



ESSNUSB WP5 SOFTWARE WORKSHOP

- 1. OVERVIEW
- 2. SIMULATION STATUS
- 3. DISCUSSION

ESSNUSB WP5 SOFTWARE WORKSHOP





OVERVIEW

- Can we conceive a neutrino beam based on a multi-MW proton beam ?
- At the start of EUROv, no proven solution for the target and collector was proposed for this facility !
- · Can we design a target for a multi-MW proton beam ?
- Can we do it with a reliable design without compromising the physics reach ?
- · Target
 - 300-1000 J/cm³/pulse
 - Severe problems from: sudden heating, stress, activation
 - Solid versus liquid targets
 - cooling
- Horn
 - horn+reflector integration
 - pulser (up to 600 kA)
- Safety
- Lifetime (supposed to run for 10 years)







OVERVIEW

- Superbeam simulation:
 - Particle production
 - Horn design optimisation
 - **Decay tunnel optimisation**
 - Flux Computation

hadrons

Sensitivity Estimation at



Detector

Decay tunnel

π

hadron collector

(focusing)

target

proton

beam

р













PROTOTYPE AT CERN

First studies with old SPL characteristics:

• 2.2 GeV proton beam





For the horn skin AA 6082-T6 / (AlMgSi1) is an acceptable compromise between the 4 main characteristics:

- Mechanical properties
- Welding abilities
- Electrical properties
- Resistance to corrosion
- Same for CNGS

initial design satisfying both, Neutrino Factory and Super Beam

(see 5. Gilardoni's thesis)

...but Al is not compatible with Mercury!





Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

PARTICLE PRODUCTION FROM EURONU FROM







Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

PARTICLE PRODUCTION FROM EURONU FROM



Pi collection: Hg-C

- P vs θ plots
- Positive focusing (negative defocusing)
- Carbon:
 - focused pi+ less "monochromatic" (tail at high momentum)
 - larger fraction of not defocused pi-
 - 4.5 GeV

 P_{π} := probability to reach the detector

$$\mathcal{P}_{\pi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{A}{L^2} \frac{1 - \beta^2}{(\beta \cos \alpha - 1)^2}$$
Andrea Longhin - CEA Saclay







Optimization:

- Depend on beam proton energy range,
- Rejection of wrong sign mesons
- Limited energy deposition
- Reliability

=> MiniBoone-like horn => Four Horn System







Horn shape and SuperBeam geometrical Optimization I







Horn Shape and SuperBeam geometrical Optimization II





D (1 [1
Parameters	value [mm]
L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5	589, 468, 603, 475, 10.8
t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4	3, 3, 3, 3
r_1, r_2	108
r_3	50.8
R^{tg}	12
L^{tg}	780
z^{tg}	68
R_2, R_3	191, 359
R_1 combined	12
<i>B</i> ₁ separate	30



fix & restrict parameters then reiterate for best horn parameters & SuperBeam geometry

Converging to better limits



broad parameters' scan

- restricted intervals for effective parameters \rightarrow horn with min λ
- vary tunnel parameters in L [15-35] m r [1.5-4.5] m

Horn Studies, WP2 @ APC, EUROnu 2012

A. Longhin

Third EUROnu annual meeting, RAL 19 Ian 2011





SIMULATION

- History :
 - 2004 : SPL-Fréjus Superbeam Studies (A. Cazes and Al.)
 - **2008-2012** : Euronu (A. Longhin and Al.)
 - 2012- Present : N. Vassilopoulos (GEANT3 + FLUKA)

Available Frameworks:



GEANT4 (Neutrino Flux)



FLUKA (Energy deposition/ Safety)





SUPERBEAM SIMULATION (GEANT4) : NEUTRINO FLUX (PI+ FOCUSED)







SUPERBEAM SIMULATION (GEANT4) : NEUTRINO FLUX (PI+ FOCUSED)

