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Introduction to CMS

- General Purpose Experiment at the LHC
  - 40 MHz bunch crossings (BX) rate (1 per 25 ns)
- Many interactions per BX ($10^{11}$ protons per bunch) called pileup (PU)
  - Average PU in Run 2 $\approx 30$
  - There is some overlap of PU between BXs (out-of-time PU)
CMS ECAL Overview

Homogeneous, highly granular, hermetic PbWO$_4$ crystal calorimeter

- Short radiation length (0.89 cm)
- Small Moliere radius (2.2 cm)
- Fast decay time (25 ns)

**Barrel (EB):**
- $|\eta| < 1.48$
- 61,200 crystals
- 26 radiation lengths
- Avalanche photodiode (APD) readout

**Endcap (EE):**
- $1.48 < |\eta| < 3.0$
- 14,684 crystals
- 25 radiation lengths
- Vacuum photo-triode (VPT) readout

**Preshower (ES):**
- $1.65 < |\eta| < 2.6$
- 4 Dees of 2 Pb/Si planes

\[
\sigma(E) = \frac{2.8\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus \frac{0.128}{E\, (GeV)} \oplus 0.3\%
\]
Energy is measured using all crystals in a shower

\[ E_{e, \gamma} = \sum_{i \in \text{shower}} [S_i(t) \cdot C_i \cdot A_i] \cdot F_{e, \gamma} \cdot G(\eta) \]
Laser Corrections

- **Irradiated PbWO\(_4\)** crystals lose transparency
  - Recoverable loss (EM)
  - Non-recoverable (Hadronic)

- The transparency of the crystals is monitored with a dedicated laser based monitoring system

\[
\frac{S(t)}{S_0} = \left( \frac{R(t)}{R_0} \right)^\alpha
\]

- \(S(t)/S_0 = \) correction for e/\(\gamma\) scintillation
- \(R(t)/R_0 = \) response to injected laser
- \(\alpha = \) power law factor \(\sim 0.6 - \sim 1.2\)
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Mass stability of $\pi^0$ vs time during 2017 after applying the laser monitoring corrections
Peaks RMS ~ 0.3%
Inter-calibration (IC)

- Equalizes channel-to-channel responses using:
  - **Φ-symmetry**: for a large sample of events, the total deposited transverse energy in a given η-ring should be the same in every crystal
  - **π⁰ → γγ peak**: reconstructed peak in this decay is used to iteratively correct the IC for each channel
  - **Z → ee peak**: Z peak is reconstructed from electron pairs by fitting to a Breit-Wigner and Gaussian. A maximum likelihood algorithm calculates the ICs.
  - **E_{ECAL}/p_{Tkr}**: the ratio of ECAL energy and tracker momentum for high energy electrons from Z and W decays is required to fit a data-based template (1 on average)
Signal Extraction + Pulse Reconstruction

- Signal from APDs is digitized in 10 samples of 25 ns
- Parameterized pulse templates are used to extract the in-time signal
  - Reject Out-of-time pile-up (OOT PU)
- Most parameters used in signal extraction are time dependent
  - ECAL Pulse Shape
  - Crystals transparency (laser monitoring system)
  - Baseline for signal (pedestal monitoring)
Pulse Shape and Pedestal Monitoring

- Time dependence of average pulse time during 2017 data taking
  - Drift of ~ 0.8 ns due to ageing
- Pulse shape templates are measured frequently to monitor their time dependence

CMS Preliminary 2017 46 fb^{-1} (13 TeV)

- Pedestals measured during data-taking drift over time
  - Long term drift: aging effects
  - Short term drift: effects from instantaneous luminosity
e/γ Clustering

EM showers in the ECAL are spread over many crystals

- This spread can be further separated by bremsstrahlung, and photon pair conversions
- **e/γ clustered using the same algorithm**
  - Basic clusters merged into “superclusters”
  - Accounts for electron B-field in η and φ (necessary for low energy clusters)
- Dedicated Gaussian-Sum Filter (GSF) tracking algorithm for electrons
- SC’s are refined by merging clusters compatible with bremsstrahlung photons
ECAL Performance in Run 2, 2017

The ECAL response is found to be stable over the 2017 data taking period after the latest calibration campaign:

- The $Z \rightarrow ee$ invariant mass has a relative spread < 0.2% over this period.

- The shower shape variable $R_9 (E_{3x3}/E_{SC})$ has a relative spread < 0.25% over Run2, 2017.
  - This is a variable crucial to photon and electron identification.
Performance in Run 2

• The resolution of data in the CMS ECAL before and after corrections

• Much improvement is shown in the endcaps ($\eta > 1.57$).

• The entire Run 2 dataset (2016-2018) is being reprocessed to optimize the resolution and stability of the ECAL energy reconstruction
Higgs Mass Measurement

Run 2, 2016 Dataset

\[ m(H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4l) = 125.26 \pm 0.21 \text{ (total)} \pm 0.19 \text{ (stat.) GeV} \]

- Very promising results forthcoming for a mass measurement in the \( \gamma \gamma \) channel
- Run 2 is the best opportunity for a mass measurement
  - Crystals will continue to lose transparency
  - Systematic uncertainties will dominate analyses in Run 3 and in the High Luminosity LHC

https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.09936
High-Luminosity (HL) LHC

HL-LHC will be a dramatic increase in luminosity

- PU will jump from ~ 40 to ~ 200
- Particle reconstruction and primary vertex assignment will become main challenges for detectors
  - An upgrade to CMS is necessary in order to maintain current performance
ECAL Upgrades

• HL-LHC will have ~200 PU, and APD spikes in ECAL will have a rate of ~40 kHz
  - Upgrades are necessary to combat these challenges

• Upgrades to the ECAL are specifically targeting
  - PU and OOT PU mitigation
  - spike rejection
  - signal arrival time and resolution
  - noise rejection in APDs and improved light yield is ECAL crystals.
ECAL Before and After

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photodetectors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operating Temperature: <strong>18° C</strong></td>
<td>• Operating Temperature: <strong>9° C</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Front End Electronics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sampling Rate: <strong>40 MHz</strong></td>
<td>• Sampling Rate: <strong>160 MHz</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of Samples: <strong>10 Samples</strong></td>
<td>• Number of Samples: <strong>20 Samples</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Front End Electronics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Crystal Info: <strong>5x5</strong></td>
<td>• Crystal Info: <strong>1x1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L1 Trigger</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acceptance Rate: <strong>100 kHz</strong></td>
<td>• Acceptance Rate: <strong>750 kHz</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Max Latency: <strong>6.4 μs</strong></td>
<td>• Max Latency: <strong>12.5 μs</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ECAL Timing Resolution

- The VFE upgrade will also change the signal shape allowing the ECAL to make timing measurements of showers
  - Time Resolution < 30 ps per shower
    - By triangulation ~200 PU becomes ~40 PU
Exploring Rare Processes

- Many milestones in Higgs physics during Run 2
  - Observation of \( \text{ttH} \) production

- 3000 fb\(^{-1} \) expected at HL-LHC
  - Perform differential measurements
  - Enhanced sensitivity to rare processes:
    - Higgs Pair Production
    - Higgs Self-coupling
Summary

• ECAL has shown excellent performance in photon reconstruction during Run 2
  - Recalibration of Run 2 data ongoing
  - Very promising results from 2016 data alone, many more to come with full Run 2 dataset

• HL-LHC is on its way
  - Will provide unprecedented luminosity
  - Such a harsh environment will give rise to some experimental challenges
  - ECAL upgrade plans are well underway

• 3000 fb^{-1} of data will be collected at HL-LHC
  - Target rare processes: HH production and self-coupling
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