

# Gromov-Witten-Floer theory and mirror symmetry

Yong-Geun Oh

IBS Center for Geometry and Physics & POSTECH:

<https://cgp.ibs.re.kr>

Cern, June 11, 2019

– based on joints works with **K. Fukaya, H. Ohta & K. Ono** –

# Outline

- 1  $2d$  Open-closed TQFT
- 2 Bulk/boundary deformation of  $A$ -model
- 3 Bulk/boundary deformations of toric  $A$ -model
- 4 Construction of mirror and a mirror theorem

## 2d Open-closed TQFT

According to **Lazaroiu**, 2d open/closed TQFT is equivalent to the following data:

- 1  $A$ : commutative Frobenius algebra —‘closed sector’—
- 2  $\mathcal{C}$ : Calabi-Yau category, i.e., —‘open sector’—
- 3 Existence of bulk/boundary map

$$A \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}), \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{E}}} A \quad \forall \mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$$

satisfying

- ▶  $\mathrm{Im} \iota_{\mathcal{E}} \subset Z(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}))$
  - ▶  $\langle \iota_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{a}), \alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \mathbf{a}, \iota_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) \rangle_A \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in A, \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}).$
- 4  $\mathrm{tr}(\beta^m \alpha) = \langle \iota^{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), \iota^{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) \rangle_A, \quad \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}), \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}),$

$$\beta^m \alpha := \beta \circ (\cdot) \circ \alpha : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}).$$

# A-model: Gromov-Witten-Floer theory

The A-model on compact  $(X, \omega)$  consists of

- 1 Open sector: The Fukaya category  $\mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{Fuk}(X, \omega)$ ,
  - ▶ Objects: Lagrangian submanifold  $L$
  - ▶ Morphisms: Floer cohomology  $HF(L, K)$
  - ▶ Products: product  $m_2$  (or  $m_2^{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}}$ ) and higher products  $m_k$  (or  $m_k^{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}}$ )
  - ▶ Pairing: Poincare pairing satisfying **cyclicity**

$$\langle m_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), x_0 \rangle = (-1)^{|x_0|'(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|')} \langle m_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}), x_k \rangle$$

- 2 Closed sector:  $QH^*(X, \omega)$  (or  $A_X = HH^*(\mathcal{C}_X)$ )
  - ▶ Product: quantum product  $\cup$  or  $\cup^{\mathbf{b}}$
  - ▶ pairing: Poincare pairing
- 3 Boundary/bulk maps (or open/closed maps)
  - ▶ **Bulk-boundary map**:  $\hat{q} : QH^*(X) \rightarrow HH^*(\mathcal{C}_X)$ ,
  - ▶ **Boundary-bulk map**:  $\hat{p} : HH_*(\mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow QH^*(X)$ .

# Landau-Ginzburg $B$ -model: matrix factorization

A  $B$ -model on Calabi Yau (noncompact) manifold  $Y$  associated with a **Landau-Ginzburg potential** consists of a **holomorphic function**  $W$  on  $Y$

① Open sector:  $\mathcal{C}_Y = MF(W)$

- ▶ Objects: a pair  $\mathcal{E} = (E, Q)$  with  $E = E^0 \oplus E^1$ ,  $Q : E \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $Q^2 = W \cdot Id$
- ▶ Morphisms:  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded morphisms  $\Phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  respecting factorization
- ▶ Products:  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G})$
- ▶ Pairing: **When  $E$  is trivial bundle**, the pairing can be written as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{KL} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n n!} \oint \frac{\text{Tr}(fg(dQ)^{\wedge n})}{\partial_{x_1} W \partial_{x_2} W \cdots \partial_{x_n} W}$$

② Closed sector:  $\text{Jac}(W_Y)$  (or  $A_Y = HH^*(D^b(\text{Coh}(Y)))$ )

- ▶ Product: natural product on  $HH^*(D^b(\text{Coh}(Y)))$  (or  $\text{Jac}(W_Y)$ )
- ▶ Pairing: Serre duality induced pairing  $\langle \cdots, \cdots \rangle$

③ Boundary/bulk maps or open/closed maps

- ▶ **Bulk-boundary map**:  $\iota_{\mathcal{E}} : A_Y \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$   $a \mapsto \circ a \circ_h \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$
- ▶ **Boundary-bulk map**:  $\iota^{\mathcal{E}} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow A_Y$

## Boundary deformations

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be **closed symplectic manifolds** and  $\mathcal{F}_X = \mathcal{F}uk(X, \omega)$ . The  $A_\infty$  Mauer-Cartan equation is

$$\sum_{i=0} m_i(b, \dots, b) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Lambda_0 \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle}$$

for  $b \in HF^{\text{odd}}(L; \Lambda_+)$ . Denote by  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{weak}}(L)$  the set of solutions and by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L)$  the set of **gauge equivalence class** of solutions.

### Definition

$L \subset X$  is called **weakly unobstructed** Lagrangian submanifold if  $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L) \neq \emptyset$ .

This enables us to define the FOOO-potential function

### Definition

The **potential function**  $\mathfrak{P}\mathcal{D}_L : \mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{P}\mathcal{D}_L(b) = \sum_{i=0} m_i(b, \dots, b) / \mathbf{e}.$$

- 1 **Enhanced objects:**  $(L, b) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ ,  $b \in C^1(L; \Lambda_0)$  satisfying the  $A_\infty$  Maurer-Cartan equation
- 2 **Deformed morphisms:**  $x \in CF^*((L, b_L), (K, b_K))$  (or  $HF^*((L, b_L), (K, b_K))$  where

$$CF^*((L, b_L), (K, b_K)) = \begin{cases} \Lambda_0 \langle L \cap K \rangle & \text{for } L \pitchfork K \\ \Omega^*(L; \Lambda_0) & \text{for } K = L \end{cases}$$

- 3 **Deformed products:** For  $b \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L)$ , the boundary deformed  $m_k^b : CF(L, L)^k \otimes \rightarrow CF(L, L)$  is defined by

$$m_k^b(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum m_*(b, \dots, b, x_1, b, \dots, b, x_k, b, \dots, b).$$

The deformed  $m^b = \{m_k^b\}$  still satisfies  $A_\infty$ -relation and  $m_1^b \circ m_1^b = 0$  in addition.

# Bulk deformations

Let  $\mathbf{b} \in H^{\text{even}}(X, \Lambda_+)$ . Consider the **bulk-boundary** (or **closed-open**) map

$$q_{\ell,k}^L : E_\ell(H(X)) \otimes B_k(H^*(L)) \rightarrow H^*(L)$$

using the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,\ell}(\beta)$  and setting

$$q_{k,\ell;\beta}^L(y_1, \dots, y_\ell; x_1, \dots, x_k) = (ev_0)_!(ev_\ell^+ \times ev_+)^*(\mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{x})$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  and  $q_{k,\ell}^L = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} q_{\ell,k;\beta}^L T^{\omega(\beta)}$ .

- 1 Closed sector: When  $k = -1$ , this deforms  $QH^*(X)$  and define the **big quantum cohomology** as a **Frobenius manifold**  $(QH_{\mathbf{b}}^*(X), \cup^{\mathbf{b}})$ .
- 2 Open sector: Let  $L$  be any compact Lagrangian submanifold. We first deform  $m^L$  by setting

$$m_k^{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{\ell \geq 0, \beta} T^{\omega(\beta)} q_{\ell,k;\beta}(\mathbf{b}^{\otimes \ell}; x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

# Boundary deformation of toric fibers

## Theorem (FOOO)

Let  $(X, \omega)$  toric manifold, the moment projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P = \text{Im } \pi$ . Then

- 1 all toric fibers  $\pi^{-1}(u) := L_u$  for  $u \in \text{Int } P$  are weakly unobstructed (Cho-O). In particular  $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L) \neq \emptyset$ .
- 2 there exists a natural embedding  $i_L : H^1(L; \Lambda_+) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L)$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}^u = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{L(u)}$ . The function extends with the coefficient  $\Lambda_+$  to  $\Lambda_0$ , by twisting **non-unitary** line bundle on  $L(u)$  (Cho).

Fix an integral basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $H^1(L(u); \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $x_i^u$  the associated coordinates of  $H^1(L(u); \Lambda_+)$ . The function  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{L(u)}$  factors through  $y_i^u := e^{x_i^u} \in \Lambda^*$ . Then  $H^1(L; \Lambda^*) \cong (\Lambda^*)^n$ . Denote

$W^u := \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}^u \circ i_{L(u)} : H^1(L(u); \Lambda^*) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$ . In coordinates, we have

$$W^u = W^u(y_1^u, \dots, y_n^u) = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}^u \circ i_{L(u)}(x_1^u, \dots, x_n^u).$$

## Bulk/boundary deformations of open sector

Let  $\mathbf{b} \in H^{\text{even}}(X; \Lambda_0)$  and represent it by a  $T^n$ -equivariant cycles. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$  the Chow group generated by the invariants cycles. Consider the deformed  $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}} = \{\mathfrak{m}_k^{\mathbf{b}}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , the associated Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\mathfrak{m}_0^{\mathbf{b},b} := \sum_{i=0} m_i^{\mathbf{b}}(b, \dots, b) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Lambda_0 \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle}$$

and denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}(L(u), \mathbf{b}; \Lambda_0)$  the set of gauge equivalence class of solutions. Then we obtain a family of **deformed potentials**

$$\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}^u : \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{weak,def}}(L(u)) \rightarrow \Lambda_+, \quad \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}^u(\mathbf{b}, b) = \mathfrak{m}_0^{\mathbf{b},b} / \mathbf{e}_{L(u)}$$

where  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{weak,def}}(L(u)) := \sqcup_{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{weak}}(L(u), \mathbf{b}; \Lambda_0)$ .

### Definition (**b**-weakly unobstructed Lagrangian)

is a pair  $((L(u), b)$  with  $b \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{weak}}^{\mathbf{b}}(L(u))$ .

## Definition (**b**-deformed Fukay category)

$\mathcal{Fuk}^{\mathbf{b}}(X, \omega)$  is an  $A_\infty$  category consisting of

- 1 Objects:  $(L(u), b)$  with  $b \in \mathfrak{M}_{weak}^{\mathbf{b}}(L(u))$
- 2 Morphisms:  $CF^{\mathbf{b}}((L_0, b_0), (L_1, b_1))$  or its cohomology
- 3 Products: For  $\kappa_i := (L_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ,  
 $m_k^{\mathbf{b}; b} : CF^{\mathbf{b}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes CF^{\mathbf{b}}(\kappa_{k-1}, \kappa_k) \rightarrow CF^{\mathbf{b}}(\kappa_0, \kappa_k)$

## Proposition

For each  $u \in \text{Int } P$ , there exists a canonical inclusions

- $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+) \times H^1(L(u); \Lambda_+) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{weak, def}(L(u))$
- $H^{even}(X, \Lambda_+) \times H^1(L(u); \Lambda_+) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{weak, def}(L(u))$ .

We now define a **b**-family of functions

$$\mathfrak{D}^u : \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+)} \mathfrak{M}_{weak}^{\mathbf{b}}(L(u)) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$$

# Bulk deformation of Landau-Ginzburg potential

By restricting  $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{D}^u$  to  $H^*(X; \Lambda_+) \times H^*(L(u); \Lambda_0)$ , we obtain

$$W^u : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+) \times H^1(L(u); \Lambda_+) \rightarrow \Lambda_0.$$

We fix a basis of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{[D_a]\}_{a=1}^B$  of **toric divisors** and denote the associated coordinate variables by  $w_1, \dots, w_B$ . Trivialize the torus fibration  $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(\text{Int } P) \rightarrow \text{Int } P \times T^n$  and induce an isomorphism  $\psi_u : H^*(T^n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(L(u), \mathbb{Z})$ . We fix a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $H^1(T^n; \mathbb{Z})$  and make the change of the variables

$$y_i = T^{-u_i} y_i^u \text{ or equivalently } y_i^u = T^{u_i} y_i$$

so that the new coordinates  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{v}^u(y_i) = 0$  for the valuation  $\mathfrak{v}^u$ : **We set  $\mathfrak{v}^u(T^\lambda w_j) := \lambda =: \mathfrak{v}^u(T^\lambda y_j)$** . Then we have

$$u = (\mathfrak{v}^u(y_1), \dots, \mathfrak{v}^u(y_n)).$$

## Construction of mirror

We obtain a function  $\widetilde{W} : \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+) \times H^1(T^n; \Lambda_+) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$  which descends to (and extends to)

$$W : H^*(x; \Lambda_+) \times H^1(T^n; \Lambda^*) \cong H^*(x; \Lambda_+) \times (\Lambda^*)^n \rightarrow \Lambda_0,$$

with  $W = W(w_1, \dots, w_B; y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

Then  $W_{\mathbf{b}} := W(\mathbf{b}; \cdot) : (\Lambda^*)^n \rightarrow \Lambda_0$  defines a deformation family of potential functions defined on  $(\Lambda^*)^n$  parameterized by  $\mathbf{b} \in H^*(X, \Lambda_+)$ .

(The  $\mathbb{C}$ -reduction to  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  of  $W = W_0$  is the physicists'

*Landau-Ginzburg potential function* (or the refined version of Hori-Vafa potential).)

By now, we have constructed a mirror  $((\Lambda^*)^n, W_{\mathbf{b}})$  of toric  $A$ -model using the **open sector**  $\mathcal{Fuk}^{\mathbf{b}}(X, \omega)$ . In particular, the logarithmic derivative

$$y_i \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial x_i}$$

**reflects information on Floer cohomology** of the fiber of toric fibration.

## Kodaira-Spencer map $\tilde{\xi}_{\mathbf{b}}$

Recall the coordinate functions  $w_1, \dots, w_B$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+)$  with respect to the basis  $\{D_a\}$ . For given  $\mathbf{b} \in H^*(X, \Lambda_+)$  with its representative  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+)$   $[\mathbf{f}] = \mathbf{b}$ , consider the function

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\mathbf{f}} : T_{\mathbf{f}}\mathcal{A}(\Lambda_+) \rightarrow \Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \mapsto \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial w_i}$$

We define a **Jacobian ring** by

$$\text{Jac}(W_{\mathbf{b}}) = \frac{\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}}}{\text{Clos}_{d_{\mathring{P}}} \left( y_i \frac{\partial \mathfrak{B}_{\Omega_{\mathbf{b}}}}{\partial y_i} : i = 1, \dots, n \right)}$$

where

$$\Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^{\mathring{P}} := \bigcap_{u \in \text{Int } P} \Lambda\langle\langle y, y^{-1} \rangle\rangle_0^u$$

# Kodaira-Spencer map and Frobenius manifold

## Theorem (FOOO)

Equip  $H(X; \Lambda_0)$  with the  $\mathbf{b}$ -deformed quantum product  $\cup^{\mathbf{b}}$ .

- 1 The map  $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{f}}}$  induces a ring isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{b}}} : H(X, \Lambda_0) \cong T_{\mathbf{b}}H(X, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(W_{\mathbf{b}}).$$

- 2 If  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{b}}$  is a Morse function, then  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{b}}}$  intertwines the Poincaré pairing on  $H^*(X, \Lambda)$  and the residue pairing on  $\text{Jac}(W_{\mathbf{b}}) \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda$ .

We call the map  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{b}}} : H(X, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(W_{\mathbf{b}})$  the **Kodaira-Spencer map**.

## Remark

For the case of Fano  $X$  and  $\mathbf{b} = 0$ , similar result was known by Batyrev (by direct calculation) and Givental by his mirror theorem on toric completion. Our proof is more conceptual and involves open-closed Gromov-Witten-Floer theory.

## Boundary-bulk map and generation criterion

Boundary-bulk map  $\widehat{p} : HH_*(\mathcal{F}_X) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$  is induced by the collection of maps  $\{p_k\}$  where when  $\mathcal{F}_X = \{(L, b)\}$ ,  $p_k : B_k^{\text{cyc}}\Omega^*(L) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$  is defined by setting

$$p_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) := (\text{ev}_{\text{int}})!(\text{ev}_{\partial}^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k))$$

with the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{k,1}(L, \beta)$  and its associated evaluation maps. This map has the natural **categorical version** defined for any **finite** collection  $\mathbf{L} = \{(L_i, b_i)\}_{i \in I}$  with

$$CH_*(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{\vec{i}} B_{\vec{i}} CF(\mathcal{L})$$

and

$$B_{\vec{i}} CF(\mathcal{L}) := \bigoplus_{\vec{i}} CF(i_0, i_1) \otimes \dots \otimes CF(i_{k-1}, i_k)$$

with  $\vec{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_k)$  and  $i_\ell = (L_{i_\ell}, b_{i_\ell})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the  $A_\infty$  category split-generated by  $\mathbf{L}$ . The  $A_\infty$ -map  $m_k$  defines the **bar differential** on  $CH_*(\mathcal{L})$  and so Hochschild homology  $HH_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ .

Then the maps  $\widehat{q}, \widehat{p}$  are dual to each other in that

$$\langle \widehat{p}(\mathbf{h}), \alpha \rangle_{PD} = \langle \mathbf{h}, \widehat{q}(\alpha) \rangle_{HH}$$

for  $\mathbf{h} \in CH_*(\mathcal{L})$ . Combining the property that Fukaya category  $\mathcal{Fuk}(X, \omega)$  is a **Calabi-Yau category**, we obtain

### Proposition (AFOOO)

- The map  $\widehat{q}$  is a **ring** homomorphism and  $\widehat{p}$  is a **module** homomorphism.
- They satisfy Cardy relation, i.e.,  $\langle \widehat{p}(\mathbf{x}), \widehat{p}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{PD} = Z(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  where  $Z : CH_*(\mathcal{L}) \times CH^*(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Lambda_0$  is the **trace map**.

Consider a finite collection  $\mathbf{L}$  whose elements are pairs  $(L, b)$  for which

$$[b] \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{weak}}(L; \mathfrak{b}; \Lambda_0) \quad (1)$$

is a weak bounding cochain. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the cyclic filtered  $A_\infty$  category over the Novikov field generated by the collection.

### Theorem (Generation criterion)

*If  $\text{id}_X$  lies in the image of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{b}} : HH_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow QH_b^*(X; \Lambda)$ , then  $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$  is an isomorphism.*

## Theorem (AFOOO)

Let  $(X, \omega)$  is a compact toric manifold. Consider  $st = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \in H^*(X; \Lambda_0^{\mathbb{C}})$ . Then take the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of all pairs  $(L, b)$  where  $L$  is a torus orbit of  $X$  and  $b \in H^1(L; \Lambda_0^{\mathbb{C}}) / 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^1(L; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $HF((L, b), (L, b); \Lambda) \cong H^*(L; \Lambda)$ . Then the map

$$\widehat{p}^{\mathfrak{b}} : HH_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow QH_{\mathfrak{b}}^*(X; \Lambda)$$

is surjective. In particular, the collection  $\mathbf{L}$  split-generates  $\mathcal{Fuk}(X, \omega)$ .

In this toric case, we can take  $\mathfrak{b} = 0$  and the the collection  $\mathbf{L}$  to be

$$\mathbf{L} = \text{Crit } W_0$$

which can be explicitly described in terms of the moment polytope.

# Open questions

- Extend our theorem to the level of mirror symmetry of **higher residue pairing** for the versal family  $((\Lambda^*)^n, \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{b}})$  with  $\mathbf{b} \in H^*(X, \Lambda_+)$ .
- Investigate how the story changes for the general **Fano** manifolds, such as partial flag manifolds. Some progresses have been made by **Nishino-Nohara-Ueda** and **Cho-Kim -O** by considering the integrable system called **Gelfand-Cetlin system**.

Thank you for your attention!