From sum-integrals to continuum integrals and back

York Schröder (UBB Chillán)

based on recent work with Andrei Davydychev

and earlier work with I. Ghişoiu, J. Möller

Radcor 2019, Avignon

- Finite-temperature field theory
 - ▷ fairly mature subject; textbooks [Kapusta 89; LeBellac 00; Kapusta/Gale 06; Laine/Vuorinen 17]
 - ▷ relevant in cosmology (mostly weak int; QCD as background) early univ, equilibration, $T_{max} = ?$ DM searches, relic densities
 - ▷ relevant in HIC (mainly QCD) fireball lifetime ~ 10 fm/c; $T_{max} \sim 10^2$ MeV particle yields, jet quenching, plasma hydro
- equilibrium thermodynamics: imaginary time formalism, $t \to i \tau$
 - ▷ (grand) canonical ensemble, $Z(T, \mu) = \text{Tr}[e^{-(\hat{H} \mu\hat{N})/T}]$
 - ▷ path int quant, fields periodic: $Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\int_0^{1/T} \int d^d x \, \mathcal{L}_E}$

$$\Leftarrow d = 3 - 2\varepsilon$$

- ▷ Fourier trafo discrete; mom-space measure $T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \equiv \oint_{P}$
- ▷ bosonic prop $\sim [(2n\pi T)^2 + \vec{p}^2 + m^2]^{-1}$
- ▷ Dirac prop ~ $[i\gamma_0((2n+1)\pi T + i\mu) + i\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} + m]^{-1}$
- upshot: integrals \rightarrow sum-integrals

- clean sub-problem: vacuum-type sum-integrals
 - $\triangleright\,$ relevance: free energy $f=-T\ln Z$ of a thermal system
 - \triangleright EoS, expansion rate, etc.
 - $\triangleright~$ in many settings, QCD effects dominant

 $\left[{\rm Linde} / {\rm IR} \ {\rm problem} \ {\rm tamed} \ {\rm by} \ {\rm EFT's} \right]$

- even cleaner: massless bosonic (think gluons) vacuum-type sum-integrals
 - \triangleright state-of-the-art: 1-, 2-loop OK; 3-loop; isolated 4loop cases.

• first example: LO / 1-loop bosonic tadpole

• recall
$$T = 0$$
 case: $J_{\nu}(m) \equiv \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[p^2 + m^2]^{\nu}} = [m^2]^{d/2 - \nu} \times \frac{\Gamma(\nu - d/2)}{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(\nu)}$

• at $T \neq 0$ therefore [writing $P^2 = P_0^2 + \vec{p}^2$ with $P_0 = 2n\pi T$, and *d*-dim vector \vec{p}]

$$I_{\nu}^{\eta}(d) \equiv \oint_{P} \frac{(P_{0})^{\eta}}{[P^{2}]^{\nu}} = \delta_{\eta} J_{\nu}(0) + [1 + (-1)^{\eta}] T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n\pi T)^{\eta} J_{\nu}(2n\pi T)$$
$$= 0 + \frac{[1 + (-1)^{\eta}] T \zeta(2\nu - \eta - d)}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu - \eta - d}} \frac{\Gamma(\nu - \frac{d}{2})}{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(\nu)}$$

 \triangleright note that 'thermal part' has the form $\zeta(n_{\mathrm{even}}-d)$

- massless sum-integral \Leftrightarrow massive (T=0) integral
- relevance: free E, selfE's, Debye screening masses, etc.
 - ▷ example: blackbody radiation / Stefan-Boltzmann law at LO

$$f_{QED} = -\frac{\pi^2 T^4}{90} [2 + 4\frac{7}{8}N_f] \qquad [\leftrightarrow \text{ expansion rate of univ at } T \sim \text{MeV}]$$

$$f_{QCD} = -\frac{\pi^2 T^4}{90} [2(N_c^2 - 1) + 4N_c\frac{7}{8}N_f]$$

- next step: NLO / 2-loop
 - $\,\triangleright\,$ a number of worked-out examples in the literature
 - ▷ general observation: factorization $\oint_{PQ} (\cdots) \sim [\oint_{P} (\cdots)] \times [\oint_{Q} (\cdots)]$
 - $\triangleright~$ confirmed by (thermal adaptation) of IBP
 - $\triangleright \Rightarrow Q$: is this a theorem?

[A: YES (for bos, $m = \mu = 0$)]

- at higher orders (or with $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ from IBP pre-factors) need higher ε -terms of 2-loop sum-ints
 - \triangleright generic analytic results (in d) would be useful
- goal: devise a constructive proof of 2-loop factorization

Setup

- recall from 1-loop: massless sum-integral \Leftrightarrow massive (T=0) integral
- define massive 2-loop vacuum integral in d dimensions [we are interested in $d = 3 2\varepsilon$]

$$B_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} \equiv \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[m_1^2 + p^2]^{\nu_1} [m_2^2 + q^2]^{\nu_2} [m_3^2 + (p-q)^2]^{\nu_3}}$$

• define massless bosonic 2-loop vacuum sum-integral $[\nu \equiv \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 \text{ and } \eta \equiv \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3]$

$$L_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2},\nu_{3}}^{\eta_{1},\eta_{2},\eta_{3}} \equiv \oint_{PQ} \frac{(P_{0})^{\eta_{1}} (Q_{0})^{\eta_{2}} (P_{0} - Q_{0})^{\eta_{3}}}{[P^{2}]^{\nu_{1}} [Q^{2}]^{\nu_{2}} [(P - Q)^{2}]^{\nu_{3}}} \\ = \frac{T^{2}}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu - \eta - 2d}} \sum_{n_{1},n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}} n_{1}^{\eta_{1}} n_{2}^{\eta_{2}} (n_{1} - n_{2})^{\eta_{3}} B_{n_{1},n_{2},n_{1} - n_{2}}^{\nu_{1},\nu_{2},\nu_{3}}$$

• remaining task: do double sum over known analytic result for B

[Davydychev/Tausk 1992]

- \triangleright known result is in terms of Appell's hypergeometric function F_4
- \triangleright not practical: four infinite sums
- can do (much) better: 'masses' are linearly related \Rightarrow finite sums
 - $\triangleright~$ examine B from scratch, at special kinematic point



- sort out the cases where masses of B can vanish
 - decompose double-sum into sectors where 'masses' are always positive
 - ▷ take into account that B depends on m_i^2 , use the integral's symmetry

$$\begin{split} L^{\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3}_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} &= \frac{T^2 \left[1+(-1)^{\eta}\right]}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu-\eta-2d}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \,\delta\eta_1 \delta\eta_2 \delta\eta_3 \, B^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}_{0,0,0} \\ &+ \zeta (2\nu-\eta-2d) \left[(-)^{\eta_3} \delta\eta_1 B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}_{0,1,1} + \delta\eta_2 B^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_3}_{0,1,1} + \delta\eta_3 B^{\nu_3,\nu_1,\nu_2}_{0,1,1} + 2^{\eta_3} (-)^{\eta_2} B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}_{1,1,2} \right] \\ &+ \left. \bar{H}^{\nu_3,\nu_2,\nu_1}_{\eta_3,\eta_2,\eta_1} + (-)^{\eta_3} \bar{H}^{\nu_3,\nu_1,\nu_2}_{\eta_3,\eta_1,\eta_2} + (-)^{\eta_2} H^{\nu_2,\nu_1,\nu_3}_{\eta_2,\eta_1,\eta_3} + (-)^{\eta_2} H^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}_{\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3} \right\} \end{split}$$

- 1st line: no-scale case of $B \to \text{massless tadpole}, = 0$ in dim reg
- 2nd line: single-scale cases of B, double sum trivial $\rightarrow \zeta$ [explicit results not needed here]
- 3rd line: two types of double sums, each over B with $m_1 + m_2 = m_3$

$$\begin{split} H^{\nu_a,\nu_b,\nu_c}_{\eta_a,\eta_b,\eta_c} &\equiv \sum_{n_1>n_2>0} n_1^{\eta_a} n_2^{\eta_b} (n_1+n_2)^{\eta_c} B^{\nu_a,\nu_b,\nu_c}_{n_1,n_2,n_1+n_2} \\ \bar{H}^{\nu_a,\nu_b,\nu_c}_{\eta_a,\eta_b,\eta_c} &\equiv \sum_{n_1>n_2>0} (n_1-n_2)^{\eta_a} n_2^{\eta_b} n_1^{\eta_c} B^{\nu_a,\nu_b,\nu_c}_{n_1-n_2,n_2,n_1} \end{split}$$



Continuum integral B

• recall: need 2-loop massive vacuum integral
$$B_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}$$
 at $m_3 = m_1 + m_2$ (all $m_i > 0$)

• IBP gives a recurrence that allows to shrink one line

$$2uB^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} = \left\{\frac{1}{m_1}\left[\frac{c+\nu_2}{m_2} - \frac{c+\nu_3}{m_3}\right] + \frac{2}{m_2}\left[\frac{c+\nu_1}{m_1} - \frac{c+\nu_3}{m_3}\right] + \frac{3}{m_3}\left[\frac{c-\nu_1}{m_1} + \frac{c-\nu_2}{m_2}\right]\right\}B^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}$$

 $[u \equiv d + 3 - 2\nu \text{ and } c \equiv d + 2 - \nu \text{ as well as } \nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3]$

• can one solve this explicitly?

▷ trivial boundary cond: $B^{000} = 0, B^{\nu_1 00} = 0, B^{\nu_1 \nu_2 0} = J_{\nu_1}(m_1) J_{\nu_2}(m_2)$ etc.

• experimental math: look at some low (index-) weight examples $[x_{ij} \equiv m_i/m_j \text{ are mass ratios}]$

$$B^{111} = \frac{(d-2)}{2(d-3)} \left\{ -\frac{B^{011}}{m_2 m_3} - \frac{B^{101}}{m_1 m_3} + \frac{B^{110}}{m_1 m_2} \right\}$$
$$B^{211} = \frac{(d-2)}{4(d-5)} \left\{ \frac{B^{011}}{m_2^2 m_3^2} + \left[(d-4)\frac{m_3}{m_1} - 1 \right] \frac{B^{101}}{m_1^2 m_3^2} - \left[(d-4)\frac{m_2}{m_1} + 1 \right] \frac{B^{110}}{m_1^2 m_2^2} \right\}$$
$$\dots$$

7/16

[Tarasov 1997]

Continuum integral *B*

• observe lots of structure \Rightarrow boldly conjecture the full result

$$B^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} \stackrel{?!}{=} B^{110}_{110} \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2-1} (-1)^{\nu} c^{(\nu)}_{\nu_1,\nu_2;j} m_1^{d-\nu+j} m_2^{d-\nu-j} + (231) + (312)$$

▷ coefficients $c_{\nu_a,\nu_b;j}^{(\nu)}$ are rational functions in d

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ symmetries } c_{\nu_a,\nu_b;j}^{(\nu)} = c_{\nu_b,\nu_a;-j}^{(\nu)} \text{ (with special case } c_{\nu_a,\nu_b;0}^{(\nu)} = c_{\nu_b,\nu_a;0}^{(\nu)} \text{)}$$

- \triangleright conjecture confirmed via recurrence to weight 18
- conjecture proven via induction over weight u

[details in forthcoming paper]

- ▷ relying on the IBP recurrence
- \triangleright lots of rearrangements of sums; add cleverly constructed zero
- $\triangleright\,$ proof is constructive: gives fast algorithm to recursively construct c 's
- at higher ν , c's contain huge numerator polynomials; plus lots of structure not shown here
- obtained some interesting new analytic results, e.g. for B^{aac} and perms, such as

$$B^{aac} = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \operatorname{rat}_{k}^{ac}(d) \left\{ \frac{B^{110}}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{2a+c-2}} \frac{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2k}}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{k}} + \sum_{j=0}^{c+k-1} \operatorname{rat}_{kj}^{ac}(d) \left[\frac{B^{101}}{(m_{1}m_{3})^{2a+c-2}} \left(\frac{m_{1}}{m_{3}} \right)^{j-k} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \right\}$$

- ▷ needed B^{11c} as derived directly from corresponding limit of F_4 representation
- \triangleright coeffs rat known analytically

Continuum integral *B*

• to fix c's in practice, yet another recurrence is most useful (= fast)

• mixing a number of IBP and dimensional relations

[extracted from Tarasov 1997]

$$(d-2)(d+3-2\nu)B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d) = \lambda(1^-, 2^-, 3^-) dt^- B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d)$$
$$\lambda(a, b, c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2(ab + bc + ca)$$
$$dt^- B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}(d-2)$$

- \triangleright reduces the weight ν by two in each step; use until one $\nu_i \rightarrow 0$ or -1
- ▷ lift the neg. index via $\mathbf{3}^{-} B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,0} = \{2m_1m_2 + \mathbf{1}^{-} + \mathbf{2}^{-}\} B^{\nu_1,\nu_2,0}$ and perms
- $\triangleright~$ recurrence does not contain explicit mass-factors
- \triangleright know the boundary integrals for arbitrary dimension d
- there is much more to be discovered..
- important: IBP rel asserts that B is polynomial in masses; allows to tackle sums

Back to sum-integrals

• reminder to self: wanted to evaluate two-loop sum-integral L



- \triangleright need to to perform the remaining (Matsubara) double sums H, \overline{H}
- \triangleright having the 'conjecture' at hand, the mass structure of B is explicit
- \triangleright can work out the sums without specifying the coefficient functions c(d)
- we will now show how the sums combine to
 - (a) evaluate to single and double zeta values only
 - (b) cancel all $\zeta(i, j)$ in the sum of all four terms of 3rd line of *L*-decomposition
 - (c) cancel all remaining single $\zeta(i)$ in 2nd line of *L*-decomposition
 - (d) leave us with products $\zeta(i)\,\zeta(j)$ containing only $\zeta(n_{\mathrm{even}}-d)$
- all of this allows us to write a compact final result, containing products of 1-loop tadpoles

proof of statement (a)

- show that double sums evaluate to MZV only
- use the 'conjecture' for H
 - re-express the 'unwanted mass' in the prefactor in terms of the two others (introduces an additional binomial sum)
 - $\triangleright~$ shift summation indices and use $c\text{-symmetries}~[\det\ell_i\equiv\nu-\eta_i-j-k]$

$$\frac{H_{\eta_2\eta_1\eta_3}^{\nu_2\nu_1\nu_3} + H_{\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3}^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}}{B_{110}^{110}} = \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2-1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_1,\nu_2;j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_3} {\eta_3 \choose k} \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \left(n_2^{d-\ell_1} n_1^{d-2\nu+\eta+\ell_1} + n_1^{d-\ell_1} n_2^{d-2\nu+\eta+\ell_1} \right) + (231) + (312)$$

• this contains only two combinations of double sums [1st instance contains non-MZV, cancels in sum]

$$\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \left(\frac{1}{n_1^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{n_2^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{1}{(n_1 + n_2)^{\beta}} = \zeta(\beta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \zeta(\alpha + \beta)$$
$$\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \left(\frac{1}{n_1^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n_2^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{n_2^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n_1^{\beta}} \right) = \zeta(\alpha) \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta)$$

11/16

proof of statement (b)

- show that no MZV's contribute
- massaging \bar{H} as above

$$\frac{\bar{H}_{\eta_3\eta_2\eta_1}^{\nu_3\nu_2\nu_1}}{B_{110}^{110}} = \sum_{j=1-\nu_1}^{\nu_2-1} (-1)^j c_{\nu_1,\nu_2;j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_3} {\eta_3 \choose k} (-1)^{k-\eta_3} \sum_{n_1>n_2>0} n_2^{d-2\nu+\eta+\ell_1} n_1^{d-\ell_1} + (231) + (312)$$

• double sums results in multiple zeta values $[\det \ell_i = \nu - \eta_i - j - k, d_i = \ell_i - d \text{ and } e_i = 2\nu - d - \eta - \ell_1]$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{2}\eta_{1}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{2}\nu_{1}} + (-1)^{\eta_{3}}\bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}}[H_{\eta_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}\nu_{3}} + H_{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}]}{(-1)^{\nu}B_{110}^{110}} \\ & = \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1} c_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_{3}} {\eta_{3} \choose k} \left\{ (-)^{\ell_{3}} \left[\zeta(d_{1},e_{1}) + \zeta(e_{1},d_{1}) \right] + (-)^{\eta_{2}} \left[\zeta(e_{1})\zeta(d_{1}) - \zeta(e_{1}+d_{1}) \right] \right\} + (231) + (312) \end{split}$$

• simplify via shuffle rel $\zeta(a, b) + \zeta(b, a) = \zeta(a)\zeta(b) - \zeta(a + b)$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{2}\eta_{1}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{2}\nu_{1}} + (-1)^{\eta_{3}}\bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}}[H_{\eta_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}\nu_{3}} + H_{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}]}{(-1)^{\nu}B_{110}^{110}} \\ & = \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1} c_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_{3}} {\eta_{3} \choose k} \left\{ \left[(-1)^{\ell_{3}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}} \right] \left[\zeta(e_{1})\zeta(d_{1}) - \zeta(e_{1}+d_{1}) \right] \right\} + (231) + (312) \end{split}$$

proof of statement (c)

- show that only products of single zeta values remain in L
- happy with the products of zetas (hints at 1-loop squared)
- remaining single zetas have arguments $e_i + d_i = 2\nu 2d \eta \Rightarrow$ pull out of sums • k-sums are trivial: $\sum_{k=0}^{N} {N \choose k} x^k = (1+x)^N$, $\sum_{k=0}^{N} {N \choose k} (-1)^k = \delta_N$

 \triangleright *j*-sum can then be seen to be nothing but the coefficients of single-scale cases of *B*

$$\begin{split} \bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{2}\eta_{1}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{2}\nu_{1}} + (-1)^{\eta_{3}} \bar{H}_{\eta_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}} [H_{\eta_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}\nu_{3}} + H_{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}] \Big|_{\text{single zetas}} \\ &= -\zeta (2\nu - 2d - \eta) (-1)^{\nu} B_{110}^{110} \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1} c_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}^{(\nu)} \Big[(-1)^{\nu - \eta_{3}-j} \delta_{\eta_{3}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}} 2^{\eta_{3}} \Big] + (231) + (312) \\ &= -\zeta (2\nu - 2d - \eta) \Big[\delta_{\eta_{3}} B_{011}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{1}\nu_{2}} + \delta_{\eta_{2}} B_{011}^{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}\nu_{3}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}} \delta_{\eta_{1}} B_{011}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}} + (-1)^{\eta_{2}} 2^{\eta_{3}} B_{112}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}} \Big] \end{split}$$

 \triangleright cancels exactly against the 2nd line of *L*-decomposition

proof of statement (d)

- show that only $\zeta(n-d)$ at even integers n contribute
- re-write the specific combinations of prefactors $[\, \underset{i}{\operatorname{using}} \, \ell_i \equiv \nu \eta_i j k]$

$$L_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}^{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}} = \frac{T^{2}[1+(-1)^{\eta}]}{(2\pi T)^{2\nu-\eta-2d}} B_{110}^{110} \times \\ \times \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_{3}} {\eta_{3} \choose k} (-1)^{\eta_{2}} [1+(-1)^{\ell_{1}}] \zeta(\ell_{1}-d) \zeta(2\nu-\eta-\ell_{1}-d) \\ + (231) + (312)$$

• normalization factor
$$B_{110}^{110} \equiv \frac{\Gamma^2(1-d/2)}{(4\pi)^d} \equiv [J_1(d)]^2$$

Result

• convert $\zeta \to 1$ -loop sum-ints I_{ν}^{η} [use $\sigma_n \equiv \max[n, 1]$ to take care of numerators]

$$\zeta(2n-d) = \frac{(2\pi T)^{2n-d}}{T J_1(d)} \hat{I}_n , \text{ with 1-loop sum-int} \quad \hat{I}_n \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_n)}{2\left(1-\frac{d}{2}\right)_{\sigma_n-1}} I_{\sigma_n}^{2\sigma_n-2n}$$

• final result [recall $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$, $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$ and $\ell_i = \nu - \eta_i - j - k$]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}}^{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\eta_{3}} = \left[1 + (-1)^{\eta}\right] \sum_{j=1-\nu_{1}}^{\nu_{2}-1} (-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2};j}^{(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\eta_{3}} {\eta_{3} \choose k} (-1)^{\eta_{2}} \left[1 + (-1)^{\ell_{1}}\right] \hat{I}_{\underline{\ell_{1}}} \hat{I}_{\underline{2\nu-\eta-\ell_{1}}} + (231) + (312)$$

• some examples (checks against literature, and new):

$$\begin{split} L_{111}^{000} &= 0 \\ L_{311}^{220} &= -\frac{(d-4)(d^2-8d+19)}{4(d-7)(d-5)} I_2^0 I_1^0 \\ L_{114}^{000} &= -\frac{4 I_3^0 I_3^0}{(d-9)(d-7)(d-4)(d-2)} - \frac{6 I_2^0 I_4^0}{(d-9)(d-2)} \\ L_{116}^{000} &= -\frac{36 I_4^0 I_4^0}{(d-13)(d-11)(d-9)(d-6)(d-4)(d-2)} - \frac{48 I_3^0 I_5^0}{(d-13)(d-11)(d-4)(d-2)} - \frac{10 I_2^0 I_6^0}{(d-13)(d-13)(d-2)} \\ \end{split}$$

Outlook

- thermal field theory: phenomenologically relevant for cosmology and HIC
 - ▶ perturbative tools (by far) not as well developed/automatized as for collider physics
 - $\triangleright\,$ here: first derivation of a parametric IBP solution
- massless 2-loop vacuum-type sum-integrals completely understood
 - \triangleright get closed form for coeffs c?
- generalizations of 2-loop case?
 - \triangleright massless fermions
 - massive particles
 (different game: not even massive 1-loop sum-int known analytically)
 - \triangleright chemical potentials
- generalizations to higher loops?
 - some strikingly simple relations known via IBP
 e.g. 3-loop massless benz-type sum-integral vanishes
 - $\triangleright~$ other known $\varepsilon\text{-expansions}$ of 3-loop masters show more complicated structure
 - \triangleright useful to exploit known properties of 3d massive integrals at T = 0?
 - \triangleright few useful analytic results available

Continuum integral *B***: minimizing** *c***'s**

• express the coefficients $c^{(\nu)}$ at j = 0 in terms of the j > 0 ones

▷ compare 'conjecture' with well-known result for 1-scale case $B_{0,m,m}^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}$ [e.g. Vladimirov 1980]

$$c_{\nu a,\nu_b;0}^{(\nu)} = \beta^{\nu-\nu a-\nu_b,\nu_a,\nu_b} - \sum_{j=1-\nu_a}^{\nu_b-1} (-1)^j c_{\nu a,\nu_b;j}^{(\nu)} [1-\delta_j]$$

$$\beta^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\nu_1+\nu_2-d/2)\Gamma(\nu_1+\nu_3-d/2)\Gamma(d/2-\nu_1)\Gamma(\nu-d)}{\Gamma(\nu_2)\Gamma(\nu_3)\Gamma^2(1-d/2)\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(\nu_1+\nu-d)}$$

 \triangleright in view of symmetries of the c's, it is now sufficient to specify them for j > 0