

## **Di-Higgs searches in CMS during Run 2**

The determination of processes which involve multi-Higgs production is crucial to anayze the Higgs potential, because this is the standard process to study the Higgs **boson self-interactions**, predicted by the Standard Model (SM). Moreover, it represents a unique way to probe the existence of physics beyond the standard model (BSM) that may manifest as a modification of the Higgs self-coupling ( $\lambda_{HHH}$ ).

0000





0.0053

0.0761

0.0183

0.1876

69.224

1.1690

15.007





13 TeV	31.05 fb
14 TeV	36.6 fb

 $\sigma_{\rm HH}$ 

Searches for HH processes based on the current Run 2 dataset are not yet sensitive to SM HH production because of the small cross section and the presence of large backgrounds.

The low signal rate leads to consider mostly final states with a sizable BR (for this reason, one of the two Higgs is always searched in the bb decay channel).

# The HH $\rightarrow$ bb4l analysis at the HL-LHC

In view of the HL-LHC, some rare but clean processes have been re-considered because of the increasing available statistics and the challenging conditions due to the enormous number of pile-up events. σ x BR [fb]

**SIGNAL** - The presence of four leptons associated with two b-jets leads to a clean final state topology allowing to maintain a rather good signal selection efficiency and to control the backgrounds.

**BACKGROUNDS** - The main background processes are ttH(ZZ), ttZ, ggH and ZH, followed by minor contributions such as WH and single Higgs production via vector boson fusion (VBF). ZZ and ttH(WW) contributions are found to be negligible.

Madgraph5 aMC@NIO		Delphes		Process
Parton level generation		Simulation of the upgraded		$gg \rightarrow HH \rightarrow bbZZ^{*}(4l)$
<b>Pythia8</b> Parton shower and decay		CMS detector:		gg → ttH(4l)
		• PU 200		gg → Z(bb)H(4l)
		loose ID for Muons		gg → WH(4l)
Simulated all signal and		<ul> <li>medium ID for Electrons</li> <li>medium PTag with MTD</li> </ul>		gg → ttZ(2l)
backgrounds (except for		for PUPPI jets		VBF H(4l)
ggH and VBF) privately				gg → H(4l)

The signal and the backgrounds are studied using an optimized cut-flow based analysis

Events/0.1 GeV

#### **Pre-selection**

9 0000

The combined upper limit at the 95% CL on the HH cross section corresponds to 6.6 times the SM prediction, with a 22 corresponding significance of **0.37σ**.

	CMS Phase-2	3000 fb⁻¹ (14 TeV)		
	Simulation Preliminary	Assumes SM HH signal		
10F		/		

### Leptons and jets

- |ŋ| < 2.8
- $p_T > 5$  (7) GeV for  $\mu$  (e)
- Relative isolation < 0.7
- $\Delta R (l_i l_i, j \neq i) > 0.02$  $\bullet$
- Anti-kt jet algorithm with R=0.4

### Selection

### 4I (H peak search) + 2 b-jets

- N(l) ≥ 4
- N (di-leptons)  $\geq 2$
- $50 \text{ GeV} \le m_{71} \le 100 \text{ GeV}$
- $12 \text{ GeV} \le m_{72} \le 60 \text{ GeV}$
- $p_{T}(l)$  cuts (> 20/> 10 GeV)
- $120 \text{ GeV} < m_{41} < 130 \text{ GeV}$
- $2 \le N_{b-iet} \le 3$
- $80 \text{ GeV} \le m_{bb} \le 160 \text{ GeV}$
- $0.5 \le \Delta R_{bb} \le 2.3$
- MET < 150 GeV
- $\Delta R_{HH} > 2.0$



Event yield in the bbZZ*(4I) channel for 3000 fb <sup>-1</sup>								
	HH	tĪH	ggH	ZH	WH	VBF	tīZ	
$\bar{b}4\ell$	1.0	2.5	1.5	$9.4\cdot10^{-1}$	$4.0\cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.7\cdot 10^{-1}$	1.6	
$\bar{b}4\mu$	$4.9\cdot 10^{-1}$	1.3	$6.9\cdot10^{-1}$	$4.9\cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.2\cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.1\cdot 10^{-1}$	$8.1\cdot 10^{-1}$	
54e	$8.8\cdot10^{-2}$	$2.0\cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.3\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.9\cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.9\cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.1\cdot 10^{-2}$	0	
52e2µ	$2.6\cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.5\cdot10^{-1}$	$2.7\cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.9\cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5\cdot 10^{-2}$	$8.1\cdot 10^{-1}$	

Considering the channels investigated, **1 HH event** for a total background yield of 6.8 in the inclusive bb4l final state is expected for an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>.

The impact of the systematic uncertainties on the analysis is found to be almost negligible. The most sensitive channel is bb4µ, but a sizeable contribution to the sensitivity also comes from the bb2e2µ and bb4e final states.





A projection for the sensitivity on the Higgs self-coulpling modifier is studied:  $\mathbf{k}_{\lambda} = \lambda_{\text{HHH}} / \lambda^{\text{SM}}_{\text{HHH}}$ 

In addition to the SM scenario  $(k_{\lambda} = 1)$ , samples with several other values of  $k_{\lambda}$ are generated, ranging from  $k_{\lambda} = -10$  to  $k_{\lambda} = 10.$ 

Approach to model anomalous  $k_{\lambda}$ signals (counting experiment): yield parametrized vs  $k_{\lambda}$  with a quadratic function by fitting various  $k_{\lambda}$  samples after the full selection.

Main reference:

**CMS FTR-18-019** 

bbγγ bbVV(lvlv) bbZZ\*(4l) bbbb bbtt  $HH \rightarrow$ Significance 0.37 0.56 0.95 1.8 1.4 Limit 2.1 1.4 3.5 6.6 1.1 @ 95% CL

### The statistical combination of five decay channels (bbbb, $bb\tau\tau$ , $bb\gamma\gamma$ , bbVV(lvlv), bbZZ\*(41)) results in an expected significance for the Standard Model HH signal of 2.6 $\sigma$ , corresponding to a combined 95% CL upper limit on the SM HH cross section of **0.77 times the SM prediction**. Both systematic and statistical uncertainties are considered. The analyses of of the five decay channels are designed to be orthogonal.

**COMBINATION RESULTS** 

Prospects are also studied for the measurement of the trilinear Higgs boson coupling. The expected 68% and 95% confidence level intervals for the self-coupling modifier  $k_{\lambda} = \lambda_{HHH} / \lambda^{SM}_{HHH}$  are [0.35, 1.9] and [0.18, 3.6], respectively.



### **E-mail contacts:**

#### elisa.fontanesi@cern.ch

### lisa.borgonovi@cern.ch