

Track 3: Real-time Calibration and Alignment

Will Kalderon, Maurizio
Pierini, Emma Tolley
19th July 2019



Summary

- Monday: Discussion Session
 - Talk on CMS Calibration: HLT Conditions, prompt calibration loop, and offline calibration
 - CMS calorimeter was not designed to be calibrated fast - need sizeable number of balance events for each cell
 - Talk on HGTD calibration: Need live calibration to correct for effects that would degrade precision timing resolution
 - Need to motivate people to work on automating monitoring / calibration procedures: ML?
 - Should we re-think calibrations? Variations we are currently averaging away. Doing things faster would be better for trigger and offline.
 - Live calibration has a huge potential impact on triggers - $O(50\%)$ of events recorded are thrown away because below trigger turnon (set by online : offline resolution, ie a function of trigger calibration) and it's difficult to work on turnon
- Wednesday: Hands-on Session
 - Attempt to predict the CMS calorimeter transparency using a neural network
 - We discovered that you can't solve CMS's calibration problems in two hours. Time variation is tricky to train.
- Doing things in closer-to-real time, to capture time variation we are otherwise averaging out, is going to be useful for upgrades (eg HGTD) and current calibration (eg calorimeters and fill-by-fill variations)