Dark photons

G. Kozlov JINR, Dubna

Meeting of the Division of Particles & Fields of the American Physical Society DPF2019

July 29-August 2 Northeastern University Boston, MA dpf2019.northeastern.edu

APST PARTICLES & FIELDS

Northeastern College of Science



Need low mass vector state – *MEDIATOR* to be consistent with DM Relic density

$$\Omega_{DM} \sim \frac{m_{\gamma^*}^4}{m_{\chi}^2} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \textit{Heavy "PHOTON"?} \\ \textit{Dark Photon state ?} \end{array} \succ \begin{array}{l} \textit{Hidden sector scenario;} \\ \textit{DM do not interact directly to SM!} \end{array}$$

29.07.2019

OHidden sector scenario

- DM charged under new U'(1) gauge field mediator by U'(1) gauge boson.
- **DP** mixes with $U(1)_Y$ by ϵ (free parameter, mixing angle)
- **DP** as a low mass mediator $DM \leftrightarrow SM$ DPMin. cross section $\Omega_{DM} \sim \frac{m_{\gamma^*}^4}{m_{\chi}^2}$

oIf **DP** is the mediator, the issues arise:

- What is the symmetry providing its propagation
- The nature of the propagator
- Experimental search for mediator DP 29.07.2019 G Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019

• Kinetic ϵ^2 - mixing importance

- to estimate indirect/direct detection of DM
 - $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \alpha_{DM}^2 / m_{\psi}^2$. annihilation rate
- Indirect Annihilation to

$$l^{+}l^{-}: \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\epsilon} \sim \epsilon^{2} \frac{\alpha \alpha_{DM}}{m_{\psi}^{2} P(\Delta)}, \qquad \Delta = \frac{m_{DP} - m_{\psi}}{m_{\psi}}$$
- **Direct** DM scattering against nuclei

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\epsilon} \sim \epsilon^{2} \frac{\alpha \alpha_{DM} \mu_{\psi p}^{2}}{m_{DP}^{4}} \frac{Z^{2}}{A^{2}}, \qquad \mu_{\psi P} = \frac{m_{\psi} m_{p}}{m_{\psi} + m_{p}}$$

29.07.2019

Heavy photon searches

Fixed target

NA 64 @ SPS, PRD 97 (2018) 072002 $e^{-}Z \rightarrow e^{-}Z\gamma^* \rightarrow e^{-}Z\chi\bar{\chi}$ invisible $10^{-5} \le \epsilon_{\gamma-\gamma^*} \le 10^{-2}$ $m_{\gamma^*} \le 1 \text{ GeV}$

HPS @ Jlab, PRD 98 (2018) 091101

 $19 < m_{\gamma*} < 81 \, MeV$, $\varepsilon^2_{\gamma - \gamma^*} \sim 6 \, 10^{-6}$

- *-* J-PARC, T2K ?
- DUNE @ FNAL ?
- \blacktriangleright Low α_{DM} , low $m_{DP} \rightarrow longer$ lifetime

○ EM Telescope SHUKET ($\mu eV mass @ mixing ~ 10^{-12}$)

 $\circ \text{ Colliders} \qquad Detect weak DM-induced electric field E_{DM} \sim \epsilon m \overline{\psi_{DM}}$

- LHC, FASER 480 m downstream of ATLAS int. point 2020-21? G Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019 LHC: DP experimental signature
 Overall signal strength in gg → H(σ) → γγ(γγ*)
 Origin: - SI breaking sector of CFT

 Contribution from DP to BR

$$BR(H \to \gamma \gamma^*) \approx (1 + \alpha \varepsilon^2 \Omega) BR^{SM} (H \to \gamma \gamma), \quad \Omega \sim (1 - m^2 / m_H^2)^3$$

Salient feature: *DP* γ^* energy with cont. spectrum vs $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in SM

> By measuring E_{γ} spectrum in $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ one can discriminate the presence of DP or not.

Strategy of the analysis: identify $\gamma^* \rightarrow inv$ candidates by precise reconstruction of the initial Higgs state.

The measured rate of such events has to be compared to that expected from known sources

 $\checkmark DP$ signal (exp. signature): detected in missing *E* and *p* distribution Once *DP* produced:

 E_{γ} spectrum is NO more δ -function peaked at $0.5m_{H}$

but rather continuous with $E_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \ 0.5m_H \end{bmatrix}$

Effect of DM sector on observable(s)

Mixing strength ε is bounded by $\sqrt{s} = 8-14 \ TeV$, $M = 800-1000 \ TeV$, d = 4





GK Nucl. Phys. B273 (2016)

Upper limit on mixing angle *E*

✓ NP signals with DP increase with \sqrt{s} , d

For $H \to \gamma \gamma^*$: $L \sim O_{SM} O_{IR} \sim \varepsilon \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi H B^{\mu} M^{-1}$

Relevant energy scale $Q \sim m_q$, q:top,...

Result:
$$\varepsilon < 3 \cdot 10^{-2}$$
, $q : top$, $d = 4$; $M > v$

DP visible (a) LHC for the **UV** scale up to $M < 10^3$ TeV, d=4

If $\varepsilon \to 0$, the only decay $H \to \gamma \gamma$ is appropriate within SM

LHC is a very good facility where the DM Physics can be tested well 29.07.2019 G Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019

Upper limit on DP mass

$$\mathcal{A}m\left(\gamma^* \to \nu\overline{\nu}\right) = \frac{1}{2} f_{\nu}\overline{\nu}\left(g_{\nu_{\nu}}\gamma_{\beta} + g_{\mathcal{A}_{\nu}}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{5}\right)\nu\gamma_{\beta}^*$$

$$f_v^2 = 4\sqrt{2}Gm^2$$
, $G \sim 10^{-5}GeV^{-2}$

No final state interactions: (partial decay width)

$$\Gamma\left(\gamma^* \to v\overline{v}\right) = \frac{2}{3}\overline{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon^2 m$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}Gg_v^2 m^2}{4\pi\overline{\alpha}}, \quad g_{V_v}^2 = g_{A_v}^2 = g_v^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

For $\varepsilon < 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \rightarrow m < 3.3 \, GeV$

\blacksquare To predict \mathcal{M} , the more detailed calculations need



$$\Gamma\left(\gamma^* \to \nu \overline{\nu}\right) \sim \alpha^2 m^5 G^2 \left(ln \frac{\Lambda_{\nu}^2}{m_l^2} - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2$$

DP mass: Combined calculations give

$$\mathbf{m} \approx m_{\mu} \sqrt{\left[\frac{3\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha^{-1}}{\sum_{l:e,\mu} \left(ln\frac{\Lambda_{\nu}^{2}}{m_{l}^{2}} - \frac{1}{6}\right)\right]}} \rightarrow m = 0.83 \; GeV \quad \text{e, } \mu \; loops$$

$$\Lambda_{\nu} \sim O(m_Z), \ \varepsilon = 7.6 \ \cdot 10^{-3}$$

29.07.2019

Higgs-Dilaton Abelian Model

$$\begin{split} L_{SM+DM+DP} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\beta} B_{\mu\nu}^2 - b(\partial B) + \frac{1}{2\eta} b^2 \\ &+ \bar{\psi} \left(i \widehat{D_{\mu}} - m_{\psi} \right) \psi + \left| D_{\mu} \varphi \right|^2 - \lambda^2 |\varphi|^4 + \mu_0^2 |\varphi|^2 \\ &\bar{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon (\alpha + \beta), \qquad \bar{\beta} = \epsilon^2 \alpha \beta, \qquad D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ig B_{\mu} \end{split}$$

> Invariance under transformations:

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\Lambda, \quad B_{\mu} \to B_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\Lambda, \\ \varphi \to e^{ig\Lambda}\varphi, \ \psi \to \psi e^{ig\Lambda}, \ \Delta^{2}\Lambda(x) = 0 \end{split}$$

29.07.2019

Symmetry breaking

Real fields
$$\phi + f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi + \varphi^*), \quad \chi = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi - \varphi^*)$$

 $\langle \Omega, \chi \Omega \rangle = 0, \quad f = \langle \Omega, (\phi + f)\Omega \rangle, \quad \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle = 1$

After symmetry breaking

$$L_{R} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\beta}B_{\mu\nu}^{2} - b(\partial B) + \frac{1}{2\eta}b^{2} + \bar{\psi}(i\widehat{D}_{\mu} - m_{\psi})\psi + \frac{m^{2}}{2}B_{\mu}^{2} + mB_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\chi - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^{2} + \left(\partial_{\mu}\chi\right)^{2}\right]$$

m = gf DP mass

 $\mu = \sqrt{2}\lambda f \text{ scalar dilaton mass}$ G Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019

29.07.2019

Dark photon field itself > Basic Eq.

$$\Delta^2 B_{\mu} - p \,\partial_{\mu}(\partial B) + \frac{m^2}{q} B_{\mu} + \frac{m}{q} \,\partial_{\mu}\chi = 0$$
$$p = 1 - \frac{\eta}{q}, \qquad q = -\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2(\alpha - \beta)^2$$

Solution. DP field

$$B_{\mu}(x) = C_{\mu}(x) - \frac{\partial_{\mu}\chi(x)}{m} + \frac{\eta}{m^{3}}\Delta^{2}\partial_{\mu}\chi(x)$$

 $\Delta^{2} \Delta^{2} \chi(x) = 0, \qquad \Delta^{2} \chi(x) \neq 0, \\ [\chi(x), \chi(0)] = 2\pi i \, sgn(x^{0}) [b_{1}\theta(x^{2}) + b_{2}\delta(x^{2})] \\ \left(\Delta^{2} + \frac{m^{2}}{q}\right) C_{\mu}(x) = (\partial C) = 0, \qquad [C_{\mu}(x), \chi(y)] = 0 \\ G \text{ Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019}$

Propagator of Dark Photon field

 \mathbb{R}_4 :

$$\hat{\tau}(p) \sim \frac{1}{\eta} \lim_{\kappa^2 \to 0} \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{(p^2 - \kappa^2 + i\varepsilon)^2} + c \left[g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{(p^2 + i\varepsilon)} \right] \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon}$$

> The mixing ϵ – dependence is through the Eq.

$$\partial^{\mu}\left[F_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon(\alpha+\beta)B_{\mu\nu}\right]=0$$

 $B_{\mu\nu}$: B_{μ} is the basic DP field solution

29.07.2019

***** DM field properties. DM propagator.

$$(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{\psi})\psi(x) \approx -gm^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}N[\partial_{\mu}\chi(x)\cdot\psi(x)]$$

$$\psi(x) = N \ e^{ig\chi(x)/m} \ \psi^{(0)}(x)$$

Free DM field with mass m_{ψ}

$$N[\partial_{\mu}\chi(x)\cdot\psi(y)] = \lim_{y\to x}\partial_{\mu}[\chi(x) + ig\omega(x-y)]\psi(y)$$

> DM propagator

$$\tau_{\psi}(x) = \left\langle \Omega, T[\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)]\Omega \right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle \Omega, T[\psi^{(0)}(x)\overline{\psi^{(0)}}(0)]\Omega \right\rangle}{\left(-\kappa^2 x_{\mu}^2 + i\varepsilon\right)^{g^2/(4\pi)^2}}$$

 \Box DM $\psi(x)$ picked up anomalous dimension $g^2/(4\pi)^2$

 $\circ \kappa$ – multiplicative normalization constant of DM $\psi(x)$

♦ Observables. DM, DP

Ideal system DM-DP -> Dynamical system with constraints in phase space Γ

DM-DP: Dynamical system with physical space $\mathcal{M} \subset \Gamma$, surface b(x) = 0

L. Faddeev, 1969

Observables on $\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow$ weak equations \downarrow quantities {..., ...} ≈ 0 Poisson

• Observables local $\{0(x), 0(y)\} = 0, (x - y)^2 < 0$

• Observables relatively local $\{O_1(x_1), O_2(x_2)\} = 0, (x_1 - x_2)^2 < 0$

$$(i\hat{\partial} - m - g\hat{B})\psi(x) = 0, \qquad \{b(x), B_{\mu}(y)\} \neq 0, \qquad \{b(x), \psi(y)\} \neq 0,$$

*** Result:** Both DM field $\psi(x)$ and DP field $B_{\mu}(x)$ are not **observables**

29.07.2019

Stochastic forces

Both **DM** and **DP** are observables under stochastic forces $h_{\mu}(x)$ ONLY **DP** $B_{\mu}(x)$ fluctuations in medium: probability $P[B_{\mu}] \sim exp(-G[h_{\mu}]\beta)$ $G[h_{\mu}] = ln \int dB_{\mu}e^{-\int dx[L(x)+h_{\mu}(x)B^{\mu}(x)]}$

Free energy averaged over fluctuation h_{μ}

$$F_h = \int dh_\mu G[h_\mu] e^{-\int dx h_\mu^n(x)}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots external \text{ insertions of } h_\mu(x)$$

$$\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu}(x) = \delta(x)$$

29.07.2019

Observables. DM, DP

$$\psi(x) \to \Psi(x; h_{\mu}) = \left\{ exp\left[ig \int d^{4}y h_{\mu}(x-y) \times B^{\mu}(y) \right] \right\} \psi(x)$$

 $\big(i \hat{\partial} - m - g \hat{B} \big) \psi(x) \rightarrow \, \big[i \hat{\partial} - m \, - g B_{\mu} \big(x; h_{\mu} \big) \gamma^{\mu} \big] \, \Psi \big(x; h_{\mu} \big) = 0$

 $\left\{b(x),\Psi(y;h_{\mu})\right\} = \left\{b(x),\overline{\Psi}(y;h_{\mu})\right\} = 0; \quad \left\{b(x),B_{\mu}(y,h_{\mu})\right\} = 0,$

$$B^{\mu}(x,h_{\mu}) = \int d^4y [g^{\mu\nu}\delta(x-y) - \partial^{\mu}h_{\nu}(x-y)]B^{\nu}(y)$$

Both *DM* field $\Psi(x; h_{\mu})$ and *DP* field $B^{\mu}(x, h_{\mu})$ have advantages of involving **observables** only

29.07.2019

Hidden sector. How it should be explored?



 O_{DP} : $B_{\mu\nu}$ mediator vector operator / Portal to DM At ~O(GeV) $\tau_{DP} \ge \tau_{SM}$ (meson decays due to weak int's)

Assume interplay between **DM and SM** mediated by new operators with $d_{tot} = n + 4$

Production cross section

$$\sigma \sim \epsilon^2 \frac{\zeta^2}{E^2} \left(\frac{E}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n}$$
, $d_{tot} = n + 4$

✓ Collider or Fixed target?

29.07.2019

***** Dark photon. **Production rate**

$$R_{FT/Coll} = \frac{N_{FT}}{N_{Coll}} = \frac{L_{FT}}{L_{Coll}} \left(\frac{E_{FT}}{E_{Coll}}\right)^{2n-2}$$

$$R_{FT/Coll} = \begin{cases} 10^{11-6n} & \frac{100 \text{ GeV } (p-beam)}{LHC} \\ 10^{14-9n} & \frac{100 \text{ GeV } (e-beam) \text{ SPS CERN}}{LHC} \\ 10^{16-11n} & \frac{1 \text{ GeV } (e-beam) \text{ JLab}}{LHC} \\ n = 0 & R_{FT/Coll} \sim 10^{11-} 10^{16} \\ n = 1 & R_{FT/Coll} \sim 10^{5} \\ n = 2 & R_{FT/Coll} \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-1} \end{cases}$$

G Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019

29.07.2019

4 Conclusion

- 1. Abelian conformal-gauge model for DP and interactions.
- 2. DP solution. DP massive.
- 3. Upper limit for mixing angle estimated $\varepsilon < 3 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^*$
- 4. *Dark photon* parameters predicted $m = 0.83 \text{ GeV}, \epsilon = 7.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
- 5. DM SM interactions through DP (*the portal*) as non-trivial combination of the derivatives of dilaton field.
- 6. Influence of Conformal Sector to SM sector.
- 7. DP production rate for *collider* and *fixed target* modes. 29.07.2019 G Kozlov APS DPF Boston 2019



DP is the conformal portal to DM



29.07.2019