PSD12: 12th International Conference on Position Sensitive Detectors – 12-17 September 2021 – University of Birmingham



Interferometric techniques with high resolution emulsion detectors



Eleonora Pasino, for the QUPLAS collaboration Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano & INFN Sezione di Milano





- CPT violations and antimatter gravitational fall
- High-resolution detectors → Nuclear emulsions

microcrystals

- Silver grains creation
- ~ 1 μm resolution
- Pattern with a period
 - ~ 7 μm reconstructed [1]



Figure 1: view of reconstructed grains in a nuclear emulsion after exposure





Figure 2: fit of the distribution of the grains after exposure

- Very high spatial resolution
- Recent development: super-fine-grained emulsions
- Up to 50 nm resolution [2]
- Ideal device for quantum interferometry studies

QUPLAS results



interferometer [3]

Collimators

- Continuous positron beam
- Diffraction gratings with different periods

Asymmetric Talbot-Lau

- **Emulsion detector**
- ~ 6 μm interference fringes

Conclusions

- Interferometric methods used to obtain a direct estimate of particle/antiparticle masses
- Method independent from particles' electric charges
- Particle-antiparticle mass

- Accelerating electrodes
- Wien filter: orthogonal electric and magnetic fields

the particle-antiparticle mass ratio [4]

- Grating: Fraunhofer diffraction $L \gg \lambda$
- Emulsion detector: reconstruct diffraction pattern
- Cylindrical mu-metal shield

Detector

Particle-antiparticle mass ratio

Figure 5: scheme of the proposed configuration for the measurement of

Wien filte

Inverting the De Broglie relation:

 $mc^2 = \frac{(hc/\lambda)^2 - K^2}{2K}$

 $\frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{|\Delta y|/L}{\sqrt{1 + (|\Delta y|/L)^2}}$

• λ can be expressed as:

- $\lambda \rightarrow$ particle wavelength
- $K \rightarrow$ particle kinetic energy
- $d \rightarrow$ grating period

Grating

Mu-metal shield

- $|\Delta y| \rightarrow$ deviation from the centre of the screen
- $L \rightarrow$ distance between grating and screen
- Absolute mass of the particle:



field



Figure 4: Contrast as a function of energy. Classical and quantum behaviour

- Different energies of the positron beam
- Contrast as a function of energy
- Quantum vs classical behaviour
- Detection of positron interference in the

ratio is independent from d, E, B

Emulsion detectors critical for interferometric measurements

References

[1] S. Aghion et al. "Nuclear emulsions" for the detection of micrometric-scale fringe patterns: an application to positron interferometry". Journal of Instrumentation 13.05 (2018)

[2] A. Alexandrov et al; Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 18773

$$mc^2 = \frac{hc}{d} \sqrt{\frac{c^2 B^2}{E^2} - 1} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L}{|\Delta y|}\right)^2}$$

 $E \to \text{electric field}$
 $B \to \text{magnetic field}$

Particle-antiparticle mass ratio [4]:

$$\frac{m_2}{m_1} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + (L/\Delta y_2)^2}{1 + (L/\Delta y_1)^2}} \simeq \frac{|\Delta y_1|}{|\Delta y_2|}$$

- Same Wien filter (E,B)
 - Same interference
 - apparatus (L,d)
 - $L \gg |\Delta y|$

Talbot-Lau regime First demonstration of antimatter wave



[3] S. Sala et al. "First demonstration of antimatter wave interferometry." Science advances 5.5 (2019): eaav7610

interferometry [3]

[4] E. Pasino et al. "An Interferometric Method for Particle Mass Measurements." *Symmetry* 13.7 (2021): 1232