

# Determination of precision nuclear decay data for the decay of <sup>153</sup>Gd

# R. Shearman\*, S.M. Collins, J. Garnier, J.D. Keightley, A.K. Pearce and P.H. Regan

**National Physical Laboratory, UK** 

MEDICIS-Promed Final Conference, 30 April – 4 May 2019, Erice, Sicily

# Need for nuclear decay data for medical applications



MIRD formalism of Dose[1]

$$\overline{D} = 2.13\overline{c} \sum_{i} n_i \overline{E}_i \phi_i$$

- Reliant on the absolute emission probabilities of the radiation and the half-life of the isotope.
- Change of these data changes the relevant dose calculations for patient and practitioner.
- Move into quantitative imaging requires high precision nuclear decay data in PET and SPECT.
- NPL combines unique expertise in radiochemical separation techniques (Peter Ivanov) & nuclear spectrometry for radionuclide standardisations & underpinning nuclear decay data measurements.



National Physical Laboratory, Hampton Road, Teddington, Middlesex TW11 0LW, UK

The potential radio-immunotherapeutic  $\alpha$ -emitter <sup>227</sup>Th – part II: Absolute  $\gamma$ -ray emission intensities from the excited levels of <sup>223</sup>Ra

# Gadolinium-153 Motivation for study



- Gadolinium-153 is used as a line (calibration) source for SPECT.[2]
- Historically was used in bone absorptiometry, bone mineral density and marrow content studies.[3]
- Identified as a potential radionuclide to use for interstitial rotating shield brachytherapy (I-RBST) to replace <sup>192</sup>Ir. [4]
- Inconsistencies within the dataset identified as well as SIRIC disagreements.

### **Further motivation for study**



BIPM-Monographie 7, "Measurement modelling of the International Reference System (SIR) for gamma emitting radionuclides" found a 4.3 % discrepancy between modelled and experimental data in the case <sup>153</sup>Gd for the measured activity and the SIRIC predicted value according to a well understood least squares fitting algorithm to the efficiency of the counter. Similar nuclides with similar decay properties were not in disagreement.



It was stated, "The discrepancy for the <sup>153</sup>Gd is probably due to nuclear data... The efficiency curve therefore provides motivation for to investigate the decay data of that radionuclide."

# The electron capture of <sup>153</sup>Gd



<sup>153</sup>Gd decays 100% to the A=153 stable isobar <sup>153</sup>Eu.

The DDEP [5] evaluation disagrees with recent evaluation by [6], which includes (7/2+) 269.7 keV state and the 7/2- 151.6 keV state.

If these states were populated 54.1, 68.9, 96.8, 118.1 and 166.5 keV emissions would be seen.



Data taken from [5]

# **Experimental technique**



- Three flame sealed aliquot sources of chemically pure <sup>153</sup>Gd were prepared by dispensing ~1g of <sup>153</sup>Gd from a 0.5M HCl solution.
- Two HPGe detectors (BART and LOKI) measured the three sources over a period of 5 years, for acquisition times between 86400 s and 250000 s.
- CANBERRA AFT Research amplifier 2025, Analogue-to-Digital Convertor 8715, AIM connected to a computer running CANBERRA GENIE 2000 v2.1c software was used to acquire spectra.
- Different techniques for pile up and dead time corrections implemented for each detector.







#### **Experimental results – LK16071901**





## **Experimental results**



100.0

73.98

χ²

0.09

0.61

0.11

0.27

0.12



# **Relative intensities – comparison**



Results from the weighted mean of the two detectors intensity measurements show that there are large ( $\sigma > 2$ ) differences from some of the previous work, however we consistently agree with work done by Chand et al.



# **Relative intensities – comparison**



National Physical Laboratory

# **Relative intensity comparison**



Energy	This work	DDEP [5]	Relative difference	Z-score
/keV	$I_{\gamma}(E)/I_{\gamma}(97.4)$	$I_{\gamma}(E)/I_{\gamma}(97.4)$	(%)	
69.6	7.89 (9)	8.28 (10)	4.71	2.90
89.4	0.320 (16)	0.245 (14)	30.6	-3.52
97.4	100	100	-	-
103.1	74.06 (27)	72.9 (7)	-1.59	-1.55
151.6	0.0157 (10)	0.017 (4)	7.65	0.31
172.8	0.1313 (18)	0.125 (6)	-5.04	-1.00

Uncertainty component	Value <i>I</i> %
FEP detection efficiency	0.38
Absolute activity	0.49
Peak fitting	0.15
Self-absorption	0.013
True coincidence summing	0.10
Gravimetric	0.02
Geometric reproducibility	0.016
Dead-time and pulse pile-up	0.18
Combined	0.67
uncertainty	

### Idealised example of the $4\pi\beta-\gamma$ coincidence method

 $\beta - \gamma$  decay (100% fed single cascade)



# Several ways to derive absolute activity at NPL $4\pi\beta-\gamma$





NPLØ

# <image>

#### NANA $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ counting





TDCR

DSA

# **Absolute intensity**



 $4\pi$ (LS)- $\gamma$  DCC calculation of the source reported specific activity of **512.5 (25)** kBq g<sup>-1</sup>@ 19/7/2011 12:00 UTC

Using this value it is possible to calculate the absolute activity value for the 97.4 keV emission, according to the adopted value of the half life of **240.4 (10) days.** 

By taking into account the well known efficiency and the density corrections required for the liquid source and the uncertainty in the mass dispensed, the rate across measurements were calculated and the (decay corrected) DCC value for specific activity used to find the absolute intensity.

The absolute intensity for the 97.4 keV emission has been calculated to **0.3015 (20)** 

Energy	Current work (NPL)		
/keV	Absolute $I_{\gamma}$ (%)		
69.6	2.38 (3)		
89.4	0.096 (5)		
97.4	30.15 (20)		
103.1	22.33 (17)		
151.6	0.00049 (6)		
172.8	0.0396 (6)		

# **Absolute intensity comparison**





# **SIRIC comparison**



- The adopted evaluated value for the absolute intensity of the 97.4 keV emission is 0.29 (8). The SIRIC discrepancy is 4.3 %
- The absolute intensity of the 97.4 keV emission from this work (0.3015 (20)) is 4 % higher than the evaluated value.
- The data in this work has been used to compute a new modelled activity.

Modelled: 368 (19) kBq KCRV: 368 (17) kBq Delta: 0.18 %

# **SIRIC** comparison





# **Comparison with Tb**



Nuclide	NSF	NSF u (%)	Half-life	Half-life u (%)	Notes
Gd-153	0.3015(20)	0.66	240.4 d (10)	0.41	
Tb-149	0.0088 (3)	3.4	4.118 h (25)	0.6	No measurement set after 1970
Tb-152	0.635 (6)	0.95	17.5 h (1)	0.57	Only two measurements
Tb-155	0.0251(13)	5.2	5.32 d (6)	1.1	One measurement in 1976 in evaluation primarily
Tb-161	0.102 (5)	4.9	6.89 d (2)	0.29	

# Conclusions



- Measurements of the observed emissions have been determined with greater precision than previous studies.
- No firm evidence that the 269 keV level in <sup>153</sup>Eu is populated during this decay (no 96.8, 118.1 or 166.5 keV gamma ray identified)
- Absolute intensity disagrees with current evaluated absolute emission probability of the 97.4 keV  $\gamma$  ray.
- Absolute intensity we have reported agrees with a number of previous measurements and explains SIRIC anomaly.
- Previously well-studied <sup>153</sup>Gd nuclear decay data has been enhanced.

## Thank you





Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy

#### FUNDED BY BEIS

References:

[1] S. M. Qaim Nucl. Med and Biol. 44 (2017)
[2] R. C Hendel et al, J. Nucl. Med, 43(2), (2002)
[3] H. W. Wahner et al, Radiology,156(1), (1986)
[4] W. A. Engel et al, Phys Med Biol., 58(4), (2013)
[5] M. Be et al, Monographie BIPM-5 -Table of radionuclides, 2, (2004)
[6] H. Xiaolong Appl. Rad. Iso., 68, (2010)
[7] M.G Cox et al, Monographie BIPM-7 (2007)

The National Physical Laboratory is operated by NPL Management Ltd, a wholly-owned company of the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy BEIS).