap $g : \frac{1}{4} \lambda_u$

up to $h = 33^{\text{rd}}$ harmonic



radiation into cone of

$$\sigma_{r'} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{L_u}} \quad L_u = N_u \lambda_u$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2 h} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} K_u^2 \right)$$

$$N_u \cdot 4\pi\alpha N_u (0.1\% \text{BW}) \left(\frac{I}{e} \right) \xi \left(J_{\frac{h-1}{2}}(\xi) - J_{\frac{h+1}{2}}(\xi) \right) \quad \xi = \frac{hK_u^2}{4 + 2K_u^2} \quad K_u = \frac{B_o c}{(mc^2/e)} \frac{\lambda_u}{2\pi} \quad B_o \approx 3.33 \exp \left[-\frac{g}{\lambda_u} \left(5.47 - 1.8 \frac{g}{\lambda_u} \right) \right]$$

Superbend flux and brightness



Fan opening angle ± 0.5 mrad
convoluted into effective emittance

Cave

- Gaussian approximation of non-Gaussian
- only relevant for micro-focusing experiments, not for full field imaging

Brightness increase superbend

--- 2.9 T at SLS → — 6.0 T at SLS-2

20 keV → × 17

50 keV → × 67

100 keV → × 640

Questions?

