# $R_{m{\xi}}$ gauges in the SMEFT

# Mikołaj Misiak University of Warsaw

based on JHEP 1902 (2019) 051 by MM, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek, K. Suxho and B. Zglinicki SMEFT-Tools 2019, June 12-14 2019, IPPP Durham, UK

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Operator basis reduction in a generic EFT
- 3. Gauge fixing
- 4. Ghost sector and BRST
- 5. Simplifications in the SMEFT case
- 6. Summary

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\varepsilon}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

Present goal: Extension to a generic class of EFTs with operators of arbitrary dimension.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\varepsilon}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

Present goal: Extension to a generic class of EFTs with operators of arbitrary dimension.

First step: Simplify operators that contribute to bilinear terms in  $A^a_\mu$  and  $\varphi = \Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle \equiv \Phi - v$ .

gauge fields real scalar fields  $(|v| \ll \Lambda)$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

Present goal: Extension to a generic class of EFTs with operators of arbitrary dimension.

First step: Simplify operators that contribute to bilinear terms in  $A^a_\mu$  and  $\varphi = \Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle \equiv \Phi - v$ .

gauge fields real scalar fields  $(|v| \ll \Lambda)$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

Present goal: Extension to a generic class of EFTs with operators of arbitrary dimension.

First step: Simplify operators that contribute to bilinear terms in  $A^a_\mu$  and  $\varphi = \Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle \equiv \Phi - v$ .

gauge fields real scalar fields  $(|v| \ll \Lambda)$ 

Part of  $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}$  that matters for the bilinear terms:  $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi,A}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{\mu}\Phi)^T(D^{\mu}\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^aF^{a\,\mu\nu} - V(\Phi).$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

Present goal: Extension to a generic class of EFTs with operators of arbitrary dimension.

First step: Simplify operators that contribute to bilinear terms in  $A^a_\mu$  and  $\varphi = \Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle \equiv \Phi - v$ .

gauge fields real scalar fields  $(|v| \ll \Lambda)$ 

Part of  $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}$  that matters for the bilinear terms:  $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi,A}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{\mu}\Phi)^T(D^{\mu}\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^aF^{a\,\mu\nu} - V(\Phi).$ 

Equations of Motion (EOM):  $D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL} \qquad \left( egin{array}{c} ext{"Higher-dimensional or} \ ext{Lower-derivative terms"} \end{array} 
ight).$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(4)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^k} \sum_{i} C_i^{(k+4)} Q_i^{(k+4)}$$

 $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in SMEFT up to dimension-six operators:

- A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek and K. Suxho, JHEP 1706 (2017) 143
- A. Helset, M. Paraskevas and M. Trott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 251801 (background field method)

Advantages: No tree-level mixing of gauge bosons with scalars, simple relations among masses, etc.

Present goal: Extension to a generic class of EFTs with operators of arbitrary dimension.

First step: Simplify operators that contribute to bilinear terms in  $A^a_\mu$  and  $\varphi = \Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle \equiv \Phi - v$ .

gauge fields real scalar fields  $(|v| \ll \Lambda)$ 

Part of  $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}$  that matters for the bilinear terms:  $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi,A}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{\mu}\Phi)^T(D^{\mu}\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^aF^{a\,\mu\nu} - V(\Phi).$ 

Equations of Motion (EOM):  $D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}$ ,  $(D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}$  ("Higher-dimensional or Lower-derivative terms").

Claim: Out of all  $\Phi^n F^m D^k$ , only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction (actually, field redefinitions - see J. C. Criado, M. Perez-Victoria, arXiv:1811.09413).

Examples: Before the reduction, e.g.,  $(D_{\mu}\Phi)^T(D^{\mu}\Phi)F^a_{\nu\rho}F^{a\nu\rho}$  does not matter but, e.g.,  $(\Phi^T\Phi)(\Phi^TD^{\mu}D^{\nu}D_{\mu}D_{\nu}\Phi)$  may matter.

Equations of Motion (EOM):  $D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}.$ 

Claim: Only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction.

In the following, F may stand for  $\tilde{F}$ , too.

Step-by-step EOM reduction (starting from the lowest dimension, and highest number of derivatives at a given dimension):

Equations of Motion (EOM):  $D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}.$ 

Claim: Only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction.

In the following, F may stand for  $\tilde{F}$ , too.

Step-by-step EOM reduction (starting from the lowest dimension, and highest number of derivatives at a given dimension):

1.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  with internal contractions.  $D_{\mu} D_{\nu} = D_{\nu} D_{\mu} + \boxed{HL}$ .

Equations of Motion (EOM):  $D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}.$ 

Claim: Only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction. In the following, F may stand for  $\tilde{F}$ , too.

Step-by-step EOM reduction (starting from the lowest dimension, and highest number of derivatives at a given dimension):

- 1.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  with internal contractions.  $D_{\mu} D_{\nu} = D_{\nu} D_{\mu} + \boxed{HL}$ .
- 2.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  without internal contractions must be contracted with  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k)}} \Phi$  or  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}} F^{\mu_{\sigma(k-1)}\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}}$ .

At this point, no operators with second or higher derivatives of  $\Phi$  need to be considered any longer.

Equations of Motion (EOM): 
$$D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}.$$

Claim: Only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction. In the following, F may stand for  $\tilde{F}$ , too.

Step-by-step EOM reduction (starting from the lowest dimension, and highest number of derivatives at a given dimension):

- 1.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  with internal contractions.  $D_{\mu} D_{\nu} = D_{\nu} D_{\mu} + \boxed{HL}$ .
- 2.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  without internal contractions must be contracted with  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k)}} \Phi$  or  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}} F^{\mu_{\sigma(k-1)}\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}}$ .

At this point, no operators with second or higher derivatives of  $\Phi$  need to be considered any longer.

3.  $D_{\mu}\Phi$  contracted with  $(\ldots)D_{\alpha}F^{\alpha\mu}$  or  $(\ldots)D_{\alpha}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\mu}$ 

Equations of Motion (EOM): 
$$D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}.$$

Claim: Only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction. In the following, F may stand for  $\tilde{F}$ , too.

Step-by-step EOM reduction (starting from the lowest dimension, and highest number of derivatives at a given dimension):

- 1.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  with internal contractions.  $D_{\mu} D_{\nu} = D_{\nu} D_{\mu} + \boxed{HL}$ .
- 2.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  without internal contractions must be contracted with  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k)}} \Phi$  or  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}} F^{\mu_{\sigma(k-1)}\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}}$ .

At this point, no operators with second or higher derivatives of  $\Phi$  need to be considered any longer.

- 3.  $D_{\mu}\Phi$  contracted with  $(\ldots)D_{\alpha}F^{\alpha\mu}$  or  $(\ldots)D_{\alpha}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\mu}$
- 4.  $P^{ab}(\Phi) \ [(\ldots)(D_{\mu}F_{\nu\rho})]^a[(\ldots)(D^{\mu}F^{\nu\rho})]^b$  or  $P^{ab}(\Phi) \ [(\ldots)(D_{\mu}F_{\nu\rho})]^a[(\ldots)(D^{\nu}F^{\mu\rho})]^b$ . Bianchi identity:  $D_{[\mu}F_{\nu\rho]}=0$ , EOM:  $D_{[\mu}\tilde{F}_{\nu\rho]}=\boxed{HL}$

Equations of Motion (EOM): 
$$D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Phi = \boxed{HL}, \qquad (D^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu})^a = \boxed{HL}.$$

Claim: Only  $\Phi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n D^2$  and  $\Phi^n F^2$  matter for the bilinear terms after the EOM reduction. In the following, F may stand for  $\tilde{F}$ , too.

Step-by-step EOM reduction (starting from the lowest dimension, and highest number of derivatives at a given dimension):

- 1.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  with internal contractions.  $D_{\mu} D_{\nu} = D_{\nu} D_{\mu} + \boxed{HL}$ .
- 2.  $D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_k} \Phi$  without internal contractions must be contracted with  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k)}} \Phi$  or  $(\dots) D^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}} \dots D^{\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}} F^{\mu_{\sigma(k-1)}\mu_{\sigma(k-2)}}$ .

At this point, no operators with second or higher derivatives of  $\Phi$  need to be considered any longer.

- 3.  $D_{\mu}\Phi$  contracted with  $(\ldots)D_{\alpha}F^{\alpha\mu}$  or  $(\ldots)D_{\alpha}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\mu}$
- 4.  $P^{ab}(\Phi)$   $[(\ldots)(D_{\mu}F_{\nu\rho})]^a[(\ldots)(D^{\mu}F^{\nu\rho})]^b$  or  $P^{ab}(\Phi)$   $[(\ldots)(D_{\mu}F_{\nu\rho})]^a[(\ldots)(D^{\nu}F^{\mu\rho})]^b$ . Bianchi identity:  $D_{[\mu}F_{\nu\rho]}=0$ , EOM:  $D_{[\mu}\tilde{F}_{\nu\rho]}=\boxed{HL}$
- 5. Skip  $\Phi^n F \tilde{F}$  (total derivative after  $\Phi \to v$ ).

$${\cal L}_{h,g} = rac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)_i \; K_{ij} [\Phi] \; (D^{\mu} \Phi)_j - rac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu 
u} \; J^{ab} [\Phi] \; F^{b \, \mu 
u}$$

(position-dependent metric in the field space). [arXiv:1803.08001]

$${\cal L}_{h,g} = rac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)_i \; K_{ij} [\Phi] \; (D^{\mu} \Phi)_j - rac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu 
u} \; J^{ab} [\Phi] \; F^{b \, \mu 
u}$$

(position-dependent metric in the field space).

[arXiv:1803.08001]

The bilinear terms are selected by setting

$$K_{ij}[\Phi] o K_{ij}[v] \equiv K_{ij} \quad ext{ and } \quad J^{ab}[\Phi] o J^{ab}[v] \equiv J^{ab}.$$

Then 
$$\mathcal{L}_{h,g} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^T K (D^{\mu} \Phi) - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu}^T J A^{\mu\nu} + (\text{interactions})$$
 with  $A_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^a - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^a$ .

with 
$$A^a_{\mu
u} \equiv \partial_\mu A^a_
u - \partial_
u A^a_\mu$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,g} = rac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)_i \; K_{ij} [\Phi] \; (D^{\mu} \Phi)_j - rac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu 
u} \; J^{ab} [\Phi] \; F^{b \, \mu 
u}$$

(position-dependent metric in the field space).

[arXiv:1803.08001]

The bilinear terms are selected by setting

$$K_{ij}[\Phi] o K_{ij}[v] \equiv K_{ij} \quad ext{ and } \quad J^{ab}[\Phi] o J^{ab}[v] \equiv J^{ab}.$$

Then 
$$\mathcal{L}_{h,g} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^T K (D^{\mu} \Phi) - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu}^T J A^{\mu\nu} + (\text{interactions})$$
 with  $A_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^a - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^a$ .

with 
$$A^a_{\mu
u} \equiv \partial_\mu A^a_
u - \partial_
u A^a_\mu$$

The "unwanted" tree-level mixing:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Aarphi} = -i \left( \partial^{\mu} A^{a}_{\mu} 
ight) \left[ arphi^{T} K T^{a} v 
ight]$$

(identification of the would-be Goldstone and physical scalars).

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,g} = rac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)_i \; K_{ij} [\Phi] \; (D^{\mu} \Phi)_j - rac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu 
u} \; J^{ab} [\Phi] \; F^{b \, \mu 
u}$$

(position-dependent metric in the field space). [arXiv:1803.08001]

The bilinear terms are selected by setting

$$K_{ij}[\Phi] o K_{ij}[v] \equiv K_{ij} \quad ext{ and } \quad J^{ab}[\Phi] o J^{ab}[v] \equiv J^{ab}.$$

Then 
$$\mathcal{L}_{h,g} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^T K (D^{\mu} \Phi) - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu}^T J A^{\mu\nu} + (\text{interactions})$$
 with  $A_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^a - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^a$ .

The "unwanted" tree-level mixing:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Aarphi} = -i \left( \partial^{\mu} A^{a}_{\mu} 
ight) \left[ arphi^{T} K T^{a} v 
ight]$$

(identification of the would-be Goldstone and physical scalars).

Now, we can specify the  $R_{\varepsilon}$  gauge-fixing term as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = -rac{1}{2\xi}\,\mathcal{G}^a J^{ab} \mathcal{G}^b$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = -rac{1}{2arepsilon}\,\mathcal{G}^a J^{ab}\mathcal{G}^b \qquad ext{with} \qquad \mathcal{G}^a = \partial^\mu A^a_\mu - i \xi (J^{-1})^{ab} \left[arphi^T K T^b v
ight].$$

$${\cal L}_{h,g} = {1\over 2} (D_\mu \Phi)_i \; K_{ij} [\Phi] \; (D^\mu \Phi)_j - {1\over 4} F^a_{\mu
u} \; J^{ab} [\Phi] \; F^{b\,\mu
u}$$

(position-dependent metric in the field space). [arXiv:1803.08001]

The bilinear terms are selected by setting

$$K_{ij}[\Phi] o K_{ij}[v] \equiv K_{ij} \quad ext{ and } \quad J^{ab}[\Phi] o J^{ab}[v] \equiv J^{ab}.$$

Then 
$$\mathcal{L}_{h,g} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^T K (D^{\mu} \Phi) - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu}^T J A^{\mu\nu} + (\text{interactions})$$
 with  $A_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^a - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^a$ .

The "unwanted" tree-level mixing:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Aarphi} = -i \left( \partial^{\mu} A^{a}_{\mu} 
ight) \left[ arphi^{T} K T^{a} v 
ight]$$

(identification of the would-be Goldstone and physical scalars).

Now, we can specify the  $R_{\varepsilon}$  gauge-fixing term as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = -rac{1}{2\xi} \mathcal{G}^a J^{ab} \mathcal{G}^b \qquad ext{with} \qquad \mathcal{G}^a = \partial^\mu A^a_\mu - i \xi (J^{-1})^{ab} \left[ arphi^T K T^b v 
ight].$$

The kinetic terms are rendered canonical via:

$$ilde{arphi}_i=(K^{rac{1}{2}})_{ij}arphi_j, \qquad \qquad ilde{A}^a_\mu=(J^{rac{1}{2}})^{ab}A^b_\mu,$$

$$ilde{A}^a_\mu=(J^{rac{1}{2}})^{ab}A^b_\mu,$$

which brings the bilinear terms to the familiar form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin,mass} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{A}_{\mu\nu}^T\tilde{A}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}_{\mu}^T(\boldsymbol{M}^T\boldsymbol{M})\tilde{A}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\varphi})^T(\partial^{\mu}\tilde{\varphi}) - \frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial^{\mu}\tilde{A}_{\mu})^T(\partial^{\nu}\tilde{A}_{\nu}) - \frac{\xi}{2}\tilde{\varphi}^T(\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{M}^T)\tilde{\varphi},$$

with 
$$M_j^{\ b} \equiv [K^{rac{1}{2}}(iT^a)v]_j \, (J^{-rac{1}{2}})^{ab}$$
 (real matrix).

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin,mass} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{A}_{\mu\nu}^T\tilde{A}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}_{\mu}^T(\boldsymbol{M}^T\boldsymbol{M})\tilde{A}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\varphi})^T(\partial^{\mu}\tilde{\varphi}) - \frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial^{\mu}\tilde{A}_{\mu})^T(\partial^{\nu}\tilde{A}_{\nu}) - \frac{\xi}{2}\tilde{\varphi}^T(\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{M}^T)\tilde{\varphi}.$$

Singular Value Decomposition:  $M = U^T \Sigma V$ ,  $\Sigma_{ij} = 0$  when  $i \neq j$ , U, V – orthogonal matrices.

$$\Longrightarrow \qquad MM^T = U^T(\Sigma\Sigma^T)U \qquad ext{and} \qquad M^TM = V^T(\Sigma^T\Sigma)V.$$

Mass eigenstates:  $\phi_i = U_{ij} \tilde{\varphi}_j, \qquad W^a_\mu = V^{ab} \tilde{A}^b_\mu.$ 

Diagonal mass matrices: 
$$m_\phi^2 = \Sigma \Sigma^T = \left[ egin{array}{cc} D \\ 0 \end{array} 
ight]_{m imes m} \qquad m_W^2 = \Sigma^T \Sigma = \left[ egin{array}{cc} D \\ 0 \end{array} 
ight]_{n imes n}$$

The bilinear terms in the mass eigenbasis take the standard form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{kin,mass}} = -rac{1}{4}W_{\mu
u}^TW^TW^{\mu
u} + rac{1}{2}W_{\mu}^Tm_W^2W^{\mu} + rac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\phi)^T(\partial^{\mu}\phi) - rac{1}{2\xi}(\partial^{\mu}W_{\mu})^T(\partial^{
u}W_{
u}) - rac{\xi}{2}\phi^Tm_{\phi}^2\phi.$$

Infinitesimal gauge transformations in the initial basis:

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight),$$

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight), \qquad \qquad \delta A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu lpha^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b lpha^c.$$

The corresponding BRST variations:

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}}arphi=-i\epsilon N^{a}T^{a}\left(arphi+v
ight),$$

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}}arphi = -i\epsilon N^a T^a \left(arphi + v
ight), \qquad \quad \delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}} A_\mu^a = \epsilon \left(\partial_\mu N^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b N^c
ight).$$

Infinitesimal gauge transformations in the initial basis:

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight),$$

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight), \qquad \qquad \delta A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu lpha^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b lpha^c.$$

The corresponding BRST variations:

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}}arphi=-i\epsilon N^aT^a\left(arphi+v
ight),$$

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}}arphi = -i\epsilon N^a T^a \left(arphi + v
ight), \qquad \qquad \delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}} A_\mu^a = \epsilon \left(\partial_\mu N^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b N^c
ight).$$

$$ext{Gauge-fixing functional:} \quad \mathcal{G}^a = \partial^\mu A^a_\mu - i \xi (J^{-1})^{ac} \left[ arphi^T K T^c v 
ight].$$

Its BRST variation: 
$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{BRST}} \mathcal{G}^a = \epsilon M_F^{ab} N^b.$$

Introducing the ghost term: 
$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{FP} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \mathcal{G}^a J^{ab} \mathcal{G}^b + \bar{N}^a J^{ab} M_F^{bc} N^d.$$

$$\text{Explicitly:} \quad \mathcal{L}_{FP} = J^{ab} \bar{N}^a \Box N^b + \xi \bar{N}^a [v^T T^a K T^b v] N^b + \bar{N}^a \overleftarrow{\partial}^\mu J^{ab} f^{bcd} A^c_\mu N^d + \xi \bar{N}^a [v^T T^a K T^b \varphi] N^b.$$

Infinitesimal gauge transformations in the initial basis:

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight),$$

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight), \qquad \qquad \delta A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu lpha^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b lpha^c.$$

The corresponding BRST variations:

$$\delta_{ ext{\tiny BRST}}arphi = -i\epsilon N^a T^a \left(arphi + v
ight),$$

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}}arphi = -i\epsilon N^a T^a \left(arphi + v
ight), \qquad \quad \delta_{ ext{BRST}} A_\mu^a = \epsilon \left(\partial_\mu N^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b N^c
ight).$$

Gauge-fixing functional: 
$$\mathcal{G}^a = \partial^\mu A^a_\mu - i \xi (J^{-1})^{ac} \left[ arphi^T K T^c v 
ight].$$

Its BRST variation:  $\delta_{ ext{BRST}} \mathcal{G}^a = \epsilon M_F^{ab} N^b.$ 

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}} \mathcal{G}^a = \epsilon M_F^{ab} N^b.$$

Introducing the ghost term: 
$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{FP} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \mathcal{G}^a J^{ab} \mathcal{G}^b + \bar{N}^a J^{ab} M_F^{bc} N^d$$
.

$$\text{Explicitly:} \quad \mathcal{L}_{FP} = J^{ab} \bar{N}^a \Box N^b + \xi \bar{N}^a [v^T T^a K T^b v] N^b + \bar{N}^a \overleftarrow{\partial}^\mu J^{ab} f^{bcd} A^c_\mu N^d + \xi \bar{N}^a [v^T T^a K T^b \varphi] N^b.$$

BRST variations of the ghosts:  $\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BRST}} N^a = \frac{\epsilon}{2} f^{abc} N^b N^c, \qquad \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BRST}} ar{N}^a = \frac{\epsilon}{\xi} \mathcal{G}^a.$ 

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}} N^a = rac{\epsilon}{2} f^{abc} N^b N^c,$$

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}}ar{N}^a=rac{\epsilon}{\xi}\mathcal{G}^a.$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}}\left(M_F^{ab}N^b
ight)=0$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \delta_{ ext{\tiny BRST}}\left(M_F^{ab}N^b
ight) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta_{ ext{\tiny BRST}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{FP}
ight) = 0.$$

Infinitesimal gauge transformations in the initial basis:

$$\deltaarphi=-ilpha^aT^a\,(arphi+v),$$

$$\delta arphi = -i lpha^a T^a \left( arphi + v 
ight), \qquad \qquad \delta A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu lpha^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b lpha^c.$$

The corresponding BRST variations:

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}}arphi=-i\epsilon N^aT^a\left(arphi+v
ight),$$

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}}arphi = -i\epsilon N^a T^a \left(arphi + v
ight), \qquad \quad \delta_{ ext{BRST}} A_\mu^a = \epsilon \left(\partial_\mu N^a - f^{abc} A_\mu^b N^c
ight).$$

Gauge-fixing functional: 
$$\mathcal{G}^a = \partial^\mu A^a_\mu - i \xi (J^{-1})^{ac} \left[ arphi^T K T^c v 
ight].$$

$$ext{Its BRST variation:} \quad \delta_{ ext{\tiny BRST}} \mathcal{G}^a = \epsilon M_F^{ab} N^b.$$

Introducing the ghost term: 
$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{FP} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \mathcal{G}^a J^{ab} \mathcal{G}^b + \bar{N}^a J^{ab} M_F^{bc} N^d$$
.

$$\text{Explicitly:} \quad \mathcal{L}_{FP} = J^{ab} \bar{N}^a \Box N^b + \xi \bar{N}^a [v^T T^a K T^b v] N^b + \bar{N}^a \overleftarrow{\partial}^\mu J^{ab} f^{bcd} A^c_\mu N^d + \xi \bar{N}^a [v^T T^a K T^b \varphi] N^b.$$

BRST variations of the ghosts:  $\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BRST}} N^a = \frac{\epsilon}{2} f^{abc} N^b N^c, \qquad \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BRST}} \bar{N}^a = \frac{\epsilon}{\xi} \mathcal{G}^a.$ 

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}} N^a = rac{\epsilon}{2} f^{abc} N^b N^c,$$

$$\delta_{ ext{ iny BRST}}ar{N}^a=rac{\epsilon}{\xi}\mathcal{G}^a.$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\delta_{ ext{BRST}}\left(M_F^{ab}N^b
ight)=0$$

$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{BI}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \delta_{ ext{\tiny BRST}}\left(M_F^{ab}N^b
ight) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta_{ ext{\tiny BRST}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{FP}
ight) = 0.$$

 $ext{Mass eigenstates:} \quad \eta = V J^{rac{1}{2}} N, \qquad \quad ar{\eta} = V J^{rac{1}{2}} ar{N}.$ 

$$\eta = VJ^{rac{1}{2}}N,$$

$$ar{\eta} = V J^{rac{1}{2}} ar{N}.$$

The ghost bilinear terms in the mass eigenbasis take the standard form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{FP} = \bar{\eta}^T \Box \eta + \xi \bar{\eta}^T m_W^2 \eta + ext{(interactions)}.$$

$$\Phi = \mathcal{U}\left(egin{array}{c} H \ H^{\star} \end{array}
ight), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} = rac{S}{\sqrt{2}}\left(egin{array}{cc} 1_{N imes N} & 1_{N imes N} \ -i 1_{N imes N} & i 1_{N imes N} \end{array}
ight), \qquad S - ext{arbitrary orthogonal matrix.}$$

$$D_{\mu}H = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}C^{a}
ight)H \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_{\mu}\Phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}
ight)\Phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad T^{a} \ = \ iS\left(egin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Im}C^{a} & \operatorname{Re}C^{a} \ -\operatorname{Re}C^{a} & \operatorname{Im}C^{a} \end{array}
ight)S^{T}.$$

$$\Phi = \mathcal{U}\left(egin{array}{c} H \ H^{\star} \end{array}
ight), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} = rac{S}{\sqrt{2}} \left(egin{array}{cc} 1_{N imes N} & 1_{N imes N} \ -i 1_{N imes N} & i 1_{N imes N} \end{array}
ight), \qquad S - ext{arbitrary orthogonal matrix.}$$

$$D_{\mu}H = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}C^{a}
ight)H \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_{\mu}\Phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}
ight)\Phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad T^{a} \ = \ iS\left(egin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Im}C^{a} & \operatorname{Re}C^{a} \ -\operatorname{Re}C^{a} & \operatorname{Im}C^{a} \end{array}
ight)S^{T}.$$

### The particular case of SMEFT:

$$S = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ext{then} \quad H = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( egin{array}{c} \phi_2 + i \phi_1 \ \phi_4 - i \phi_3 \end{array} 
ight), \quad A^a_\mu = (W^1_\mu, W^2_\mu, W^3_\mu, B_\mu)^a \quad ext{and} \quad$$

$$T^1=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^1 \ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^2=rac{g}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^3=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^3 \ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^4=rac{ig'}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T.$$

$$\Phi = \mathcal{U}\left(egin{array}{c} H \ H^{\star} \end{array}
ight), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} = rac{S}{\sqrt{2}}\left(egin{array}{cc} 1_{N imes N} & 1_{N imes N} \ -i 1_{N imes N} & i 1_{N imes N} \end{array}
ight), \qquad S - ext{arbitrary orthogonal matrix.}$$

$$D_{\mu}H = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}C^{a}
ight)H \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_{\mu}\Phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}
ight)\Phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad T^{a} \ = \ iS\left(egin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Im}C^{a} & \operatorname{Re}C^{a} \ -\operatorname{Re}C^{a} & \operatorname{Im}C^{a} \end{array}
ight)S^{T}.$$

#### The particular case of SMEFT:

$$S = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ext{then} \quad H = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( egin{array}{c} \phi_2 + i \phi_1 \ \phi_4 - i \phi_3 \end{array} 
ight), \quad A_\mu^a = (W_\mu^1, W_\mu^2, W_\mu^3, B_\mu)^a \quad ext{and} \quad$$

$$T^1=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^1 \ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^2=rac{g}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^3=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^3 \ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^4=rac{ig'}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T.$$

#### Matrices in the kinetic terms:

$$J = \left(egin{array}{ccccc} 1+J_{+} & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1+J_{+} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1+J_{1} & J_{3} \ 0 & 0 & J_{2} & 1+J_{2} \end{array}
ight) \equiv \left(egin{array}{cccc} J_{C} & 0_{2 imes2} \ 0_{2 imes2} & J_{N} \end{array}
ight) \qquad ext{(and similarly for $K$)}$$

$$\Phi = \mathcal{U}\left(egin{array}{c} H \ H^{\star} \end{array}
ight), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} = rac{S}{\sqrt{2}}\left(egin{array}{cc} 1_{N imes N} & 1_{N imes N} \ -i 1_{N imes N} & i 1_{N imes N} \end{array}
ight), \qquad S - ext{arbitrary orthogonal matrix.}$$

$$D_{\mu}H = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}C^{a}
ight)H \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_{\mu}\Phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}
ight)\Phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad T^{a} \ = \ iS\left(egin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Im}C^{a} & \operatorname{Re}C^{a} \ -\operatorname{Re}C^{a} & \operatorname{Im}C^{a} \end{array}
ight)S^{T}.$$

### The particular case of SMEFT:

$$\text{Choose} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{then} \quad H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2 + i\phi_1 \\ \phi_4 - i\phi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_\mu^a = (W_\mu^1, W_\mu^2, W_\mu^3, B_\mu)^a \quad \text{and}$$

$$T^1=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^1 \ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^2=rac{g}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^3=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^3 \ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^4=rac{ig'}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T.$$

#### Matrices in the kinetic terms:

$$J = \left(egin{array}{ccccc} 1+J_{+} & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1+J_{+} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1+J_{1} & J_{3} \ 0 & 0 & J_{2} & 1+J_{2} \end{array}
ight) \equiv \left(egin{array}{cccc} J_{C} & 0_{2 imes 2} \ 0_{2 imes 2} & J_{N} \end{array}
ight) \qquad ext{(and similarly for $K$)}$$

### Gauge boson masses:

$$M_W^2 = rac{g^2 v^2}{4} rac{1 + K_+}{1 + J_+}, \qquad \qquad M_Z^2 = rac{v^2}{4} \left( g^2 + g'^2 + g'^2 J_1 + 2 g g' J_3 + g^2 J_2 
ight) rac{1 + K_1}{\det J_N}.$$

$$\Phi = \mathcal{U}\left(egin{array}{c} H \ H^{\star} \end{array}
ight), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} = rac{S}{\sqrt{2}}\left(egin{array}{cc} 1_{N imes N} & 1_{N imes N} \ -i 1_{N imes N} & i 1_{N imes N} \end{array}
ight), \qquad S - ext{arbitrary orthogonal matrix.}$$

$$D_{\mu}H = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}C^{a}
ight)H \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_{\mu}\Phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}
ight)\Phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad T^{a} \ = \ iS\left(egin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Im}C^{a} & \operatorname{Re}C^{a} \ -\operatorname{Re}C^{a} & \operatorname{Im}C^{a} \end{array}
ight)S^{T}.$$

#### The particular case of SMEFT:

$$S = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ext{then} \quad H = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( egin{array}{c} \phi_2 + i \phi_1 \ \phi_4 - i \phi_3 \end{array} 
ight), \quad A^a_\mu = (W^1_\mu, W^2_\mu, W^3_\mu, B_\mu)^a \quad ext{and} \quad .$$

$$T^1=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^1 \ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^2=rac{g}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^3=rac{ig}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^3 \ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T, \quad T^4=rac{ig'}{2}S\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)S^T.$$

#### Matrices in the kinetic terms:

$$J = \left(egin{array}{ccccc} 1+J_{+} & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1+J_{+} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1+J_{1} & J_{3} \ 0 & 0 & J_{2} & 1+J_{2} \end{array}
ight) \equiv \left(egin{array}{cccc} J_{C} & 0_{2 imes2} \ 0_{2 imes2} & J_{N} \end{array}
ight) \qquad ext{(and similarly for $K$)}$$

### Gauge boson masses:

$$M_W^2 = rac{g^2 v^2}{4} rac{1 + K_+}{1 + J_+}, \qquad \qquad M_Z^2 = rac{v^2}{4} \left( g^2 + g'^2 + g'^2 J_1 + 2 g g' J_3 + g^2 J_2 
ight) rac{1 + K_1}{\det J_N}.$$

#### At the dimension-six level in the Warsaw basis:

$$J_{+}=J_{1}=-rac{2v^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}C^{arphi W}, \quad J_{2}=-rac{2v^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}C^{arphi B}, \quad J_{3}=rac{v^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}C^{arphi WB}, \qquad egin{aligned} &Q_{arphi W}=arphi^{\dagger}arphi\,W^{I}\mu
u \ Q_{arphi W}=arphi^{\dagger}arphi\,W^{I}\mu
u \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}arphi
ight)^{\star}\left(arphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi
ight) \ Q_{arphi B}=arphi^{\dagger}arphi\,B_{\mu
u} \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}arphi
ight)^{\star}\left(arphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi
ight) \ Q_{arphi W}=arphi^{\dagger}arphi\,B^{\mu
u} \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}arphi
ight)^{\star}\left(arphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi
ight) \ Q_{arphi W}=arphi^{\dagger}arphi\,W^{I}_{\mu
u}B^{\mu
u} \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}arphi
ight)^{\star}\left(arphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi
ight) \ Q_{arphi W}=arphi^{\dagger}arphi\,W^{I}_{\mu
u}B^{\mu
u} \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}arphi
ight) \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger} \ Q_{arphi D}=\left(arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger}arphi^{\dagger} \ Q_{a$$

 $\Rightarrow$  same results as in arXiv:1704.0388.

• We considered  $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in EFTs arising after decoupling of heavy  $(M \sim \Lambda)$  particles, assuming that scalar VEVs  $(\langle \Phi \rangle \ll \Lambda)$  give masses to some of the gauge bosons via the Higgs mechanism.

- We considered  $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in EFTs arising after decoupling of heavy  $(M \sim \Lambda)$  particles, assuming that scalar VEVs  $(\langle \Phi \rangle \ll \Lambda)$  give masses to some of the gauge bosons via the Higgs mechanism.
- The essential starting point was the operator basis reduction via EOM. It allowed us to express all the bosonic bilinears as stemming from operators with at most first (covariant) derivatives of  $\Phi$ , and no derivatives of F.

- We considered  $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in EFTs arising after decoupling of heavy  $(M \sim \Lambda)$  particles, assuming that scalar VEVs  $(\langle \Phi \rangle \ll \Lambda)$  give masses to some of the gauge bosons via the Higgs mechanism.
- The essential starting point was the operator basis reduction via EOM. It allowed us to express all the bosonic bilinears as stemming from operators with at most first (covariant) derivatives of  $\Phi$ , and no derivatives of F.
- Once this is done, all such bilinear terms can be resummed into the propagators.

- We considered  $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in EFTs arising after decoupling of heavy  $(M \sim \Lambda)$  particles, assuming that scalar VEVs  $(\langle \Phi \rangle \ll \Lambda)$  give masses to some of the gauge bosons via the Higgs mechanism.
- The essential starting point was the operator basis reduction via EOM. It allowed us to express all the bosonic bilinears as stemming from operators with at most first (covariant) derivatives of  $\Phi$ , and no derivatives of F.
- Once this is done, all such bilinear terms can be resummed into the propagators.
- Specifying the gauge-fixing and ghost terms, as well as the BRST variations proceeds along the same lines as in a renormalizable theory with non-canonical kinetic terms.

- We considered  $R_{\xi}$  gauge fixing in EFTs arising after decoupling of heavy  $(M \sim \Lambda)$  particles, assuming that scalar VEVs  $(\langle \Phi \rangle \ll \Lambda)$  give masses to some of the gauge bosons via the Higgs mechanism.
- The essential starting point was the operator basis reduction via EOM. It allowed us to express all the bosonic bilinears as stemming from operators with at most first (covariant) derivatives of  $\Phi$ , and no derivatives of F.
- Once this is done, all such bilinear terms can be resummed into the propagators.
- Specifying the gauge-fixing and ghost terms, as well as the BRST variations proceeds along the same lines as in a renormalizable theory with non-canonical kinetic terms.
- Standard relations between the masses of gauge bosons, would-be Goldstone bosons and ghosts remain valid. However, their interactions are affected by the presence of higher-dimensional operators.

# BACKUP SLIDE

### Square roots in neutral sector:

$$J_N^{1/2} = rac{1}{\sqrt{J_1' + J_2'}} \left(egin{array}{cc} J_1' & J_3 \ J_3 & J_2' \end{array}
ight), \hspace{0.5cm} J_N^{-1/2} = rac{1}{\sqrt{(J_1' + J_2')\det J_N}} \left(egin{array}{cc} J_2' & -J_3 \ -J_3 & J_1' \end{array}
ight), \hspace{0.5cm} ext{where} \hspace{0.1cm} J_i' = 1 + J_i + \sqrt{\det J_N}.$$

#### SVD matrices in this sector:

$$U_N = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \cos \omega & \sin \omega \ -\sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{array}
ight), \hspace{0.5cm} V_N = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \cos heta & -\sin heta \ \sin heta & \cos heta \end{array}
ight), \hspace{0.5cm} ext{with} \; \left\{egin{array}{ccc} \omega = rctan(K_3/K_1'), \ heta = rctan[(g'J_1' + gJ_3)/(gJ_2' + g'J_3)]. \end{array}
ight.$$

In the limit  $\Lambda \to \infty$ , we have  $\omega \to 0$  and  $\theta \to \theta_W \equiv \arctan(g'/g)$ .