



# Search for Long-lived Particles in the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer

# Hao Zhou University of Arizona

2019 Meeting of the Division of Particles & Fields of the APS (Jul. 29-Aug.2 2019)

## Long-lived particles in the LHC detector

Long-lived particles(LLP) are predicted by a wide range of BSM scenarios. (SUSY, Higgs-portal, Gauge-portal, Dark matter, Heavy neutrino…)

Many decay modes can be used to characterize LLP signatures. (diphoton, single-photon, hadronic, semi-leptonic, leptonic…)



Lots of possible experimental signatures at the ATLAS or CMS detector!

Our search is here!

#### Triggers for LLP decay in the muon spectrometer

- Muon Rol Cluster Trigger targets on particles decaying to hadronic jets from the outer region of the HCal to the muon spectrometer (MS) middle station.
- Selection criteria: A cluster of at least three (four) L1 muon Rols (region of interest) lying within a dR=0.4 radius in the MS barrel (endcaps).







#### Vertex reconstruction in the muon spectrometer

- Vertex reconstruction in the MS is based on a tracklet-finding technique.
- Tracklet refers to the paired set of straight-line segments reconstructed in the two multilayers of monitored drift tube(MDT) chambers.
- MDTs in the barrel are located inside the magnetic field while the MDTs in the endcaps are not, which results in <u>different</u> reconstruction approaches for the barrel and endcaps.



# **Analysis strategy**



Search for 2 vertices OR 1 vertex + MET



Search for 1 vertex + prompt jets

Background is mainly from the punch-through jets and is estimated by data-driven methods.

For 2-vertex search, background is modeled by data acquired with zero-bias trigger (fires on bunch crossing that occurs one LHC revolution after a luminosity trigger).

For 1-vertex search, background is estimated by the ABCD method.  $N_A^{\text{expected}} = N_B \times \frac{N_C}{N_D}$ 



# MC study for the full Run-2 analysis

 We validated the <u>Hidden Sector</u> signal kinematics with updated MC configuration (generators, PDFs …)



• Lifetime values are re-optimized in order to decrease the uncertainties in the signal



Fully simulated samples corresponding to period 2015-2018 have been produced

Scalar proper life-time [m]

# MC study for the full Run-2 analysis

Q: The signal sample is produced at the LO. Will the NLO jets affect the signal efficiency?

To answer this question, we estimate the NLO effect from the process with one extra jet radiation using CKKW algorithm using Madgraph and Pythia8.

What does CKKW do?



Hard & well-separated jet: calculated by the matrix-element, which is regularized by a jet cutoff  $t_{MS}$ 

Soft & collinear jet: modeled by the parton shower

CKKW algorithm will combine these two parts properly. arXiv: 1109.4829

#### MC study for the full Run-2 analysis

• We studied the effects from 1 extra jet or 2 extra jets. ( $t_{MS}$  is set to 40GeV)



# **Lifetime extrapolation**

- Toy Monte Carlo Method
- LLP decay position with any  $\tau$  can be simulated using toy MC from 4-momenta and decay length following  $f(t) = e^{-t/\beta\gamma c\tau}$ .
- With simulated trigger and vertex reconstruction efficiency, we are able to simulate the signal events. arXiv: 1811.07370 MS vertex reconstruction efficiency efficiency Simulation TLAS Simulation 0.6 m<sub>a</sub> = 500 GeV = 500 GeV m<sub>≈</sub> = 1500 GeV MS vertex reconstruction 0.5 -→cbs, m\_ = 10 GeV χ→cbs, m = 100 GeV >cbs, m. = 100 GeV 0.6 0.4 **Barrel vertices** Endcap vertices 0.5 2MSVx strategy 2MSVx strategy 0.3 0.4 -0-0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 2 3 2 6 8 12 4 5 10 Long-lived particle  $L_{xv}$  [m] Long-lived particle IL\_I [m]

# Lifetime extrapolation

• A possible defect in toy Monte Carlo Method

One set of vertex reconstruction efficiencies are used for all the lifetime

values.

Problematic for heavy LLPs



Lower  $\beta$  means an overall LOWER vertex reconstruction efficiency in MS 10

### **Expected limits for the LLP search in MS**

• CLs expected limits at 95% CL could be calculated at different luminosity.



## Looking for new background discriminating variables

min(∆R(closest jet), ∆R(closest track)) is a very good ABCD variable.



•  $\Delta \phi$ (MET, MSVertex) used in the 1 vertex + MET analysis has several cons there:  $\otimes$ 



# Looking for new background discriminating variables

- New variables should have small correlation with min(ΔR(closest jet), ΔR(closest track)).
  Variables that are not closely related to jet or track may be good candidates, like:
  - MDT, RPC, TGC hits

. .

- Tracklet related variables
- Muon segment related variables



These will be considered as inputs for BDT

A preliminary list used for MVA:

- nHits
- Tracklet\_avg\_dR
- Tracklet\_RMS\_dR
- Segment\_avg\_dR
- Segment\_RMS\_dR
- Segment\_sumpT

• • • •

Thanks to Cristiano Alpigiani for the MVA plots!

## Looking for new background discriminating variables

Preliminary BDT results (mH =125, mS = 40)



So far, BDT has shown stronger power than  $\Delta \phi$  (MET, MSVertex). Need further optimization and validation studies.

# Summary

MC study on the Hidden Sector model has been done. Study on other models like Baryogenesis is ongoing…

We are searching for new powerful background discriminating variables. Optimization of BDT is ongoing…

The sensitivity of the MS LLP search is expected to increase with increased luminosity and signal efficiency/background rejection.