Intersection Theory and Higgs physics

Hjalte Frellesvig

Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Galileo Galilei", University of Padova.

September 11, 2019

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

Introduction

Published for SISSA by $\widehat{\Phi}$ Springer

Received: February 13, 2019 Revised: April 10, 2019 Accepted: May 5, 2019 Published: May 24, 2019

Decomposition of Feynman integrals on the maximal cut by intersection numbers

Hjalte Frellesvig,^{a,b} Federico Gasparotto,^{a,b} Stefano Laporta,^{a,b} Manoj K. Mandal,^{a,b} Pierpaolo Mastrolia, a,b Luca Mattiazzibe and Sebastian Mizera a,d ^a Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy ^bINFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy ^cPerimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics,

31 Caroline St N, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada ^dDepartment of Physics & Astronomy, University of Waterloo, 200 University Ave W, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada E-mail: hjalte.frellesvig@pd.infn.it, federico.gasparotto@pd.infn.it, stefano.laporta@pd.infn.it, manojkumar.mandal@pd.infn.it, pierpaolo.mastrolia@pd.infn.it, luca.mattiazzi@pd.infn.it, smizera@pitp.ca

Abstract: We elaborate on the recent idea of a direct decomposition of Feynman integrals onto a basis of master integrals on maximal cuts using intersection numbers. We begin by showing an application of the method to the derivation of contiguity relations for special functions, such as the Euler beta function, the Gauss ²F¹ hypergeometric function, and the Appell F₁ function. Then, we apply the new method to decompose Feynman integrals whose maximal cuts admit 1-form integral representations, including examples that have from two to an arbitrary number of loops, and/or from zero to an arbitrary number of legs. Direct constructions of differential equations and dimensional recurrence relations for Feynman integrals are also discussed. We present two novel approaches to decompositionby-intersections in cases where the maximal cuts admit a 2-form integral representation, with a view towards the extension of the formalism to n-form representations. The decomposition formulae computed through the use of intersection numbers are directly verified to agree with the ones obtained using integration-by-parts identities.

Keywords: Scattering Amplitudes, Differential and Algebraic Geometry

ArXiv ePrint: 1901.11510

Open Access, $@$ The Authors. Article funded by SCOAP³

. https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)153

 \circ 201 Ē \sim $\overline{}$ Ξ Ä. Ě $\overline{}$ moncu 907. t

JHEP05(2019)153

Vector Space of Feynman Integrals and Multivariate Intersection Numbers

Hjalte Frellesvig,* * * Federico Gasparotto,* * † Manoj K. Mandal,* * * ∗
Pierpaolo Mastrolia,^{1, 2, §} Luca Mattiazzi,^{2, ⊥},¶ and Sebastian Mizera^{3, 4,} **

¹Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy ² INFN. Sezione di Padova. Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova. Italy INFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy ³Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada ⁴Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada

(Dated: July 4, 2019)

Feynman integrals obey linear relations governed by intersection numbers, which act as scalar products between vector spaces. We present a general algorithm for constructing multivariate intersection numbers relevant to Feynman integrals, and show for the first time how they can be used to solve the problem of integral reduction to a basis of master integrals by projections, and to directly derive functional equations fulfilled by the latter. We apply it to the derivation of contiguity relations for special functions admitting multi-fold integral representations, and to the decomposition of a few Feynman integrals at one- and two-loops, as first steps towards potential applications to generic multi-loop integrals.

INTRODUCTION

Scattering amplitudes encode crucial information about collision phenomena in our universe, from the smallest to the largest scales. Within the perturbative fieldtheoretical approach, the evaluation of multi-loop Feynman integrals is a mandatory operation for the determination of scattering amplitudes and related quantities. Linear relations among Feynman integrals can be exploited to simplify the evaluation of scattering amplitudes: they can be used both for decomposing scattering amplitudes in terms of a basis of functions, referred to as master
integrals (MIc), and for the conjunction of the latter. The integrals (MIs), and for the evaluation of the latter. The standard procedure used to derive relations among Feynman integrals in dimensional regularization makes use of integration-by-parts identities (IBPs) [1], which are found by solving linear systems of equations [2] (see [3, 4] and references therein for reviews). Algebraic manipulations in these cases are very demanding, and efficient algorithms for solving large-size systems of linear equations have been recently devised, by making use of finite field arithmetic and rational functions reconstruction [5–7]. arXiv:1907.02000v1 [hep-th] 3 Jul 2019

In [8], it was shown that intersection numbers [9] of differential forms can be employed to define (what amounts to) a scalar product on a vector space of Feynman integrals in a given family. Using this approach, projecting any multi-loop integral onto a basis of MIs is conceptually no different from decomposing a generic vector into a basis of a vector space. Within this new approach, relations among Feynman integrals can be derived avoiding the generation of intermediate, auxiliary expressions which are needed when applying Gauss elimination, as in the standard IBP-based approaches.

In the initial studies, [8, 10], this novel decomposition method was applied to the realm of special mathematical functions falling in the class of Lauricella functions, as well as to Feynman integrals on maximal cuts, i.e. with on-shell internal lines, mostly admitting a one-fold integral representation. Those results concerned a partial construction of Feynman integral relations, mainly lim-ited to the determination of the coefficients of the MIs with the same number of denominators as the integral to decompose, which was achieved by means of intersection numbers for univariate forms.

In this paper, we make an important step further, and address the complete integral reduction, for the determination of all coefficients, including those associated to MIs corresponding to sub-graphs. In the current work, we discuss the one-loop massless four-point integral as a paradigmatic case, although the algorithm has been successfully applied to several other cases at one- and two-loop.
Generic Feynman integrals admit multi-fold integral

representations. Their complete decomposition requires representations. Their complete decomposition requires the evaluation of intersection numbers for multivariate rational differential forms. Intersection numbers of multivariate forms have been previously studied in [11–19]. Recently, a new recursive algorithm was introduced in [20]. In this letter, we present its refined implementation and application to Feynman integrals, which provide a major step towards large-scale applicability of our strategy for the reduction to MIs. The results of this work show potential for further applications ranging from particle physics, through condensed matter and statistical mechanics, to gravitational-wave physics, while making new connections to mathematics.

INTEGRALS AND DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

In this work, we focus on integrals of the hypergeometric type,

$$
I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u(\mathbf{z}) \, \varphi(\mathbf{z}) \ , \eqno{(1)}
$$

H. Frellesvig [Intersection Theory](#page-0-0) September 11, 2019 2 / 15

For state-of-the art two-loop scattering amplitude calculations Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(10000)$ Feynman integrals

Linear relations bring this down to $\mathcal{O}(100)$ master integrals

For state-of-the art two-loop scattering amplitude calculations Feynman diagrams \rightarrow $\mathcal{O}(10000)$ Feynman integrals

Linear relations bring this down to $\mathcal{O}(100)$ master integrals

Linear relations may be derived using IBP (integration by part) identities

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{\mu}} \frac{q^{\mu} N(k)}{D_1^{a_1}(k) \cdots D_P^{a_P}(k)} = 0
$$

Systematic by Laporta's algorithm \Rightarrow Solve a huge linear system.

For state-of-the art two-loop scattering amplitude calculations Feynman diagrams \rightarrow $\mathcal{O}(10000)$ Feynman integrals

Linear relations bring this down to $\mathcal{O}(100)$ master integrals

Linear relations may be derived using IBP (integration by part) identities

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{\mu}} \frac{q^{\mu} N(k)}{D_1^{a_1}(k) \cdots D_P^{a_P}(k)} = 0
$$

Systematic by Laporta's algorithm \Rightarrow Solve a huge linear system.

The linear relations are often informally referred to as IBPs as well.

The linear relations form a vector space

$$
I = \sum_{i \in \text{master}} c_i I_i
$$

Subsectors are sub-spaces.

The linear relations form a vector space

$$
I = \sum_{i \in \text{master}} c_i I_i
$$

Subsectors are sub-spaces.

Not all vector spaces are inner product spaces

$$
\langle v| = \sum_{i} \langle vw_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \langle v_i| \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle v_i w_j \rangle
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} c_i \langle v_i|
$$

The linear relations form a vector space

$$
I = \sum_{i \in \text{master}} c_i I_i
$$

Subsectors are sub-spaces.

Not all vector spaces are inner product spaces

$$
\langle v| = \sum_{i} \langle vw_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \langle v_i| \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle v_i w_j \rangle
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} c_i \langle v_i|
$$

If only there were a way to define an inner product for Feynman integrals...

Baikov representation

$$
I = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_1}{\pi^{d/2}} \cdots \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_L}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{N(k)}{D_1^{a_1}(k) \cdots D_P^{a_P}(k)} = K \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}^n x \, \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\gamma}(x) N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}}
$$

The x_i are Baikov variables, B is the Baikov Polynomial, $C = \{B > 0\}$. $n = L(L+1)/2+EL$ $\gamma = (d-E-L-1)/2$

P. Baikov: Nucl. Instrum. Meth.A 389 (1997) 347–349, [hep-ph/9611449]

Baikov representation

$$
I = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_1}{\pi^{d/2}} \cdots \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_L}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{N(k)}{D_1^{a_1}(k) \cdots D_P^{a_P}(k)} = K \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}^n x \, \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\gamma}(x) N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}}
$$

The x_i are Baikov variables, B is the Baikov Polynomial, $C = \{B > 0\}$. $n = L(L+1)/2+EL$ $\gamma = (d-E-L-1)/2$

P. Baikov: Nucl. Instrum. Meth.A 389 (1997) 347–349, [hep-ph/9611449]

The loop-by-loop version of Baikov representation can often decrease n

$$
I = \tilde{K} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}^{\tilde{n}} x \, \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{2L-1} \mathcal{B}_j^{\gamma_j}(x)\right) N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}}
$$

HF and C. Papadopoulos, JHEP 04 (2017) 083, [arXiv:1701.07356]

Baikov representation

$$
I = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_1}{\pi^{d/2}} \cdots \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_L}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{N(k)}{D_1^{a_1}(k) \cdots D_P^{a_P}(k)} = K \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}^n x \, \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\gamma}(x) N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}}
$$

The x_i are Baikov variables, $\mathcal B$ is the Baikov Polynomial, $\mathcal C = \{ \mathcal B > 0 \}.$ $n = L(L+1)/2+EL$ $\gamma = (d-E-L-1)/2$

P. Baikov: Nucl. Instrum. Meth.A 389 (1997) 347–349, [hep-ph/9611449]

The loop-by-loop version of Baikov representation can often decrease \overline{n}

$$
I=\tilde{K}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\!\mathrm{d}^{\tilde{n}}x\,\frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{2L-1}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\gamma_{j}}(x)\right)N(x)}{x_{1}^{a_{1}}\cdots x_{P}^{a_{P}}}
$$

HF and C. Papadopoulos, JHEP 04 (2017) 083, [arXiv:1701.07356]

Baikov representation is suitable for generalized unitarity cuts $\int dx \rightarrow \oint dx$. Preserve linear relations.

J. Bosma, M. Søgaard, Y. Zhang, JHEP 08 (2017) 051, [arXiv:1704.04255]

$$
I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^n x \, \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\gamma}(x) N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \phi
$$

 $u = \mathcal{B}^{\gamma}$ is a multivariate function in $\{x\}$ $\phi = \frac{N(x)}{x^{a_1} \cdots x^b}$ $\frac{x_1^{N(x)}}{x_1^a{}^1\cdots x_P^{a_P}}\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_n$ is a form

$$
I=\int_{\mathcal{C}}\!\mathrm{d}^n x\,\frac{\mathcal{B}^{\gamma}(x)N(x)}{x_1^{a_1}\cdots x_P^{a_P}}=\int_{\mathcal{C}}u\phi=\langle\phi|\mathcal{C}]\omega
$$

$$
u = \mathcal{B}^{\gamma}
$$
 is a multivariate function in $\{x\}$

$$
\phi = \frac{N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n
$$
 is a form

$$
\omega = d \log(u)
$$
 is the twist

 $\langle \phi | \mathcal{C} |_{\omega}$ is a pairing of a twisted cycle (\mathcal{C}) and a twisted co-cycle (ϕ) (equivalence classes of contours and integrands respectively)

dim of the set of ϕ s, is the number of master integrals.

$$
I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^n x \, \frac{\mathcal{B}^{\gamma}(x) N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \phi = \langle \phi | \mathcal{C}]_{\omega}
$$

$$
u = \mathcal{B}^{\gamma}
$$
 is a multivariate function in $\{x\}$

$$
\phi = \frac{N(x)}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_P^{a_P}} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n
$$
 is a form

$$
\omega = d \log(u)
$$
 is the twist

 $\langle \phi | \mathcal{C} |_{\omega}$ is a pairing of a twisted cycle (\mathcal{C}) and a twisted co-cycle (ϕ) (equivalence classes of contours and integrands respectively)

dim of the set of ϕ s, is the number of master integrals.

Lee Pomeransky criterion: nr. of master integrals = nr. of solutions to $\omega = 0$

R. Lee and A. Pomeransky, JHEP 11 (2013) 165, [arXiv:1308.6676].

The *intersection number* $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle$ is a pairing of a twisted co-cycle ϕ with a *dual* twisted co-cycle ξ .

Lives up to all criteria for being a scalar product.

The *intersection number* $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle$ is a pairing of a twisted co-cycle ϕ with a *dual* twisted co-cycle ξ .

Lives up to all criteria for being a scalar product.

When there is one integration variable z (ϕ and ξ are one-forms)

$$
\langle \phi | \xi \rangle_{\omega} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Res}_{z=p}(\psi_p \xi) \qquad \quad (\mathrm{d} + \omega) \psi_p = \phi
$$

P is the set of poles of ω .

The *intersection number* $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle$ is a pairing of a twisted co-cycle ϕ with a *dual* twisted co-cycle ξ .

Lives up to all criteria for being a scalar product.

When there is one integration variable z (ϕ and ξ are one-forms)

$$
\langle \phi | \xi \rangle_{\omega} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Res}_{z=p}(\psi_p \xi) \qquad \quad (\mathrm{d} + \omega) \psi_p = \phi
$$

P is the set of poles of ω .

References:

- K. Cho and K. Matsumoto, Intersection theory for twisted cohomologies and twisted Riemann's period relations, Nagoya Math. J. 139 (1995) 67-86
- K. Matsumoto, Intersection numbers for logarithmic k-forms, Osaka J. Math. 35 (1998) no. 4 873-893
- S. Mizera, Scattering Amplitudes from Intersection Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) no. 14 141602

The *intersection number* $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle$ is a pairing of a twisted co-cycle ϕ with a *dual* twisted co-cycle ξ .

Lives up to all criteria for being a scalar product.

When there is one integration variable z (ϕ and ξ are one-forms)

$$
\langle \phi | \xi \rangle_{\omega} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Res}_{z=p}(\psi_p \xi) \qquad \quad (d+\omega)\psi_p = \phi
$$

P is the set of poles of ω .

References:

- K. Cho and K. Matsumoto, Intersection theory for twisted cohomologies and twisted Riemann's period relations, Nagoya Math. J. 139 (1995) 67-86
- K. Matsumoto, Intersection numbers for logarithmic k-forms, Osaka J. Math. 35 (1998) no. 4 873-893
- S. Mizera, Scattering Amplitudes from Intersection Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) no. 14 141602
- P. Mastrolia and S. Mizera, Feynman Integrals and Intersection Theory, JHEP 1902 (2019) 139
- HF, F. Gasparotto, S. Laporta, M. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, S. Mizera, Decomposition of Feynman integrals on the maximal cut by intersection numbers, JHEP 1905 (2019) 153
- HF, F. Gasparotto, M. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, S. Mizera, Vector Space of Feynman Integrals and Multivariate Intersection Numbers.

Summary of theory:

$$
I = \sum_{i \in \text{master} \text{}} c_i I_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \phi | \mathcal{C}] = \sum_i c_i \langle \phi_i | \mathcal{C}]
$$

with $I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \phi$. *u* is multivariate function, ϕ is a form (rational pre-factor), $\omega = \mathrm{d}\log(u)$.

Summary of theory:

$$
I = \sum_{i \in \text{master} } c_i I_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \phi | \mathcal{C}] = \sum_i c_i \langle \phi_i | \mathcal{C}]
$$

with $I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \phi$. *u* is multivariate function, ϕ is a form (rational pre-factor), $\omega = \mathrm{d}\log(u)$.

$$
c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

Summary of theory:

$$
I = \sum_{i \in \text{masters}} c_i I_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \phi | \mathcal{C}] = \sum_i c_i \langle \phi_i | \mathcal{C}]
$$

with $I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \phi$. *u* is multivariate function, ϕ is a form (rational pre-factor), $\omega = \mathrm{d}\log(u)$.

$$
c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

For one-forms:

 $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle = \sum$ p∈P $\mathsf{Res}_{z=p}(\psi_p \xi)$ $(\mathrm{d} + \omega)\psi_p = \phi$ solve with series ansatz

Example (double box)

$$
c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

$$
\phi = z^2 \, dz \,, \quad \phi_1 = 1 \, dz \,, \quad \phi_2 = z \, dz \,, \quad \xi_1 = \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z+s}\right) dz \,, \quad \xi_2 = \left(\frac{1}{z+s} - \frac{1}{z-t}\right) dz \,,
$$

We need 6 intersection numbers: $\left\{ \langle \phi | \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi | \xi_2 \rangle, \langle \phi_1 | \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi_1 | \xi_2 \rangle, \langle \phi_2 | \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi_2 | \xi_2 \rangle \right\}$

$$
c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

$$
\phi = z^2 \, dz \,, \quad \phi_1 = 1 \, dz \,, \quad \phi_2 = z \, dz \,, \quad \xi_1 = \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z+s}\right) dz \,, \quad \xi_2 = \left(\frac{1}{z+s} - \frac{1}{z-t}\right) dz \,,
$$

We need 6 intersection numbers: $\left\{ \langle \phi | \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi | \xi_2 \rangle, \langle \phi_1 | \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi_1 | \xi_2 \rangle, \langle \phi_2 | \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi_2 | \xi_2 \rangle \right\}$

Using
$$
\langle \phi | \xi \rangle = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Res}_{z=p}(\psi_p \xi)
$$
 with $(d + \omega)\psi_p = \phi$, we get

$$
\langle \phi | \xi_1 \rangle = \frac{s(4(d-5)t^2 - 3(d-4)(3d-14)s^2 - 4(d-5)(2d-9)st)}{4(d-5)(d-4)(d-3)},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi | \xi_2 \rangle = \frac{s(s+t)(3(d-4)(3d-14)s+2(d-6)(d-5)t)}{4(d-5)(d-4)(d-3)},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi_1 | \xi_1 \rangle = \frac{-s}{d-5},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi_2 | \xi_1 \rangle = \frac{s((3d-14)s+2(d-5)t)}{2(d-5)(d-4)},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi_2 | \xi_2 \rangle = \frac{-(3d-14)s(s+t)}{2(d-5)(d-4)}.
$$

$$
c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

$$
\phi = z^2 \, dz, \quad \phi_1 = 1 \, dz, \quad \phi_2 = z \, dz, \quad \xi_1 = \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z+s}\right) dz, \quad \xi_2 = \left(\frac{1}{z+s} - \frac{1}{z-t}\right) dz,
$$
\nWe need 6 intersection numbers:

\n
$$
\int (z \, dz) \, dz \, \int (z \, dz) \,
$$

We need 6 intersection numbers: $\big\{\langle\phi|\xi_1\rangle,\,\langle\phi|\xi_2\rangle,\,\langle\phi_1|\xi_1\rangle,\,\langle\phi_1|\xi_2\rangle,\,\langle\phi_2|\xi_1\rangle,\,\langle\phi_2|\xi_2\rangle\big\}$

Using $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathsf{Res}_{z=p}(\psi_p \xi)$ with $(\mathrm{d} + \omega) \psi_p = \phi$, we get

$$
\langle \phi | \xi_1 \rangle = \frac{s(4(d-5)t^2 - 3(d-4)(3d-14)s^2 - 4(d-5)(2d-9)st)}{4(d-5)(d-4)(d-3)},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi | \xi_2 \rangle = \frac{s(s+t)(3(d-4)(3d-14)s+2(d-6)(d-5)t)}{4(d-5)(d-4)(d-3)},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi_1 | \xi_1 \rangle = \frac{-s}{d-5},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi_2 | \xi_1 \rangle = \frac{s((3d-14)s+2(d-5)t)}{2(d-5)(d-4)},
$$

\n
$$
\langle \phi_2 | \xi_2 \rangle = \frac{s(4d-14)s+2(d-5)t}{2(d-5)(d-4)}.
$$

 $I_{1111111;-2} = c_0 I_{1111111;0} + c_1 I_{1111111;-1} +$ lower

$$
c_0 = \frac{(d-4)st}{2(d-3)}, \quad c_1 = \frac{2t - 3(d-4)s}{2(d-3)},
$$

in agreement with FIRE

We did $\mathcal{O}(30)$ examples in the paper arXiv:1901.11510

There are four master integrals.

Does it only work for maximal cuts?

Does it only work for maximal cuts? NO!

Does it only work for maximal cuts? NO!

$$
I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \hat{\phi} d^n z = \sum_i c_i I_i \quad \text{with} \quad c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \quad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

but now $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle$ is a multivariate intersection number

K. Matsumoto, Intersection numbers for logarithmic k-forms, Osaka J. Math. 35 (1998) no. 4 873-893 S. Mizera, Aspects of Scattering Amplitudes and Moduli Space Localization, [arXiv:1906.02099] HF, F. Gasparotto, M. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, S. Mizera,

Vector Space of Feynman Integrals and Multivariate Intersection Numbers, [arXiv:1907.02000]

Does it only work for maximal cuts? NO!

$$
I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u \hat{\phi} d^n z = \sum_i c_i I_i \quad \text{with} \quad c_i = \langle \phi | \xi_j \rangle (\mathbf{C}^{-1})_{ji} \quad \mathbf{C}_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \xi_j \rangle
$$

but now $\langle \phi | \xi \rangle$ is a multivariate intersection number

K. Matsumoto, Intersection numbers for logarithmic k-forms, Osaka J. Math. 35 (1998) no. 4 873-893 S. Mizera, Aspects of Scattering Amplitudes and Moduli Space Localization, [arXiv:1906.02099] HF, F. Gasparotto, M. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, S. Mizera,

Vector Space of Feynman Integrals and Multivariate Intersection Numbers, [arXiv:1907.02000]

$$
\begin{split} \mathbf{n} \langle \phi^{(\mathbf{n})} | \xi^{(\mathbf{n})} \rangle &= - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \underset{z_n = p}{\text{Res}} \Big(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1} \langle \phi^{(\mathbf{n})} | h_i^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} \rangle \, \psi_i^{(n)} \Big) \;, \\ & \qquad \Big(\delta_{ij} \, \partial_{z_n} - \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}^{(n)}_{ij} \Big) \, \psi_j^{(n)} = \hat{\xi}_i^{(n)} \;, \\ \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{ij}^{(n)} &= - \big(\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})}^{-1} \big)_{ik} \, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1} \langle e_k^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} | (\partial_{z_n} - \hat{\omega}_n) h_j^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} \rangle \;, \\ & \qquad \xi_i^{(n)} = \big(\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})}^{-1} \big)_{ij} \, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1} \langle e_j^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} | \xi^{(\mathbf{n})} \rangle \;, \\ & \qquad \Big(\mathbf{C}_{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} \Big)_{ij} \equiv \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1} \langle e_i^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} | h_j^{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1})} \rangle \;. \end{split}
$$

multivariate

We have done the full reduction of

multivariate

We have done the full reduction of

The results are in agreement with FIRE

$$
I = \int_{\mathcal{C}} u\phi \quad \to \quad I = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad c_{i} = \langle \phi | \xi_{j} \rangle (C^{-1})_{ji} \quad C_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \xi_{j} \rangle
$$

Can find integral relations without the use of IBPs.

$$
I = \int_{C} u\phi \quad \to \quad I = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad c_{i} = \langle \phi | \xi_{j} \rangle (C^{-1})_{ji} \quad C_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \xi_{j} \rangle
$$

Can find integral relations without the use of IBPs.

"IBPs without IBPs"

$$
I = \int_{C} u\phi \quad \to \quad I = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad c_{i} = \langle \phi | \xi_{j} \rangle (C^{-1})_{ji} \quad C_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \xi_{j} \rangle
$$

Can find integral relations without the use of IBPs.

"IBPs without IBPs"

Future work:

• Full understanding of the multivariate case

$$
I = \int_{C} u\phi \quad \to \quad I = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad c_{i} = \langle \phi | \xi_{j} \rangle (C^{-1})_{ji} \quad C_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \xi_{j} \rangle
$$

Can find integral relations without the use of IBPs.

"IBPs without IBPs"

Future work:

- Full understanding of the multivariate case
- Classify hypergeometric functions (See Manoj' talk)
- Clarify connection to co-action (see talks of J. Matthew and R. Britto)
- Make an optimized algorithm for sub-sectors
- Combine with rational reconstruction

$$
I = \int_{C} u\phi \quad \to \quad I = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad c_{i} = \langle \phi | \xi_{j} \rangle (C^{-1})_{ji} \quad C_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \xi_{j} \rangle
$$

Can find integral relations without the use of IBPs.

"IBPs without IBPs"

Future work:

- Full understanding of the multivariate case
- Classify hypergeometric functions (See Manoj' talk)
- Clarify connection to *co-action* (see talks of J. Matthew and R. Britto)
- Make an optimized algorithm for sub-sectors
- Combine with rational reconstruction
- Make an extremely fast code
- · · ·

$$
I = \int_{C} u\phi \quad \to \quad I = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad c_{i} = \langle \phi | \xi_{j} \rangle (C^{-1})_{ji} \quad C_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i} | \xi_{j} \rangle
$$

Can find integral relations without the use of IBPs.

"IBPs without IBPs"

Future work:

- Full understanding of the multivariate case
- Classify hypergeometric functions (See Manoj' talk)
- Clarify connection to co-action (see talks of J. Matthew and R. Britto)
- Make an optimized algorithm for sub-sectors
- Combine with rational reconstruction
- Make an extremely fast code
- · · ·

Thank you for listening!

