

## **CERN Ideasquare Advisory Board**

Thierry Lagrange
Chair of ISAB
Department Head (IPT)

February 22-23, 2019



## (First) Conclusions of day 1

- IdeaSquare clearly has many facets
  - Physics R&D (Neutrino, TT-PET, Health, Augment, gamma-MRI etc.), idea of a launcher for new R&D platforms
  - Student projects with SDG connections (e.g. CBI)
  - Hacks and workshops, other events
  - Demonstrator for (Maxi) ATTRACT
  - Documenting and sharing innovation experimentation (CIJ)
- Question: Are there any aspects or advantages in the above that were not mentioned today? Should they?

## Conclusions of Day 1 (cont'd)

- Activities clearly described, can see an organic growth
- Questions
  - Are there procedures in place how to join the different activities or access the facilities? Where?
  - Can the impressive growth or evolution of activities still continue like this?
    - If yes, when will it saturate (with given resources)? What to do then?
    - If not, what would be the priorities? What would be a good balance between like a baseline and the evolving, innovative parts?
  - If IdeaSquare is (just) a demonstrator of ATTRACT, as the latter is now funded, does it mean IdeaSquare has served it purpose? If not, why not? Where will it go next?

## Conclusions of Day 1 (cont'd)

- What activities are "nice to have" and what are "must to have"?
  - Maturity level often determines that...
  - How much are external collaborators contributing to IdeaSquare?