Measurements of single diffraction using forward proton tagging at ATLAS

(based on ATLAS-CONF-2019-012)

and the status of ATLAS elastic scattering measurements

Rafał Staszewski (IFJ PAN Cracow) on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration Low-x 2019, 26 – 31 August 2019, Nicosia, Cyprus

Forward protons tagging in ATLAS









Elastic events in ALFA



Status of elastic analyses with ALFA



Nucl. Phys. B 889 (2014) 486 Phys. Lett. B (2016) 158

Ongoing elastic analyses:

- \sqrt{s} = 8 TeV, β^* = 1000 m Coulomb-Nuclear Interference (CNI) region
 - final cross checks of systematic uncertainties
- \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, β^* = 2500 m & 90 m CNI and dip region
 - working on vertical alignment
- $\sqrt{\rm s}$ = 900 GeV, β^* = 100 m CNI regions
 - early stage

Diffraction measurements w/o proton tagging (Eur. Phys. J. C (2012) 72)



• Calorimeter used to measure rapidity gaps

non-diffractive processes
Full separation of single and double diffraction not possible

- \cdot Data from special run: $\sqrt{\rm s}$ = 8 TeV, β^* = 90 m, L = 1.67/nb, μ < 0.08
- Intact proton measured in ALFA
- Dissociated proton measured using ATLAS tracking detector
- Trigger: opposite side coincidence of the signal in ALFA and Minimum Bias Trigger Scintilator (MBTS)
- Acceptance
 - tracker: charged particles with
 - *p*_T > 0.2 GeV
 - |η| < 2.5
 - MBTS: charged particles with 2.1 < $|\eta|$ < 3.8
 - ALFA: protons with
 - $\cdot \ 0.016 < |t| < 0.43 \ {\rm GeV}^2$
 - $\cdot \ -4.0 < \log_{10} \xi < -1.6$



Kinematic variables



 t – squared four-momentum transferred from the proton

$$t \approx -p_T^2$$

• ξ – momentum fraction of the proton carried by the pomeron

$$\xi = 1 - E/E_0$$

$$= M_X^2/s \approx \sum_i (E^i \pm p_z^i)/\sqrt{s}$$

• $\Delta \eta$ – (pseudo)rapidity gap from the tracker edge

Event selection

- Exactly one reconstructed proton in two ALFA stations of the same armlet
- 3σ ellipse cut in (x, θ_x) plane
- ID: at least one track and a reconstructed vertex
- MBTS: at least 5 (out of 16) counters above noise threshold
- Fiducial region of the mesurement:

 $0.016 < |t| < 0.43 \text{ GeV}^2$ $-4.0 < \log_{10} \xi < -1.6$ (i.e. $80 < M_X < 1270 \text{ GeV}$)



Monte Carlo Generators

Main MC:

- PYTHIA8 A3 tune (ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017):
- Proton PDF = NNPDF23 LO
- Pomeron : PDF = H1 2006 Fit B; Flux: intercept: 1.06, slope: 0.25 (Donnachie-Landshoff)
- SD for unfolding
- CD, DD, ND for background subtraction
- Elastics for ALFA Reconstruction efficiency For systematics:
 - PYTHIA 8 A2 tune (ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003)
 - HERWIG 7.1:
 - Proton PDF = MMHT2014lo68cl
 - Pomeron : PDF = H1 2006 Fit A; Flux: intercept: 1.00, slope: 0.25





Overlay Background

- \cdot Coincidence between a proton in ALFA and activity in central ATLAS
- Source of protons: elastic scattering, beam halo
- · Source of central activity: minimum bias events
- Largest background
- Data-driven estimate using strongly ND-enriched events
 - all 32 MBTS segments fired
 - shape in *t* from ALFA in ND-enriched sample
 - + shapes in ξ and $\Delta\eta$ from MC events that pass full analysis selection

 Control region: nominal selection, but with protons in two armlets (dominated by elastics + ND)



Central Diffraction Background

- Dominant physics background: central diffraction
- Estimated from simulations
- Control region:
 - protons in two armlets
 - 2–10 MBTS segments fired
- Good description of normalizations and shapes
- Reweighting ξ distributions to match the data, preserving normalization



Uncorrected control plots



Good description of shape and normalization after SD rescaling by 0.64

Systematic uncertainties

- Dominant: overlay background
- CD background shape (reweighting or not) and normalization (CDF data)
- Hadronisation model (PYTHIA vs HERWIG)
- Unfolding of instrumental effects
- Luminosity precision







Rapidity gap size distribution

- · Unfolded hadron level cross sections after background subtraction
- Diffractive plateau is visible
- Increase at small rapidity gaps limited acceptance of ATLAS tracker
- Decrease at large rapidity gaps Loss of small- ξ events close to the $\xi\text{-edge}~(10^{-4})$



MCs do not describe the overall cross section:

t distribution



· Measured exponential slope:

 $B = 7.60 \pm 0.23$ (stat.) ± 0.22 (syst.) GeV⁻²

- In agreement with Pythia 8 prediction: PYTHIA8 A2: 7.82 GeV⁻², PYTHIA8 A3: 7.10 GeV⁻²
- · Main systematic uncertainty from overlay background subtraction

$\boldsymbol{\xi}$ distribution

• Distribution fitted with:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\log_{10}\xi} = \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\alpha(0)-1} \frac{\exp(Bt_{\mathsf{high}}) - \exp(Bt_{\mathsf{low}})}{B}, \quad \text{with} \quad B = B_0 - 2\alpha' \log\xi$$

Measured Pomeron intercept

 $\alpha(0) = 1.07 \pm 0.02 \; (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.06 \; (\text{syst.}) \pm 0.06 \; (\alpha')$



Main systematic uncertainty from

$$\alpha' = 0.25 \pm 0.25 \; {\rm GeV}^{-2}$$

 MC generators: PYTHIA 8 A3 (Donnachie-Landshoff): α(0) = 1.14 PYTHIA 8 A2 (Schuler-Sjostrand): α(0) = 1.00

Extrapolation to full t range

- ATLAS data extrapolated to full t-range (gives a factor 1.18)
- Closest available data: CMS 7 TeV rapidity gap analysis using CASTOR as a veto (Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 012003)
- A reasonable agreement in the overlap region
- Despite DD contribution in CMS results and different energies



- The cross section integrated over the full fiducial range of the analysis $1.59\pm0.03~{\rm (stat.)}\pm0.13~{\rm (syst.)}~{\rm mb}$
- Extrapolating to the full *t* range assuming the measured *B* value:

 $1.88\pm0.15~\text{mb}$

• Extrapolating to full kinematic range using Pythia A2 and A3 (average):

6.6	nb
-----	----

Distribution	$\sigma_{SD}^{\mathrm{fiducial}(\xi,t)} \; [\mathrm{mb}]$	$\sigma_{SD}^{t-\text{extrap}}$ [mb]	$\sigma_{SD}^{\xi,t-\text{extrap}}$ [mb]
Data	1.59 ± 0.13	1.88 ± 0.15	6.6
Pythia8 A2 (Schüler-Sjostrand)	3.69	4.35	12.48
Pythia8 A3 (Donnachie-Landshoff)	2.52	2.98	12.48
HERWIG7	4.96	6.11	24.0

- ATLAS performed a measurement of the inclusive single diffractive dissociation process $p + p \rightarrow X + p$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV
- The final state protons are directly reconstructed
- Differential cross sections are measured as a function of ξ , t and $\Delta\eta$
- Normalizations of tested MC generators significantly exceed the data
- Shapes reasonably described by models
- From a fit to *t* distribution:

 $B = 7.60 \pm 0.23$ (stat.) ± 0.22 (syst.) GeV⁻²

 $\cdot\,$ From a fit to ξ distribution:

 $\alpha(0) = 1.07 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.06 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.06 \text{ (}\alpha'\text{)}$

 \cdot A good agreement in the overlap ξ region with the CMS results