Measurement of Secondary Cosmic Rays Lithium, Beryllium and Boron with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS) on the International Space Station (ISS)

Yi Jia, <mark>M</mark>IT

On behalf of AMS Collaboration

**DPF2019, Northeastern University, August 1, 2019** 

# Traditionally, there are two prominent classes of cosmic rays:

Primary (p, He, C, O,...) and Secondary (Li, Be, B, ...).

Primary Cosmic Rays are produced and accelerated at the source (such as SNR). They carry information on their sources and the history of travel.



Secondary Cosmic Rays are produced in the collisions of primary cosmic rays. They carry information on the history of the travel and on the properties of the interstellar matter.

## **AMS Measurements on Secondary Cosmic Rays**



## Precision Measurement of Cosmic Rays AMS has seven instruments which independently measure Cosmic Nuclei

Energy (E) or Momentum(P)

Tracker and Magnet: Rigidity R = P/Z Bending Spatial Resolution

(Z=3-5) ≈ 5.5 μm

Maximum Detectable Rigidity (Z=3-5)  $\approx$  3.6 TV

**TOF:** β Δβ (β=1,Ζ=3-5) ≈ 0.01



## Flux Measurement



## **Nuclei Charge Identification**

## The tracker L2-L8 charge has a very fine resolution of $\Delta Z=0.08-0.12$ (3 $\leq Z \leq 5$ ).



## The charge confusion from noninteracting nuclei is negligible.

Accuracy on  $N_i$ : Background from interactions between L1 and L2



evaluated by fitting the charge distribution of tracker L1

This background is <0.5% for Lithium and Beryllium, <3% for Boron.

The systematic error on the fluxes is < 0.5% in the entire rigidity range ,

#### Accuracy on N<sub>i</sub>: Background from interactions above L1

estimated from MC simulations which have been validated using data,



For secondaries, this background can reach up to 10% at 3 TV. The systematic error on the fluxes is <1.5 % in the entire rigidity range

## **Accuracy on** N<sub>i</sub> : Tracker Rigidity Resolution

The systematics associated with the tracker rigidity resolution is well understood. The tracker spatial resolution is  $5.8 \ \mu m$  for Li,  $5.5 \ \mu m$  for Be, and  $5.3 \ \mu m$  for B.



#### Accuracy on A<sub>i</sub>: Measurements of Nuclei Cross Section by AMS

The detector components are mostly made of Carbon and Aluminum. AMS measured the nuclei survival probability using data acquired when AMS pointing in horizontal direction (~10<sup>5</sup> sec exposure), in which cosmic rays can enter AMS both **left to right** and **right to left**.



Most importantly, by flying horizontally, AMS was able to make Interaction cross sections measurements which were not available from accelerators.

#### Accuracy on A<sub>i</sub>:

## AMS Nuclei + C Inelastic Cross Section measurements average in 5-100 GV



#### Accuracy on A<sub>i</sub>: Survival Probability MC/Data Comparison



The systematic errors on the fluxes due to uncertainties of inelastic cross sections are < 2%–3% up to 100 GV and < 3%-4% at 3.3 TV.

## **Flux Measurement Verification Example**

The ratio of the fluxes with different acceptances using events

(a) passing through L1 to L8(b) passing through L1 to L9



The good agreement verifies the systematic errors on unfolding and acceptance.



### Flux Measurements of Li, Be, B before AMS



 $Flux \times E_K^{2.7}$ [m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>sr<sup>-1</sup>[GeV/n]<sup>1.7</sup>]

## **AMS Secondary Cosmic Rays: Lithium and Boron** Above 7 GV Li and B have identical rigidity dependence



#### **AMS Secondary Cosmic Rays: Lithium and Beryllium**

Above 30 GV Li and Be have identical rigidity dependence. The fluxes are different by a factor of  $2.0 \pm 0.1$ .



## **Fluxes Results with Previous Measurements**

With AMS, the total error on each flux is ~3% at ~100 GV.

The red dashed curves show the results from GALPROP model.





## Rigidity dependence of Primary and Secondary Cosmic Rays

**Both deviate from a single power law above 200 GV.** But their rigidity dependences are distinctly different.



## **Primary and Secondary Cosmic Ray Spectral Indices**

 $\Phi = CR^{\gamma}$  ( $\Phi$  is the flux; *C* is a constant;  $\gamma$  is the spectral index)

The secondary cosmic ray spectral indices are nearly identical, but **distinctly different** from the rigidity dependence of the primary cosmic rays.



Above 200 GV, Li, Be, B all harden more than He, C, and O.

The flux ratio between primaries (O) and secondaries (B) provides information on propagation and on the Interstellar Medium (ISM)



Cosmic ray propagation is commonly modeled as a fast moving gas diffusing through a magnetized plasma.

At high rigidities, models of the magnetized plasma predict  $B/O = kR^{\Delta}$ .

With the Kolmogorov turbulence model  $\Delta = -1/3$ With Iroshnikov-Kraichnan turbulence model  $\Delta = -1/2$ 

## Secondary to Primary Flux Ratio Spectral Indices $\Delta = d[\log(\Phi_S/\Phi_P)]/d[\log(R)] \text{ is not a constant}$



Combining the six ratios, the secondary over primary flux ratio (B/C, ...) deviates from single power law above 200 GV by 0.13±0.03

## **Conclusions and Outlook**

- Lithium, Beryllium and Boron have been measured in the rigidity range
  1.9 GV to 3.3 TV based on nuclei collected by AMS during the first 5 years of operation. Total error on each of the fluxes is ~3% at 100 GV.
- The Li and B fluxes have identical rigidity dependence above 7 GV and all three fluxes have identical rigidity dependence above 30 GV with the Li/Be flux ratio of 2.0±0.1.
- The Li, Be and B fluxes deviate from a single power law above 200 GV in an identical way. But their rigidity dependence is distinctly different from the rigidity dependence of primary cosmic rays. In particular, above 200 GV, the secondary cosmic rays harden more than the primary cosmic rays.
- AMS will **continue taking data** for the lifetime of the International Space Station (beyond 2024). Measurements of heavier secondary cosmic rays such as F, ..., subFe elements (Sc, Ti, V), enable us to explore a new region in cosmic rays.



## Flux Errors Breakdown (Boron)



The systematic errors include the uncertainties in the background estimations, the trigger efficiency, the geomagnetic cutoff factor, the acceptance calculation, the rigidity resolution function, and the absolute rigidity scale. <sup>2</sup>