

Low-x 2019

26 August 2019, Nicosia Cyprus

IMPACT-PARAMETER DEPENDENCE OF COLINEARLY IMPROVED BALITSKY-KOVCHEGOV EVOLUTION

Marek Matas (matas.marek1@gmail.com)

Czech Technical University in Prague,

Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Department of Physics

In collaboration with J. Cepila, D. Bendova, J.G. Contreras

Based on Phys. Rev. D 99, 051502 and arXiv:1907.12123

The work has been performed in the framework of COST Action CA15213 THOR.

The work has been supported by the grant 17-04505S of the Czech Science Foundation (GACR).

OUTLINE


- I. Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution equation
- II. Impact-parameter-dependent computation
- III. The problem of Coulomb tails
- IV. Collinearly improved BK equation and suppression of large daughter dipoles
- V. Results
- VI. Conclusions

BK EQUATION


BK EQUATION

BK equation describes the dressing of a color-dipole under the evolution towards higher energies.

It has been used to predict structure functions, vector meson production, as well as transverse momentum distributions of partons in hadrons.



After some time, the initial dipole becomes dressed.



BK EQUATION

The Balitsky-Kovchegov equation describes the evolution of a color dipole scattering amplitude $N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y)$ in rapidity

$$\frac{\partial N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) (N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y) - N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$

given by $Y = \ln \frac{x_0}{x}$.

Since the process of gluon emission can be computed under different approximations, we have a number of kernels derived such as

Running coupling kernel:

$$K^{run}(r, r_1, r_2) = \frac{N_c \alpha_s(r^2)}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} + \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_1^2)}{\alpha_s(r_2^2)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_2^2)}{\alpha_s(r_1^2)} - 1 \right) \right)$$

Collinearly improved kernel:


$$K^{col}(r, r_1, r_2) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \left[\frac{r^2}{\min(r_1^2, r_2^2)} \right]^{\pm \bar{\alpha}_s A_1} K_{DLA}(\sqrt{L_{r_1 r} L_{r_2 r}})$$

BK EQUATION

For solving this equation numerically, we choose an initial condition

$$N(r, b, Y = 0) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_s^2}{4} r^2 T(b_{q_1}, b_{q_2})\right), \quad \text{where} \quad T(b_{q_1}, b_{q_2}) = \left[\exp\left(-\frac{b_{q_1}^2}{2B}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{b_{q_2}^2}{2B}\right) \right].$$

There are two free parameters; saturation scale $Q_s^2 = 0.49 \text{ GeV}^2$ and variance of the profile distribution $B_G = 3.22 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$.



- The r behavior mimics that of the GBW model.
- The b behavior exhibits the exponential fall-off calculated for the individual quarks.

IMPACT-PARAMETER DEPENDENCE OF THE BK EQUATION

IMPACT-PARAMETER DEPENDENT BK

There are two main options for treating the impact-parameter dependence of the scattering amplitude:

Option a) Factorizing the impact-parameter dependence. $N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, x) \cong T(\vec{b})N(\vec{r}, x)$

If we factorize the impact-parameter dependence, we can integrate over it and replace it with a multiplicative factor.

$$\sigma^{q\bar{q}}(\vec{r}, x) = \int d\vec{b} N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, x) = \sigma_0 N(x, \vec{r})$$

This factor then stays the same for all energies and dipole sizes and is usually fit to data.

Option b) Solving the equation with the impact-parameter dependence on rapidity.


This adds two additional dimensions to the computation. The usual grid size in these two dimensions is 225x20. Which in turn means, that the CPU time gets increased with a factor of 4500.

This is not the only problem. When one tries to run the computation with the usual choice of kernels, one encounters the problems of Coulomb tails.

THE PROBLEM OF COULOMB TAILS


THE PROBLEM

If we start with an exponentially falling initial condition and the usual running coupling kernel.




THE PROBLEM

If we start with an exponentially falling initial condition and the usual running coupling kernel.




THE PROBLEM

If we start with an exponentially falling initial condition and the usual running coupling kernel.



This growth would then violate the Martin-Froisart bound.



HIGH- b SUPPRESSION


The kernel itself does not depend on b . We can however tame the growth in b by suppressing evolution at big sizes of daughter dipoles.

Why?

HIGH- b SUPPRESSION

For high- b , the scattering amplitude is exponentially suppressed at the initial condition.


$$\frac{\partial N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) (N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y) - N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$



HIGH- b SUPPRESSION

For high- b , the scattering amplitude is exponentially suppressed at the initial condition.

$$\frac{\partial N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) (N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y) - N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$




HIGH- b SUPPRESSION

For high- b , the scattering amplitude is exponentially suppressed at the initial condition.

$$\frac{\partial N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) (N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y) - N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$

The only amplitudes that could be non-zero are those with small impact parameter.

These have $r_{1,2} \sim 2b$, which is large.



HIGH- b SUPPRESSION


For high- b , the scattering amplitude is exponentially suppressed at the initial condition.

$$\frac{\partial N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) (N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}, Y) - N(\vec{r}_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(\vec{r}_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$

T

:r.

Therefore if we suppress kernel at high r_1 and r_2 , we suppress the evolution at high- b and maintain the exponential falloff of the scattering amplitude.



HOW TO SUPPRESS LARGE DAUGHTER DIPOLES

KERNEL CUTOFF

One possible solution to this problem is that we can cut the kernel, so that dipoles, that are too big would not contribute to the evolution.

$$\frac{\partial N(r, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K^{run}(r, r_1, r_2) \Theta\left(\frac{1}{m^2} - r_1^2\right) \Theta\left(\frac{1}{m^2} - r_2^2\right) \\ (N(r_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(r_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(r, \vec{b}, Y) - N(r_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(r_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$


KERNEL CUTOFF

One possible solution to this problem is that we can cut the kernel, so that dipoles, that are too big would not contribute to the evolution.

$$\frac{\partial N(r, \vec{b}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\vec{r}_1 K^{run}(r, r_1, r_2) \Theta\left(\frac{1}{m^2} - r_1^2\right) \Theta\left(\frac{1}{m^2} - r_2^2\right)$$
$$(N(r_1, \vec{b}_1, Y) + N(r_2, \vec{b}_2, Y) - N(r, \vec{b}, Y) - N(r_1, \vec{b}_1, Y)N(r_2, \vec{b}_2, Y))$$

Mass of the emitted gluon is a free parameter, that is fitted to data.

KERNEL CUTOFF



By imposing the cutoff of the kernel, we maintain the exponential falloff of the scattering amplitude.

However, as was shown in [[Phys. Rev. D84\(2011\)094022](#)], we still cannot describe the data, since the cutoff is too strong and we need to impose new phenomenological constants to cure this.

KERNEL CUTOFF

The recently proposed collinearly improved kernel is by its nature suppressed at high $r_{l,2}$ and does not require additional dimensional parameters.

$$K^{col}(r, r_1, r_2) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \left[\frac{r^2}{\min(r_1^2, r_2^2)} \right]^{\pm \bar{\alpha}_s A_1} K_{DLA}(\sqrt{L_{r_1 r} L_{r_2 r}})$$

where $K_{DLA}(\rho) = \frac{J_1(2\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_s \rho^2})}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_s \rho}}$ with $L_{r_i r} = \ln\left(\frac{r_i^2}{r^2}\right)$


$\pm \bar{\alpha}_s A_1$ is positive when r is smaller than the daughter dipoles and negative otherwise and $A_1 = 11/12$

Running coupling is of the usual scheme for the BK computations as in [J. L. Albacete et al, Eur.Phys.J. C71 (2011) 1705] at the minimal scale given by

$$\bar{\alpha}_s = \alpha_s \frac{N_c}{\pi} \quad \alpha_s = \alpha_s(r_{\min}) \quad r_{\min} = \min(r_1, r_2, r) \quad \text{with } C = 9.$$

The factor in square brackets represents the contribution of single collinear logarithms and DLA term resums double collinear logarithms to all orders.

KERNEL CUTOFF




$$K_{ci}^1 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2},$$

$$K_{ci}^2 = \left[\frac{r^2}{\min(r_1^2, r_2^2)} \right]^{\pm \bar{\alpha}_s A_1},$$

$$K_{ci}^3 = K_{DLA}(\sqrt{L_{r_1 r} L_{r_2 r}}).$$

$$K_{ci} = K_{ci}^1 K_{ci}^2 K_{ci}^3$$

KERNEL CUTOFF



This term is present already at the LO

$$K_{ci}^1 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2},$$

$$K_{ci}^2 = \left[\frac{r^2}{\min(r_1^2, r_2^2)} \right]^{\pm \bar{\alpha}_s A_1},$$

$$K_{ci}^3 = K_{DLA}(\sqrt{L_{r_1 r} L_{r_2 r}}).$$

Resums single collinear logarithms

Resums double collinear logarithms

$$K_{ci} = K_{ci}^1 K_{ci}^2 K_{ci}^3$$

KERNEL CUTOFF

Here we compare the value of the collinearly improved kernel with the running coupling kernel versus r_1 .


$$K_{rc}^1 = \frac{N_c \alpha_s(r^2)}{2\pi^2} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2},$$

$$K_{rc}^2 = \frac{N_c \alpha_s(r^2)}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_1^2)}{\alpha_s(r_2^2)} - 1 \right),$$

$$K_{rc}^3 = \frac{N_c \alpha_s(r^2)}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_2^2)}{\alpha_s(r_1^2)} - 1 \right),$$


$$K_{rc} = K_{rc}^1 + K_{rc}^2 + K_{rc}^3$$

$$r = 1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \theta_{rr} = \pi/2$$




KERNEL CUTOFF

Here we compare the value of the collinearly improved kernel with the running coupling kernel versus r_1 .



$$r = 1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \theta_{rr1} = \pi/2$$

$$K_{ci} = K_{ci}^1 K_{ci}^2 K_{ci}^3$$




$$K_{rc} = K_{rc}^1 + K_{rc}^2 + K_{rc}^3$$

KERNEL CUTOFF

Here we compare the value of the collinearly improved kernel with the running coupling kernel versus r_1 .


The suppression can be traced back to the fact that large daughter dipoles do not follow the time-ordering prescription built in when setting up the resummation that leads to the collinearly improved kernel.

They would live longer than the parent dipole.




$$r = 1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \theta_{rr1} = \pi/2$$

$$K_{ci} = K_{ci}^1 K_{ci}^2 K_{ci}^3 \quad K_{rc} = K_{rc}^1 + K_{rc}^2 + K_{rc}^3$$





KERNEL CUTOFF

Here we compare the value of the collinearly improved kernel with the running coupling kernel versus r_1 .



KERNEL CUTOFF


Here we compare the value of the collinearly improved kernel with the running coupling kernel versus r_1 .





J. Cepila, J. G. Contreras, M. Matas; Phys. Rev. D 99, 051502

COMPARISON TO DATA




RESULTS







RESULTS







RESULTS



RESULTS



RESULTS



SCATTERING AMPLITUDE DATASETS

SCATTERING AMPLITUDE DATASETS

 **FACULTY OF
NUCLEAR SCIENCES
AND PHYSICAL
ENGINEERING
CTU IN PRAGUE**

Theory and phenomenology of the heavy-ion collisions
Department of Physics

Home **Projects** **Members** **Publications**

b-dependent solution of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation

In this work we solved the impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with the recently proposed collinearly improved kernel. We find that the solutions do not present the Coulomb tails that have affected previous studies. We also show that once choosing an adequate initial condition it is possible to obtain a reasonable description of HERA data on the structure function of the proton, as well as on the cross section for the exclusive production of a J/ ψ vector meson off proton targets. Here you can find the data sets associated with this work.

If you want to use this data set, please cite the following:
J.Cepila, J.G.Contreras and M.Matas, Collinearly improved kernel suppresses Coulomb tails in the impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution, arXiv:1812.02548 [hep-ph].

You can download the computed dat sets and an example in Python here: [ciBK_data_files.zip](#).

Contact person: **Marek Matas**, marek.matas@fjfi.cvut.cz



Name	Size	Kind	Date
▼ ciBK_data_files	--	Folder	Today
▶ data	--	Folder	Today
▶ plots	--	Folder	Today
READMe.txt	2 KB	Plain Text	Today
plot.py	4 KB	Python script	Today

All the datasets are publicly available at <https://hep.fjfi.cvut.cz/bdepBK.php>

SCATTERING AMPLITUDE DATASETS

 **FACULTY OF
NUCLEAR SCIENCES
AND PHYSICAL
ENGINEERING
CTU IN PRAGUE**

Theory and phenomenology of the heavy-ion collisions
Department of Physics

Home **Projects** **Members** **Publications**

b-dependent solution of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation

In this work we solved the impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with the recently proposed collinearly improved kernel. We find that the solutions do not present the Coulomb tails that have affected previous studies. We also show that once choosing an adequate initial condition it is possible to obtain a reasonable description of HERA data on the structure function of the proton, as well as on the cross section for the exclusive production of a J/ ψ vector meson off proton targets. Here you can find the data sets associated with this work.

If you want to use this data set, please cite the following:
J.Cepila, J.G.Contreras and M.Matas, Collinearly improved kernel suppresses Coulomb tails in the impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution, arXiv:1812.02548 [hep-ph].

You can download the computed dat sets and an example in Python here: [ciBK_data_files.zip](#).

Contact person: **Marek Matas**, marek.matas@fjfi.cvut.cz

Name	Size	Kind	Date
▼ ciBK data files	--	Folder	Today
▶ data	--	Folder	Today
▶ plots	--	Folder	Today
READMe.txt	2 KB	Plain Text	Today
plot.py	4 KB	Python script	Today

All the datasets are publicly available at <https://hep.fjfi.cvut.cz/bdepBK.php>

SCATTERING AMPLITUDE DATASETS

 **FACULTY OF
NUCLEAR SCIENCES
AND PHYSICAL
ENGINEERING
CTU IN PRAGUE**

Theory and phenomenology of the heavy-ion collisions
Department of Physics

Home **Projects** **Members** **Publications**

b-dependent solution of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation

In this work we solved the impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with the recently proposed collinearly improved kernel. We find that the solutions do not present the Coulomb tails that have affected previous studies. We also show that once choosing an adequate initial condition it is possible to obtain a reasonable description of HERA data on the structure function of the proton, as well as on the cross section for the exclusive production of a J/ ψ vector meson off proton targets. Here you can find the data sets associated with this work.

If you want to use this data set, please cite the following:
J.Cepila, J.G.Contreras and M.Matas, Collinearly improved kernel suppresses Coulomb tails in the impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution, arXiv:1812.02548 [hep-ph].

You can download the computed dat sets and an example in Python here: [ciBK_data_files.zip](#).


Contact person: **Marek Matas, marek.matas@fjfi.cvut.cz**

Name	Size	Kind	Date
▼ ciBK_data_files	--	Folder	Today
▶ data	--	Folder	Today
▶ plots	--	Folder	Today
READMe.txt	2 KB	Plain Text	Today
plot.py	4 KB	Python script	Today


All the datasets are publicly available at <https://hep.fjfi.cvut.cz/bdepBK.php>

SCATTERING AMPLITUDE DATASETS

Scattering amplitude for $r = 1.0 \text{ [GeV}^{-1}\text{]}, Y = 0.2$



Scattering amplitude for $b = 0.001 \text{ [GeV}^{-1}\text{]}, Y = 1.1$



Name	Size	Kind	Date
▼ ciBK_data_files	--	Folder	Today
► data	--	Folder	Today
► plots	--	Folder	Today
► README.txt	2 KB	Plain Text	Today
plot.py	4 KB	Python script	Today

All the datasets are publicly available at <https://hep.fjfi.cvut.cz/bdepBK.php>

CONCLUSIONS

- The predictive power of the the impact-parameter dependent BK equation can be spoiled by the unphysical growth of the so-called Coulomb tails.
- These can be suppressed by suppressing the evolution for large daughter dipoles r_1 and r_2 .
- The collinearly improved kernel suppresses the Coulomb tails so that the b-dependent BK equation describes data over a large phase-space and various processes.
- We have currently submitted a paper with all details to arXiv: 1907.12123.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION