

ESRF, 3. -5. June 2019





# BPM Resolution Studies at PETRA III

Gero Kube DESY (MDI)

- Introduction
- Comments on BPM Resolution
- Three BPM" Correlation & Prinicipal Components Analysis
- Resolution Studies at PETRA III
- Conclusion

# PETRA III @ DESY



#### • PETRA history

- > 1978 1986: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider (up to 23.3 GeV / beam)
- > 1988 2007: pre-accelerator for HERA (p @ 40 GeV, e @12 GeV)
- since 2007: dedicated 3<sup>rd</sup> generation light source, commissioned in 2009 TDR: DESY 2004-035
  - $\rightarrow$  14 beamlines (15 experimental stations) operating in parallel
- from 2014: staged extension project W. Drube et al., 2016 <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4952814</u>
  - $\rightarrow$  *up to 12 additional beamlines* (presently not all of them in operation)



Extension Hall North Paul P. Ewald

Extension Hall East Ada Yonath

*Max von Laue* Hall

# **PETRA IV: Overview**



### • PETRA IV storage ring and pre-accelerators



Design parameter	PETRAIII			<b>PETRA IV</b>		
Energy / GeV	6			6		
Circumference / m	2304			2304		
Operation mode	Continuous	Timing		Brightness	Timing	
Emittance (horz. / vert.) / pm rad	1300 / 10		< 20 / 4	< 50 / 10		

use of existing accelerator tunnel

- $\rightarrow$  asymmetric ring structure
- > additional experimental hall
  - $\rightarrow$  29 straight ID sections

### • time line



#### presently

 $\rightarrow$  preparation of *Conceptual* 

Design Report

# **PETRA IV: Diffraction Limited Light Source**



DLS design



- BPM resolution requirements
  - → single bunch / single turn <20  $\mu$ m (assuming 0.5 mA in single bunch → 2.5×10<sup>10</sup> particles bunch)
    - < 100 nm (rms, 200 mA in 1600 bunches) at 300 Hz BW

Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

closed orbit

# **BPM Resolution**

• position determination in circular accelerator

$$= K_{x} \frac{(P_{1}+P_{4}) - (P_{2}+P_{3})}{P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}} \qquad y = K_{y} \frac{(P_{1}+P_{2}) - (P_{3}+P_{4})}{P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}}$$

• position resolution (small displacements from center)

 $\sigma_{x,y} \propto K_{x,y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{SNR}}$ 

 $K_{x,y}$ : monitor constant

*SNR* : signal-to-noise ratio

• depends on

Х

- ▶ pickup geometry  $\rightarrow$  beam pipe diameter
- $\rightarrow$  button size  $\rightarrow$  small correction

- > geometry (button size)  $\rightarrow$  signal strength
- $\rightarrow$  infrastructure  $\rightarrow$  cable length & attenuation
- read-out electronics



main focus: read-out electronics

### goal

▶ performance test  $\rightarrow$  existing *Libera Brilliance* readout electronics @ PETRA III





# **BPM Resolution Measurements**



### • modern ADCs optimized for cw signals

signal from BPM button  $\rightarrow$  far away from cw signal



- BPM resolution with beam generated signals
- BPM signal measurement with beam  $\rightarrow$  2 kinds of jitter
  - beam jitter
    - → real *change of beam angle* and *position* caused by fluctuations in accelerator (ground motion, energy fluctuation, kicks, ...)
    - $\rightarrow$  seen by *several / all* BPMs simultaneously
      - (correlation via beam optics)
  - > noise of BPM electronics
    - $\rightarrow$  quantitiy to be measured
    - $\rightarrow$  **no correlation** between adjacent BPM readings
- common methods for correlation analysis
  - ,,three BPM" correlation method
  - Principal Components Analysis (PCA)





correlation analysis in order to disentangle both jitter sources



brief review

# "Three BPM" Correlation Method



principle setup: 3 adjacent BPMs  $BPM_1$   $BPM_2$ beam



- BPM reads position information  $\begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ y'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y'_1 \end{pmatrix}$   $y_2 = \gamma_{11}y_1 + \gamma_{12}y'_1 \qquad \bigcirc \qquad (\qquad \alpha \cup \gamma_{12}) \qquad \gamma_{12} \qquad (\qquad \beta \cup \gamma_{12}) \qquad (\qquad \beta$ 
  - $y_{2} = \gamma_{11}y_{1} + \gamma_{12}y'_{1}$   $y_{3} = \alpha_{11}y_{1} + \alpha_{12}y'_{1}$   $y_{2} = \left(\gamma_{11} \frac{\alpha_{11}\gamma_{12}}{\alpha_{12}}\right)y_{1} + \frac{\gamma_{12}}{\alpha_{12}}y_{3} \Rightarrow y_{2} = X_{21}y_{1} + X_{23}y_{3}$

BPM<sub>3</sub>

• difference: measured position vs. expectation

 $\Delta = y_2 - X_{21}y_1 - X_{23}y_3$ 

• all BPM readings with same error

$$\sigma_{y_1} \sim \sigma_{y_2} \sim \sigma_{y_3} = \sigma_{BPM}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sigma_{BPM} = \frac{\sigma_{\Delta}}{\sqrt{1 + X_{21}^2 + X_{23}^2}}$$

- calculate variance (error propagation)  $\sigma_{\Delta}^{2} = \sigma_{y_{2}}^{2} + X_{21}^{2}\sigma_{y_{1}}^{2} + X_{23}^{2}\sigma_{y_{3}}^{2}$
- $\sigma_{\Delta} \rightarrow N$  consecutive position measurements

$$\sigma_{BPM} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ y_{2,i} - \left( X_{21} y_{1,i} + X_{23} y_{3,i} \right) \right\}^2}{1 + X_{21}^2 + X_{23}^2}}$$

Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

٥

- connection via transport matrices
  - > no non-linear elements between BPMs
    - $\begin{pmatrix} y_3 \\ y'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y'_1 \end{pmatrix}$

 $= \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ y'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

### "Three BPM" Correlation Method (2)

#### procedure

- N consecutive position measurements with 3 adjacent BPMs
- determination of transfer matrix elements  $X_{21}$ ,  $X_{23}$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  <u>straight forward:</u> calculation according to beam optics
    - model independent: *Moore-Penrose* pseudo inverse
- > BPM resolution

$$\rightarrow \text{ evaluate formula } \quad \sigma_{BPM} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ y_{2,i} - \left( X_{21} y_{1,i} + X_{23} y_{3,i} \right) \right\}^2}{1 + X_{21}^2 + X_{23}^2}}$$

(least-square estimate for X)

- successive application to all BPMs
  - $\rightarrow$  grouping three adjacent BPMs

example: KEK-B M. Arinaga et al., NIM A499 (2003) 100

- restrictions
  - > no non-linear elements  $\rightarrow$  difficult at DLS
  - > same error of BPM readings  $\rightarrow$  not possible at PETRA III
  - sometimes weak correlations with neighbour BPMs
    - $\rightarrow$  large uncertainty in BPM resolution (especially with Moore-Penrose)





 $\begin{pmatrix} y_{2,1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2,N} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_{1,1} & y_{3,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & y_{1,N} & y_{2,N} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ X_{21} \\ X_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ 



constant

offset  $\approx 0$ 

#### Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

# **Principal Components Analysis (PCA)**

- method of multivariate statistics
  - conversion of set of correlated variables into set of linearly uncorrelated ones
    - $\rightarrow$  principal components (PC)
  - cleansing of correlations in data sets
    - $\rightarrow$  structuring of large data sets, compression, ...
- prinicipal axis determination
  - orientation of first principal axis
    - $\rightarrow$  axis rotation such that overall data variance is maximized (requires centering
    - $\rightarrow$  alternative: minimize projections (hint:  $\chi^2$ )
  - > orientation of second (third, ...) principal axis
    - $\rightarrow$  remove contribution of 1<sup>st</sup> PC from data
    - $\rightarrow$  repeat rotation and variance maximization

condition: uncorrelated with (i.e., perpendicular to) first principal component

- mathematics behind
  - form covariance matrix  $C \rightarrow$  real & symmetric matrix
  - diagonalization of  $C \rightarrow C = V \Lambda V^T$ 
    - V: formed by orthonormal eigen vectors





eigen vectors  $\rightarrow$  principal components

eigen values  $(\Lambda) \rightarrow$  amount of variance for PC

sort eigen vectors according to eigen values





# **Principal Components Analysis (2)**



- alternative numerical method  $\rightarrow$  Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
  - instead of *diagonalization* of *covariance matrix* 
    - $\rightarrow$  SVD of data matrix **M**
  - relation between *singular* and *eigen values*:

$$\rightarrow \Lambda = \frac{\Sigma^2}{n-1}$$

- advantage
  - $\rightarrow$  SVD numerically more stable (formation of  $MM^T$  can cause loss of precision  $\rightarrow$  Läuchli matrix)
  - $\rightarrow$  benefit: SVD provides additional information (accelerator physics)
- application to BPM data

$$\rightarrow$$
 BPM data centered

→ normalization: 
$$\propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n m}}$$
 C.X. Wang, SLAC-R-547 (2003)

- exploration of SVD matrix properties
  - $\rightarrow$  U: column vectors contain information about *temporal* pattern (tune, ...)
  - $\rightarrow$  V: column vectors contain information about *spatial* pattern (orbit /  $\beta$  function, ...)

<u>comment</u>: U/V as temporal/spatial vectors  $\rightarrow$  depends on orientation of matrix M



 $M = \begin{pmatrix} BPM_1(turn\#1) & \cdots & BPM_n(turn\#1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ BPM_1(turn\#m) & \cdots & BPM_n(turn\#m) \end{pmatrix} \qquad turns (time coordinate)$ 

orbit (**space** coordinate)

 $M = U \cdot \Sigma \cdot V^{T}$ (*M* real:  $V^* \to V^T$ )

$$T$$

$$V^* \rightarrow V^T$$
)
$$V^* Wikipedia$$

$$V = I_m$$

$$V^* = I_n$$

# **PCA Example**



- test measurement @ PETRA III
  - fill pattern: 960 bunches @ 5.6 mA
  - single vertical kick with excitation kicker
  - > 2048 turns recorded
- temporal modes
  - information about tune



- BPM resolution
  - singular values  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots = 0 \rightarrow$  calculate cleaned orbit data

- SVD analysis
  - > dominant modes (singular values)  $\rightarrow$  mode 1 & 2



- spatial modes
  - → information about beam optics →  $\beta \propto (v_1^2 + v_2^2)$



# **PETRA III BPM System**



- 11 different pickup types:
  - Max von Laue hall



• 3 different cable types

RFA  $^{1\!/\!2'}$  ,  $^{3\!/\!8'}$  ,  $^{7\!/\!8'}$  – 50  $\Omega$ 



cable lengths: 10m ... 200m



246 individual settings



standard octants:



I. Krouptchenkov et al., Proc. DIPAC 2009, Basel, TUPD03, p. 291

# **BPM Resolution Studies**



### • resolution studies @ PETRA III

- > single bunch with Q<sub>b</sub> varying
- > single vertical kick with excitation kicker

> 2048 turns



# **TbT Single Bunch Resolution**



- machine studies at PETRA III with existing BPM system
  - Libera Brilliance (Instrumentation Technologies)



Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

# **Test of Read-out Electronics**





### **Resolution Comparison**





 $\rightarrow$ 

Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

DEELS 2019 Workshop @ ESRF, 4.6.2019

#### Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

### **Closed Orbit & Stability**

### • long term stability

- user operation: 480 bunches in 100 mA, top-up
- > all Liberas in closed orbit (SA) mode



 $\rightarrow$ 

drift compensation (digital signal conditioning, DSC) on



# **Closed Orbit Resolution**



### specification: <100nm (rms) in brightness mode (200mA in 1600 bunches) @ BW 300 Hz



first 12 hours (before beam dump):

 $\sigma_{rms} = 20.76 \ nm$  in SA mode (BW 4Hz,  $K_{x,y} = 10 \text{mm}$ ; BW 4Hz  $\rightarrow$  see Brilliance+ User Manual, p.34)

$\rightarrow$	scaling with band width:		Name of the data flow	Туре	Buffer size*	Rate	Bandwidth
$x = \frac{1}{300/4}$		- 8 66	ADC rate data	on demand	1 M atoms (8 MB)	ADC freq.	~20 MHz
	~ sqrt(500/4)	- 8.00	Turn-by-Turn (DDC)	on demand	2 M atoms (64 MB)	rev.freq	0.35*rev.freq
$\rightarrow$	$\sigma_{rms} = 180 nm$	(@ BW 300Hz)	00Hz) Turn-by-Turn (TDP) on de		2 M atoms (64 MB)	rev.freq	
			Fast Acquisition data	stream		10 kS/s	2 kHz
			Slow Acquisition data	stream		10 S/s	4 Hz

Gero Kube, DESY / MDI

DEELS 2019 Workshop @ ESRF, 4.6.2019

# Conclusion



- BPM resolution studies
  - > require correlation analysis in order to disentangle noise and beam generated jitter
    - $\rightarrow$  ,,three BPM" correlation method & PCA
- correlation analysis
  - > ",,three BPM" correlation method  $\rightarrow$  not suitable for PETRA III BPM system
  - ▶ PCA  $\rightarrow$  powerful tool, not only for resolution studies (e.g. BPM performance evaluation @ SSRF, ...)
    - $\rightarrow$  model independent, but limited by mode mixing

Z.-C. Chen et al., Chinese Physics **38** (2014) 077004 Nucl. Sci and Tech. **25** (2014) 020102

next step: Independent Component Analysis (ICA)

- Libera Brilliance
  - > single bunch resolution  $\rightarrow$  specs not fulfilled for PETRA IV
- Libera Brilliance+
  - ▶ single bunch resolution → specs fulfilled @ bunch curent  $I_B \approx 0.4$  mA and monitor constant  $K_{x,y} = 10$  mm

DDC mode < 20  $\mu$ m (rms), TDP mode  $\approx$  10  $\mu$ m (rms)

→ closed orbit → specs not fulfilled (< 100 nm @ 300 Hz bandwidth)

 $\sigma_{y,rms} \approx 180 \text{ nm}$  @ 300 Hz bandwidth

and  $K_{x,y} = 10 \text{ mm}$ 

### • Libera Spark

 $\rightarrow$  single bunch resolution much better  $\rightarrow$  closed orbit: needs stabilization

Gero Kube, DESY / MDI