# From sum-integrals to continuum integrals and back

York Schröder (UBB Chillán)

based on recent work with Andrei Davydychev

and earlier work with I. Ghişoiu, J. Möller

Radcor 2019, Avignon

- Finite-temperature field theory
	- . fairly mature subject; textbooks [Kapusta 89; LeBellac 00; Kapusta/Gale 06; Laine/Vuorinen 17]
	- $\triangleright$  relevant in cosmology (mostly weak int; QCD as background) early univ, equilibration,  $T_{max} = ?$ DM searches, relic densities
	- $\triangleright$  relevant in HIC (mainly QCD) fireball lifetime  $\sim 10$  fm/c;  $T_{max} \sim 10^2$  MeV particle yields, jet quenching, plasma hydro
- equilibrium thermodynamics: imaginary time formalism,  $t \to i\tau$ 
	- $\triangleright$  (grand) canonical ensemble,  $Z(T, \mu) = \text{Tr}[e^{-(\hat{H} \mu \hat{N})/T}]$
	- $\rho$  path int quant, fields periodic:  $Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\int_0^{1/T} \int d^dx \mathcal{L}_E}$   $\Leftarrow$   $\boxed{d=3-2\varepsilon}$

$$
= |d = 3 - 2\varepsilon|
$$

- ► Fourier trafo discrete; mom-space measure  $T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d}$  $\frac{d^{\alpha}p}{(2\pi)^d}\equiv \mathbf{1}$  $\overline{P}$
- $\rho$  bosonic prop ~  $[(2nπT)^2 + p^2 + m^2]^{-1}$
- $\triangleright$  Dirac prop ~  $[i\gamma_0((2n+1)\pi T + i\mu) + i\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} + m]^{-1}$
- upshot: integrals  $\rightarrow$  sum-integrals

- clean sub-problem: vacuum-type sum-integrals
	- $\triangleright$  relevance: free energy  $f = -T \ln Z$  of a thermal system
	- $\triangleright$  EoS, expansion rate, etc.
	- $\triangleright$  in many settings, QCD effects dominant [Linde/IR problem tamed by EFT's]

• even cleaner: massless bosonic (think gluons) vacuum-type sum-integrals

 $\triangleright$  state-of-the-art: 1-, 2-loop OK; 3-loop; isolated 4loop cases.

• first example: LO / 1-loop bosonic tadpole

• recall 
$$
T = 0
$$
 case:  $J_{\nu}(m) \equiv \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[p^2 + m^2]^{\nu}} = [m^2]^{d/2 - \nu} \times \frac{\Gamma(\nu - d/2)}{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(\nu)}$ 

• at  $T \neq 0$  therefore [writing  $P^2 = P_0^2 + \vec{p}^2$  with  $P_0 = 2n\pi T$ , and d-dim vector  $\vec{p}$ ]

$$
I_{\nu}^{\eta}(d) \equiv \oint_{P} \frac{(P_{0})^{\eta}}{[P^{2}]^{\nu}} = \delta_{\eta} J_{\nu}(0) + [1 + (-1)^{\eta}] T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n\pi T)^{\eta} J_{\nu}(2n\pi T)
$$

$$
= 0 + \frac{[1 + (-1)^{\eta}] T \zeta(2\nu - \eta - d)}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu - \eta - d}} \frac{\Gamma(\nu - \frac{d}{2})}{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(\nu)}
$$

 $\triangleright$  note that 'thermal part' has the form  $\zeta(n_{\text{even}}-d)$ 

- massless sum-integral  $\Leftrightarrow$  massive  $(T=0)$  integral
- relevance: free E, selfE's, Debye screening masses, etc.
	- . example: blackbody radiation / Stefan-Boltzmann law at LO  $f_{QED} = -\frac{\pi^2 T^4}{90} [2 + 4\frac{7}{8}]$  $\left[ \leftrightarrow \text{expansion rate of univ at } T \sim \text{MeV} \right]$  $f_{QCD} = -\frac{\pi^2 T^4}{90} [2(N_c^2-1)+4N_c \frac{7}{8}N_f]$

3/16

- $\bullet\,$ next step: NLO / 2-loop
	- $\triangleright$  a number of worked-out examples in the literature
	- $\triangleright$  general observation: factorization  $\oint$  $P Q$  $(\cdots) \sim [\mathop{\textstyle\sum}\limits_{}^{}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}% \alpha_{\mathop{\textstyle\sum}}^{\math$  $\overline{P}$  $(\cdots) \times [\oint$  $\overline{Q}$  $(\cdot \cdot \cdot)]$
	- $\triangleright$  confirmed by (thermal adaptation) of IBP
	- $\triangleright \Rightarrow Q$ : is this a theorem? [A: YES (for bos,  $m = \mu = 0$ )]

- at higher orders (or with  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  from IBP pre-factors) need higher  $\varepsilon$ -terms of 2-loop sum-ints
	- $\triangleright$  generic analytic results (in d) would be useful
- goal: devise a constructive proof of 2-loop factorization

### **Setup**

- recall from 1-loop: massless sum-integral  $\Leftrightarrow$  massive  $(T=0)$  integral
- define massive 2-loop vacuum integral in d dimensions [we are interested in  $d = 3 2\varepsilon$ ]

$$
B_{m_1, m_2, m_3}^{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3} \equiv \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[m_1^2 + p^2]^{\nu_1} [m_2^2 + q^2]^{\nu_2} [m_3^2 + (p - q)^2]^{\nu_3}}
$$

• define massless bosonic 2-loop vacuum sum-integral  $[\nu \equiv \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3]$  and  $\eta \equiv \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3]$ 

$$
L_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}^{\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3} \equiv \oint_{PQ} \frac{(P_0)^{\eta_1} (Q_0)^{\eta_2} (P_0 - Q_0)^{\eta_3}}{[P^2]^{\nu_1} [Q^2]^{\nu_2} [(P - Q)^2]^{\nu_3}}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{T^2}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu - \eta - 2d}} \sum_{n_1,n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} n_1^{\eta_1} n_2^{\eta_2} (n_1 - n_2)^{\eta_3} B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}_{n_1,n_2,n_1-n_2}
$$

• remaining task: do double sum over known analytic result for  $B$  [Davydychev/Tausk 1992]

- $\triangleright$  known result is in terms of Appell's hypergeometric function  $F_4$
- $\triangleright$  not practical: four infinite sums
- can do (much) better: 'masses' are linearly related  $\Rightarrow$  finite sums
	- $\triangleright$  examine B from scratch, at special kinematic point

#### **Setup**

- sort out the cases where masses of  $B$  can vanish
	- $\triangleright$  decompose double-sum into sectors where 'masses' are always positive
	- $\triangleright$  take into account that  $B$  depends on  $m_i^2$ , use the integral's symmetry

$$
L_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}^{\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3} = \frac{T^2\left[1+(-1)^{\eta}\right]}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu-\eta-2d}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_3} B_{0,0,0}^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3} \right.+ \zeta(2\nu-\eta-2d) \left[ (-)^{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} + \delta_{\eta_2} B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_3} + \delta_{\eta_3} B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_3,\nu_1,\nu_2} + 2^{\eta_3} (-)^{\eta_2} B_{1,1,2}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} \right] + \bar{H}_{\eta_3,\eta_2,\eta_1}^{\nu_3,\nu_2,\nu_1} + (-)^{\eta_3} \bar{H}_{\eta_3,\eta_1,\eta_2}^{\nu_3,\nu_1,\nu_2} + (-)^{\eta_2} H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\eta_3}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_3} + (-)^{\eta_2} H_{\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} \right\}
$$

- 1st line: no-scale case of  $B \to$  massless tadpole,  $= 0$  in dim reg
- 2nd line: single-scale cases of B, double sum trivial  $\rightarrow \zeta$  [explicit results not needed here]
- 3rd line: two types of double sums, each over B with  $m_1 + m_2 = m_3$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\eta a, \eta_b, \eta_c}^{\nu_a, \nu_b, \nu_c} & \equiv & \sum\limits_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} n_1^{\eta a} n_2^{\eta b} (n_1 + n_2)^{\eta_c} \, B_{n_1, n_2, n_1 + n_2}^{\nu_a, \nu_b, \nu_c} \\[2mm] \bar{H}_{\eta a, \eta_b, \eta_c}^{\nu_a, \nu_b, \nu_c} & \equiv & \sum\limits_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} (n_1 - n_2)^{\eta a} n_2^{\eta b} n_1^{\eta c} \, B_{n_1 - n_2, n_2, n_1}^{\nu_a, \nu_b, \nu_c} \end{array}
$$



#### Continuum integral B

• recall: need 2-loop massive vacuum integral 
$$
B_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}
$$
 at  $m_3 = m_1 + m_2$  (all  $m_i > 0$ )

• IBP gives a recurrence that allows to shrink one line [Tarasov 1997]

$$
2uB^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} = \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}^{-}}{m_1} \left[ \frac{c+\nu_2}{m_2} - \frac{c+\nu_3}{m_3} \right] + \frac{\mathbb{2}^{-}}{m_2} \left[ \frac{c+\nu_1}{m_1} - \frac{c+\nu_3}{m_3} \right] + \frac{\mathbb{2}^{-}}{m_3} \left[ \frac{c-\nu_1}{m_1} + \frac{c-\nu_2}{m_2} \right] \right\} B^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}
$$

 $[u \equiv d + 3 - 2\nu \text{ and } c \equiv d + 2 - \nu \text{ as well as } \nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3]$ 

• can one solve this explicitly?

b trivial boundary cond:  $B^{000} = 0$ ,  $B^{\nu_1 00} = 0$ ,  $B^{\nu_1 \nu_2 0} = J_{\nu_1}(m_1) J_{\nu_2}(m_2)$  etc.

• experimental math: look at some low (index-) weight examples  $[x_{ij} \equiv m_i/m_j]$  are mass ratios

$$
B^{111} = \frac{(d-2)}{2(d-3)} \left\{ -\frac{B^{011}}{m_2 m_3} - \frac{B^{101}}{m_1 m_3} + \frac{B^{110}}{m_1 m_2} \right\}
$$
  

$$
B^{211} = \frac{(d-2)}{4(d-5)} \left\{ \frac{B^{011}}{m_2^2 m_3^2} + \left[ (d-4) \frac{m_3}{m_1} - 1 \right] \frac{B^{101}}{m_1^2 m_3^2} - \left[ (d-4) \frac{m_2}{m_1} + 1 \right] \frac{B^{110}}{m_1^2 m_2^2} \right\}
$$
...

7/16

### Continuum integral B

• observe lots of structure ⇒ boldly conjecture the full result

$$
B^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3} \stackrel{?!}{=} B_{110}^{110} \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2-1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_1, \nu_2; j}^{(\nu)} m_1^{d-\nu+j} m_2^{d-\nu-j} + (231) + (312)
$$

 $\rhd$  coefficients  $c_{\nu}^{(\nu)}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\nu_a, \nu_b)$  are rational functions in d

$$
\triangleright \underline{\text{ symmetries}} \ c_{\nu_a, \nu_b; j}^{(\nu)} = c_{\nu_b, \nu_a; -j}^{(\nu)} \ (\text{with special case} \ c_{\nu_a, \nu_b; 0}^{(\nu)} = c_{\nu_b, \nu_a; 0}^{(\nu)})
$$

- $\triangleright$  conjecture confirmed via recurrence to weight 18
- conjecture proven via induction over weight  $\nu$  [details in forthcoming paper]

- $\triangleright$  relying on the IBP recurrence
- $\triangleright$  lots of rearrangements of sums; add cleverly constructed zero
- $\triangleright$  proof is constructive: gives fast algorithm to recursively construct  $c$ 's
- at higher  $\nu$ ,  $c$ 's contain huge numerator polynomials; plus lots of structure not shown here
- obtained some interesting new analytic results, e.g. for  $B^{aac}$  and perms, such as

$$
B^{aac} = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} {\rm rat}_k^{ac}(d) \Bigg\{ \frac{B^{110}}{(m_1m_2)^{2a+c-2}} \frac{(m_1+m_2)^{2k}}{(m_1m_2)^k} + \sum_{j=0}^{c+k-1} {\rm rat}_{kj}^{ac}(d) \Bigg[ \frac{B^{101}}{(m_1m_3)^{2a+c-2}} \left( \frac{m_1}{m_3} \right)^{j-k} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \Bigg] \Bigg\}
$$

- $\triangleright$  needed  $B^{11c}$  as derived directly from corresponding limit of  $F_4$  representation
- $\triangleright$  coeffs rat known analytically

#### Continuum integral B

- to fix c's in practice, yet another recurrence is most useful ( $=$  fast)
- mixing a number of IBP and dimensional relations [extracted from Tarasov 1997]

$$
(d-2)(d+3-2\nu)B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d) = \lambda(\mathbb{1}^-, \mathbb{2}^-, \mathbb{3}^-) d^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d)
$$
  

$$
\lambda(a, b, c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2(ab + bc + ca)
$$
  

$$
d^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d-2)
$$

- $\triangleright$  reduces the weight  $\nu$  by two in each step; use until one  $\nu_i \to 0$  or -1
- > lift the neg. index via  $3^- B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,0} = \{2m_1m_2 + 1^- + 2^-\}\ B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,0}$  and perms
- $\triangleright$  recurrence does not contain explicit mass-factors
- $\triangleright$  know the boundary integrals for arbitrary dimension d
- there is much more to be discovered..
- important: IBP rel asserts that  $B$  is polynomial in masses; allows to tackle sums

### Back to sum-integrals

• reminder to self: wanted to evaluate two-loop sum-integral L

$$
\begin{array}{llll} L_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}^{\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3} & = & \frac{T^2[1+(-1)^{\eta_1}]}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu-\eta-2d}} \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{2}\, \delta_{\eta_1}\delta_{\eta_2}\delta_{\eta_3}\, B_{0,0,0}^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} \\[1.1ex] & + & \zeta(2\nu-\eta-2d) \Biggl[ (-)^{\eta_3}\delta_{\eta_1}B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} + \delta_{\eta_2}B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_3} + \delta_{\eta_3}B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_3,\nu_1,\nu_2} + \delta_{\eta_3}B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_3,\nu_1,\nu_2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_3\delta_{\eta_1}\, B_{0,1,1}^{\nu_2,\nu_3} \Biggr] \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{llllll} & H_{\eta_2,\nu_1}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} \\[1.1ex] & H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_2,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_2,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_2,\nu_3} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_2,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_2,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_2,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] & & H_{\eta_2,\eta_2,\nu_2}^{\nu_2,\nu_2,\nu_2} \\[1.1ex] &
$$

- $\triangleright$  need to to perform the remaining (Matsubara) double sums  $H,H$
- $\triangleright$  having the 'conjecture' at hand, the mass structure of  $B$  is explicit
- $\triangleright$  can work out the sums without specifying the coefficient functions  $c(d)$
- we will now show how the sums combine to
	- (a) evaluate to single and double zeta values only
	- (b) cancel all  $\zeta(i, j)$  in the sum of all four terms of 3rd line of L-decomposition
	- (c) cancel all remaining single  $\zeta(i)$  in 2nd line of L-decomposition
	- (d) leave us with products  $\zeta(i) \zeta(j)$  containing only  $\zeta(n_{\text{even}} d)$
- all of this allows us to write a compact final result, containing products of 1-loop tadpoles

### proof of statement (a)

- $\bullet~$  show that double sums evaluate to MZV only
- use the 'conjecture' for  $H$ 
	- $\triangleright$ re-express the 'unwanted mass' in the prefactor in terms of the two others (introduces an additional binomial sum)
	- $\triangleright~$  shift summation indices and use  $c$  -symmetries  $~[\text{def}~\ell_i \equiv \nu \eta_i j k]$

$$
H_{\eta_2\eta_1\eta_3}^{\nu_2\nu_1\nu_3} + H_{\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3}^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2-1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_1,\nu_2;j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_3} {\eta_3 \choose k} \sum_{n_1>n_2>0} \left( n_2^{d-\ell_1} n_1^{d-2\nu+\eta+\ell_1} + n_1^{d-\ell_1} n_2^{d-2\nu+\eta+\ell_1} \right) + (231) + (312)
$$

• this contains only two combinations of double sums [1st instance contains non-MZV, cancels in sum]

$$
\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \left( \frac{1}{n_1^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{n_2^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{1}{(n_1 + n_2)^{\beta}} = \zeta(\beta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \zeta(\alpha + \beta)
$$

$$
\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \left( \frac{1}{n_1^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n_2^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{n_2^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n_1^{\beta}} \right) = \zeta(\alpha) \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta)
$$

11/16

#### proof of statement (b)

- $\bullet~$  show that no MZV's contribute
- massaging  $\bar{H}$  as above

$$
\frac{\bar{H}^{\nu_3\nu_2\nu_1}_{\eta_3\eta_2\eta_1}}{B^{110}_{110}} \quad = \quad \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2-1} (-1)^j \, c^{(\nu)}_{\nu_1,\nu_2;j} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_3} { \eta_3 \choose k} (-1)^{k-\eta_3} \sum_{n_1>n_2>0} n_2^{d-2\nu+\eta+\ell_1} n_1^{d-\ell_1} + (231) + (312)
$$

• double sums results in multiple zeta values [def  $\ell_i = \nu - \eta_i - j - k$ ,  $d_i = \ell_i - d$  and  $e_i = 2\nu - d - \eta - \ell_1$ ]

$$
\begin{split} &\frac{\bar{H}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{2}\nu_{1}}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{2}\eta_{1}} + (-1)^{\eta_{3}}\bar{H}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}}[H^{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}\nu_{3}}_{\eta_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{3}} + H^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}_{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}}] \\ &\quad \ \ (-1)^{\nu}B^{110}_{110} \\ &\quad \ \ = \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1}c^{(\nu)}_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}\sum_{k=0}^{\eta_{3}}{n\choose k}\bigg\{(-)^{\ell_{3}}\bigg[\zeta(d_{1},e_{1}) + \zeta(e_{1},d_{1})\bigg] + (-)^{\eta_{2}}\bigg[\zeta(e_{1})\zeta(d_{1}) - \zeta(e_{1}+d_{1})\bigg]\bigg\} + (231) + (312) \end{split}
$$

• simplify via shuffle rel  $\zeta(a, b) + \zeta(b, a) = \zeta(a)\zeta(b) - \zeta(a + b)$ 

$$
\frac{\bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{2}\eta_{1}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{2}\nu_{1}} + (-1)^{\eta_{3}}\bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}}[H_{\eta_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}\nu_{3}} + H_{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}]}{(-1)^{\nu}B_{110}^{110}}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1} c_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_{3}} {n_{3} \choose k} \left\{ \left[ (-1)^{\ell_{3}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}} \right] \left[ \zeta(e_{1})\zeta(d_{1}) - \zeta(e_{1} + d_{1}) \right] \right\} + (231) + (312)
$$

### proof of statement (c)

- show that only products of single zeta values remain in L
- happy with the products of zetas (hints at 1-loop squared)
- remaining single zetas have arguments  $e_i + d_i = 2\nu 2d \eta \Rightarrow$  pull out of sums  $\triangleright$  k-sums are trivial:  $\sum$ N  $k=0$  $\bigwedge^N$ k  $x^k = (1+x)^N, \quad \sum$ N  $k=0$  $\bigwedge^N$ k  $(-1)^k = \delta_N$

 $\triangleright$  j-sum can then be seen to be nothing but the coefficients of single-scale cases of B

$$
\bar{H}_{\eta_3 \eta_2 \eta_1}^{\nu_3 \nu_2 \nu_1} + (-1)^{\eta_3} \bar{H}_{\eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2}^{\nu_3 \nu_1 \nu_2} + (-1)^{\eta_2} [H_{\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3}^{\nu_2 \nu_1 \nu_3} + H_{\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3}^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3}] \Big|_{\text{single zetas}}
$$
\n
$$
= -\zeta (2\nu - 2d - \eta) (-1)^{\nu} B_{110}^{110} \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2 - 1} c_{\nu_1, \nu_2; j}^{(\nu)} \Big[ (-1)^{\nu - \eta_3 - j} \delta_{\eta_3} + (-1)^{\eta_2} 2^{\eta_3} \Big] + (231) + (312)
$$
\n
$$
= -\zeta (2\nu - 2d - \eta) \Big[ \delta_{\eta_3} B_{011}^{\nu_3 \nu_1 \nu_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} B_{011}^{\nu_2 \nu_1 \nu_3} + (-1)^{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} B_{011}^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3} + (-1)^{\eta_2} 2^{\eta_3} B_{112}^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3} \Big]
$$

 $\triangleright$  cancels exactly against the 2nd line of L-decomposition

### proof of statement (d)

- show that only  $\zeta(n-d)$  at even integers n contribute
- $\bullet~$  re-write the specific combinations of prefactors  $~[\textrm{using}~\ell_i \equiv \nu \eta_i j k]$

$$
L_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3}^{\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3} = \frac{T^2 [1 + (-1)^{\eta}]}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu - \eta - 2d}} B_{110}^{110} \times \times \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2 - 1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_1, \nu_2; j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_3} {\eta_3 \choose k} (-1)^{\eta_2} [1 + (-1)^{\ell_1}] \zeta(\ell_1 - d) \zeta(2\nu - \eta - \ell_1 - d) + (231) + (312)
$$

• normalization factor 
$$
B_{110}^{110} \equiv \frac{\Gamma^2(1-d/2)}{(4\pi)^d} \equiv [J_1(d)]^2
$$

#### Result

• convert  $\zeta \to 1$ -loop sum-ints  $I_{\nu}^{\eta}$  $\sigma_n$  [use  $\sigma_n \equiv \text{Max}[n, 1]$  to take care of numerators]

$$
\zeta(2n-d) = \frac{(2\pi T)^{2n-d}}{T J_1(d)} \hat{I}_n , \text{ with 1-loop sum-int } \hat{I}_n \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_n)}{2\left(1 - \frac{d}{2}\right)_{\sigma_n - 1}} I_{\sigma_n}^{2\sigma_n - 2n}
$$

• final result [recall  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$ ,  $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$  and  $\ell_i = \nu - \eta_i - j - k$ ]

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3}^{\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3} = \left[1 + (-1)^{\eta}\right] \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2 - 1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_1, \nu_2; j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_3} {n_3 \choose k} (-1)^{\eta_2} \left[1 + (-1)^{\ell_1}\right] \hat{I}_{\underline{\ell_1}} \hat{I}_{\underline{2\nu - \eta - \ell_1}} + (231) + (312)
$$

• some examples (checks against literature, and new):

$$
L_{111}^{000} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{311}^{220} = -\frac{(d-4)(d^2 - 8d + 19)}{4(d-7)(d-5)} I_2^0 I_1^0
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{114}^{000} = -\frac{4 I_3^0 I_3^0}{(d-9)(d-7)(d-4)(d-2)} - \frac{6 I_2^0 I_4^0}{(d-9)(d-2)}
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{116}^{000} = -\frac{36 I_4^0 I_4^0}{(d-13)(d-11)(d-9)(d-6)(d-4)(d-2)} - \frac{48 I_3^0 I_5^0}{(d-13)(d-11)(d-4)(d-2)} - \frac{10 I_2^0 I_6^0}{(d-13)(d-2)}
$$

# **Outlook**

- thermal field theory: phenomenologically relevant for cosmology and HIC
	- $\triangleright$  perturbative tools (by far) not as well developed/automatized as for collider physics
	- $\triangleright$  here: first derivation of a parametric IBP solution
- massless 2-loop vacuum-type sum-integrals completely understood
	- $\triangleright$  get closed form for coeffs c?
- generalizations of 2-loop case?
	- $\triangleright$  massless fermions
	- $\triangleright$  massive particles (different game: not even massive 1-loop sum-int known analytically)
	- $\triangleright$  chemical potentials
- generalizations to higher loops?
	- $\triangleright$  some strikingly simple relations known via IBP e.g. 3-loop massless benz-type sum-integral vanishes
	- $\triangleright$  other known  $\varepsilon$ -expansions of 3-loop masters show more complicated structure
	- $\triangleright$  useful to exploit known properties of 3d massive integrals at  $T = 0$ ?
	- $\triangleright$  few useful analytic results available

### Continuum integral  $B$ : minimizing  $c$ 's

• express the coefficients  $c^{(\nu)}$  at  $j=0$  in terms of the  $j>0$  ones

 $\triangleright$  compare 'conjecture' with well-known result for 1-scale case  $B_{0,m,m}^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0, m, m & \end{bmatrix}$  [e.g. Vladimirov 1980]

$$
c_{\nu a,\nu_b;0}^{(\nu)} = \beta^{\nu-\nu_a-\nu_b,\nu_a,\nu_b} - \sum_{j=1-\nu_a}^{\nu_b-1} (-1)^j c_{\nu_a,\nu_b;j}^{(\nu)} [1-\delta_j]
$$
  

$$
\beta^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\nu_1+\nu_2-d/2)\Gamma(\nu_1+\nu_3-d/2)\Gamma(d/2-\nu_1)\Gamma(\nu-d)}{\Gamma(\nu_2)\Gamma(\nu_3)\Gamma^2(1-d/2)\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(\nu_1+\nu-d)}
$$

 $\triangleright$  in view of symmetries of the c's, it is now sufficient to specify them for  $j > 0$